

MEETING
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
LANDS COMMISSION

CITY OF PALM SPRINGS
COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL
3200 EAST TAHQUITZ CANYON WAY
PALM SPRINGS, CALIFORNIA

THURSDAY, APRIL 19, 2018
1:05 P.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
LICENSE NUMBER 10063

A P P E A R A N C E S

COMMISSION MEMBERS:

Ms. Betty T. Yee, State Controller, Chairperson

Mr. Gavin Newsom, Lieutenant Governor, also represented by
Mr. Rhys Williams

Mr. Michael Cohen, Director of Department of Finance,
represented by Ms. Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez

STAFF:

Ms. Jennifer Lucchesi, Executive Officer

Mr. Mark Meier, Chief Counsel

Mr. Reid Boggiano, Public Land Management Specialist,
External Affairs Division

Ms. Kim Lunetta, Administrative Assistant

Ms. Jennifer Mattox, Science Policy Advisor/Tribal Liaison

Ms. Shahed Meshkati, Planning & Development Manager,
Mineral Resources and Management Division

Mr. Greg Pelka, Senior Resources Mineral Engineer, Mineral
Resources and Management Division

Ms. Sheri Pemberton, Chief, External Affairs and
Legislative Liaison

ATTORNEY GENERAL:

Mr. Andrew Vogel, Deputy Attorney General

ALSO PRESENT:

Mr. Jack Bair, San Francisco Giants

Ms. Rebecca Benassini, Port of San Francisco

A P P E A R A N C E S C O N T I N U E D

ALSO PRESENT:

Mr. John Hernandez

Ms. Christy Holstege, Council Member, City of Palm Springs

Mr. Jed Humphries, Redwood Creek Association

Mr. Geoff Kors, Council Member, City of Palm Springs

Mr. Humberto Lugo, Environmental Justice Task Force
Coachella

Ms. Alison Madden, Docktown, San Francisco Bay Marinas

Ms. Lisa Middleton, Council Member, City of Palm Springs

Mayor Robert Moon, City of Palm Springs

Ms. Angela Mooney D'Arcy, Sacred Places Institute for
Indigenous People

Ms. Luis Olmedo, Comite Civico del Valley, Inc.

Mr. Pat Perez, California Department of Conservation

Mr. Carlos Pineda

Mr. T. Santora, Commissioner, Palm Springs Sustainability
Commission

Ms. Rebecca Zaragoza, Leadership Counsel for Justice and
Accountability

I N D E X

	PAGE
I 1:00 P.M. - OPEN SESSION	1
II CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF FEBRUARY 27, 2018	4
III EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT	5

Continuation of Rent Actions to be taken by the
Executive Officer pursuant to the Commission's
Delegation of Authority:

- Donna L. Benner, Trustee of the Ramseier-Benner Family Trust dated February 2, 1990; and Donna L. Benner, Trustee of the Donna L. Benner Qualified Personal Residence Trust Agreement dated January 17, 2000 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$1,143 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5310 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County. (PRC 2457.1)
- Steven Codog (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$248 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Calavera River, adjacent to 2725 Calariva Drive, near Stockton, San Joaquin County. (PRC 7015.1)
- Dill Corporation, a California Corporation (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$754 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 652 Olympic Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County. (PRC 5580.1)
- Christian P. Erdman; and Carol Franc Buck, as Trustee under the Carol Franc Buck Family Trust Agreement (As Restated) dated August 25, 2004 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$377 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, Placer County, adjacent to and offshore of an upland property located within the State of Nevada known as 53 Somers Loop, Washoe County at the State line. (PRC 9047.1)

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

- Joshua R. Floum and Margaret R. O'Donnell (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$754 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3328 Edgewater Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County. (PRC 8401.1)
- Walter W. Frese and Wendy T. Frese, Trustees of the Frese Family Trust of 1996 U.D.T. dated July 16, 1996 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$754 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 130 Sierra Terrace Road, near Tahoe City, Placer County. (PRC 8444.1)
- Lawrence Joseph Gannon and Patricia Anne Gannon, Trustees of the Gannon Family Trust Declaration of Living Trust dated December 9, 2005 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$1,178 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8754 Brockway Vista Avenue, near Kings Beach, Placer County. (PRC 5624.1)
- Jean M. Gomez (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$191 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 17210 Sherman Island East Levee Road, near the city of Rio Vista, Sacramento County. (PRC 8468.1)
- William Howard and Diane B. Howard (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$269 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 6622 Benham Way, city of Sacramento, Sacramento County. (PRC 7848.1)
- James Hunter and Tyree T. Hunter, Trustees, or their successors in trust, under the J. and T. Hunter Family Trust, dated July 12, 1990, and any amendments thereto (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$208 per year for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use located on sovereign land in the Colorado River, city of Needles, San Bernardino County. (PRC 9061.1)

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

- Manuel C. Jardin and Gail A. Jardin, Trustees of the Jardin Family Trust dated May 13, 2005 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$194 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 4233 Garden Highway, near the city of Sacramento, Sacramento County. (PRC 9045.1)
- John Lee, Trustee of the John Lee Family Trust dated November 18, 2011 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$754 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3420 Edgewater Drive, near Tahoe Vista, Placer County. (PRC 8963.1)
- Robert DeVall May and Judith Thompson May, Trustees of The May Family Trust as Amended and Restated in 2001, u/a dated November 5, 2001 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$377 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1406 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County. (PRC 8332.1)
- Peter Vincent McNally (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$160 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Georgiana Slough, adjacent to 421 West Willow Tree Lane, near the city of Isleton, Sacramento County. (PRC 7737.1)
- Alvina Patterson, as Trustee of the Alvina Patterson Family Trust dated February 10, 1990 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$825 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 7276 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe Vista, Placer County. (PRC 5675.1)
- Sanjay Srivastava (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$377 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4830 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County. (PRC 8400.1)

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

- Spalding Community Service District (Lessee): Continuation of minimum annual rent at \$600 per year for a General Lease - Commercial Use located on sovereign land in Eagle Lake, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 077-030-09, near Susanville, Lassen County. (PRC 8155.1)
- Mark C. Stevenson and Raquel A. Stevenson, as Co-Trustees of the Stevenson Family Trust, dated November 7, 2005 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$505 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 7829 Garden Highway, near Verona, Sutter County. (PRC 7649.1)
- Jeffrey Todd Stone, Trustee of the Ray Stone Tahoe Residential Trust, dated May 18, 1999, and Trustee of the Mary Lou Stone Tahoe Residential Trust dated May 18, 1999 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$754 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4880 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County. (PRC 8357.1)
- John Stumpf and Ruth Stumpf, Trustees of the Stumpf Family Trust (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$2,210 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1870 North Lake Boulevard, Tahoe City, Placer County. (PRC 4066.1)
- Tahoe Gravity Research Institute, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$754 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3374 Edgewater Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County. (PRC 8269.1)
- Steven K. Yokoi and Beverly J. Yokoi, Trustees of the Yokoi Revocable Living Trust Dated July 14, 2011 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$201 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

River, adjacent to 907 Piedmont Drive, near
the city of Sacramento, Sacramento County.
(PRC 4616.1)

IV CONSENT CALENDAR C01-C100 10

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS ARE CONSIDERED TO BE NONCONTROVERSIAL
AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT ANY TIME UP TO THE DATE OF
THE MEETING.

LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION

NORTHERN REGION

C01 J. EDISON CORPORATION, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION
(APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General
Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in
Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8217 Meeks Bay Avenue, near
Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier and
two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical
exemption. (PRC 3602.1; RA# 13717) (A 5; S 1) (Staff:
S. Avila)

C02 DOUGLAS F. BUSCH AND JULIE M. BUSCH, TRUSTEES OF
THE BUSCH FAMILY LIVING TRUST, DATED APRIL 1, 2000
(APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General
Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in
Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8321 Meeks Bay Avenue, near
Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier,
boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration:
categorical exemption. (PRC 7513.1; RA# 08217) (A 5; S
1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C03 KENT R. BOURQUIN, SUCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE
BOURQUIN FAMILY TRUST DATED MAY 7, 1998 (LESSEE):
Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to
Lease No. PRC 7565.1, a General Lease - Recreational
Use, of sovereign land located in Donner Lake,
adjacent to 13930 South Shore Drive, near Truckee,
Nevada County; for an existing pier and portion of an
existing boat lift. CEQA Consideration: not projects.
(PRC 7565.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C04 KURT A. LATTA, AS TRUSTEE OF THE LATTA TRUST
UNDER INSTRUMENT DATED MARCH 21, 1990 (APPLICANT):
Consider an application for a General Lease -

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3338 Edgewater Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5469.1; RA# 31716) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C05 DAN E. LITTRELL AND NANCY E. LITTRELL, TRUSTEES OF THE LITTRELL FAMILY TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 7, 1990 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5428 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier, sundeck with stairs, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 3538.1; RA# 29016) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C06 DANIEL LEE OHLSON AND SUSAN OHLSON, AS TRUSTEES OF THE 1985 OHLSON LIVING TRUST DATED SEPTEMBER 30, 1985; DANIEL L. OHLSON, TRUSTEE OF THE DANIEL L. OHLSON QUALIFIED PERSONAL RESIDENCE TRUST UNDER AN AGREEMENT DATED MAY 5, 2010; SUSAN L. OHLSON, TRUSTEE OF THE SUSAN L. OHLSON QUALIFIED PERSONAL RESIDENCE TRUST UNDER AN AGREEMENT DATED MAY 5, 2010; DAVID A. OHLSON, AND BETTY M. OHLSON, AS TRUSTEES FOR THE OHLSON FAMILY TRUST, DATED SEPTEMBER 15, 1999, AS AMENDED AND RESTATED IN 2014; HENRY P. C. CULP AND SUSAN OHLSON CULP, TRUSTEES OF THE 1998 HENRY P. C. CULP AND SUSAN OHLSON CULP FAMILY TRUST AS AMENDED AND RESTATED IN 2015; AND KRISTIN OHLSON, TRUSTEE OF THE KRISTIN OHLSON TRUST DATED AUGUST 20, 2008; BARBARA WOODS HEARD, TRUSTEE OF THE 2010 AMENDED AND RESTATED BARBARA WOODS HEARD REVOCABLE TRUST U/D/T DATED JUNE 10, 2010; LAUREN H. POAGE, TRUSTEE OF THE LAUREN H. POAGE LIVING TRUST DATED MARCH 12, 2015; KATHERINE M. LANDERS; DAVID B. HEARD; AND DWIGHT B. HEARD INVESTMENT COMPANY, A CORPORATION (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5520 and 5540 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing joint-use pier, boathouse with sundeck, two boat lifts, and three mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4409.1; RA# 02717) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

C07 NEWPORT FEDERAL, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 741 Lakeview Avenue, near South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County; for one existing mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5013.1; RA# 07317) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C08 SNOW WATER LP, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1300 West Lake Boulevard, Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat hoist, boat slip, and sundeck with stairs. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 3705.1; RA# 20416) (A 1; S 1)(Staff: S. Avila)

C09 SHIRLEY KEARN, ROBERT LOUIS KEARN, AND JANET JEAN KEARN (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2210 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7139.1; RA# 27016) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C10 KJELL H. QVALE, TRUSTEE OF THE KJELL H. QVALE SURVIVOR'S TRUST AND KJELL H. QVALE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE KATHRYN C. QVALE NONEXEMPT MARITAL TRUST DATED JANUARY 31, 2000 (LESSEE); EARL L. SKIDMORE, TRUSTEE OF THE EARL L. SKIDMORE SURVIVOR TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 4317.9, a General Lease - Recreational Use; and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4410 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and one mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4317.1; RA# 06117) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C11 ARTHUR L. ANDERSON AND DONNA S. ANDERSON, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE ANDERSON FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST DATED 11-07-05 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8655 Beach

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

Lane, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier, boathouse, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4486.1; RA# 05017) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C12 RICHARD M. STOUT AND SUSANNE S. STOUT, TRUSTEES OF THE RICHARD AND SUSANNE STOUT FAMILY TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 24, 2004 (LESSEE); GBRE NORTH LAKE BOULEVARD, LLC, A GEORGIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 8265.9, a Recreational Pier Lease, and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1830 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8265.1; RA# 10617) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C13 BRIAN J. MCCULLOUGH (LESSEE); INDIKA CHARLES MENDIS AND QUANG NHAN TIN LAM (APPLICANT): Consider waiver of rent, penalty, and interest; acceptance of a quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 9080.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use; and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Donner Lake, adjacent to 14976 South Shore Drive, near Truckee, Nevada County; for an existing pier. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 9080.1; RA# 09917) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C14 BABBAGE, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY: Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1530 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for the removal, reconstruction, and expansion of an existing pier, installation of a boat lift, and removal of one existing mooring buoy and continued use and maintenance of one existing mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8728.1; RA# 10117) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

C15 GERALD E. JOHNSTON AND CYNTHIA M. JOHNSTON, TRUSTEES OF THE GERALD E. JOHNSTON AND CYNTHIA M. JOHNSTON TRUST, UNDER THE DECLARATION OF TRUST DATED APRIL 26, 2002; CYRUS A. JOHNSON, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE UNDER REVOCABLE TRUST DATED JANUARY 10, 1989; STEPHEN

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

N. GRAY AND CAROLE J. GRAY, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE GRAY FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST DATED AUGUST 7, 1999, AND WILLIAM E. LANDIS AND JULIE H. LANDIS, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE LANDIS FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST OF 1999 (LESSEE) GERALD E. JOHNSTON AND CYNTHIA M. JOHNSTON, TRUSTEES OF THE GERALD E. JOHNSTON AND CYNTHIA M. JOHNSTON TRUST, UNDER THE DECLARATION OF TRUST DATED APRIL 26, 2002; CYRUS A. JOHNSON, TRUSTEE OF THE JOHNSON FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST OF 1989; CAROLE J. GRAY, (FORMERLY CAROLE E. JOHNSON) AND STEPHEN N. GRAY, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE GRAY FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST DATED AUGUST 7, 1999; JULIE LANDIS, TRUSTEE OF THE JULIE LANDIS REVOCABLE TRUST OF 2015 (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a lease quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 5120.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5020 and 5040 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for the removal and reconstruction of an existing joint-use pier and continued use and maintenance of four existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5120.1; RA# 12017) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

C16 BIG WATER VIEW, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (LESSEE), THE SOCOTRA FUND, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (SECURED-PARTY LENDER): Consider application for the Agreement and Consent to Encumbrancing of Lease No. PRC 5739.1, a General Lease - Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 7220 North Lake Boulevard and Assessor's Parcel Number 117-110-069, Tahoe Vista, Placer County; for an existing commercial marina known as Tahoe Vista Inn and Marina. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 5739.1; RA# 17317) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

C17 RONALD D. STEPHENS AND KATHLEEN W. STEPHENS (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8539 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier and one mooring buoy previously authorized by the Commission and one mooring buoy not authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 837.1; RA# 02817) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

C18 FRED GELLERT, JR. AND ANNETTE GELLERT, AS TRUSTEES OF THE GELLERT FAMILY TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 8, 1991 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6300 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing pier, two tandem boat lifts, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 3019.1; RA# 01717) (A 1; S 1)(Staff: M.J. Columbus)

C19 DAVID M. DEVOE AND SUSAN M. DEVOE, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE DEVOE FAMILY TRUST; JAY J. DEVOE; JANISE J. DEVOE; STEVEN C. CORNELIUSEN AND GEORGIA F. CORNELIUSEN, TRUSTEES OF THE CORNELIUSEN FAMILY TRUST DATED DECEMBER 7, 1989; GEORGIA F. CORNELIUSEN, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE ADA M. TORRIGINO TRUST DATED JUNE 5, 1985; AND MICHAEL C. DERMODY AND TAMARA DERMODY, TRUSTEES OF THE TAHOE WATER WORLD FAMILY TRUST (U/D/T: AUGUST 8, 2007) (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8732, 8734, and 8740 Brockway Vista Avenue, Kings Beach, Placer County; for an existing joint-use pier, three boat lifts, and three mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 6428.1; RA# 00317) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

C20 FRED GELLERT, JR. AND ANNETTE GELLERT, TRUSTEES OF THE RYAN BRADLEY GELLERT GST TRUST DATED MAY 30, 2012; FRED GELLERT, JR. AND ANNETTE GELLERT, TRUSTEES OF THE LANDON TYLER GELLERT GST TRUST DATED OCTOBER 19, 2012; AND FRED GELLERT, JR. AND ANNETTE GELLERT, TRUSTEES OF THE HEATHER GIGI GELLERT GST DATED OCTOBER 19, 2012 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6330 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27129; RA# 01617) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

C21 KAREN KATHERINE OLIN AND ROBERT LAWRENCE OLIN, TRUSTEES OF THE 1992 L. OLIN FAMILY TRUST DATED 3/23/1992; AND MARCUS MONTE, TRUSTEE OF THE MARCUS MONTE LIVING TRUST DATED APRIL 23, 2002 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease -

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6085 and 6100 North Lake Boulevard, Tahoe Vista, Placer County; for an existing joint-use pier with boat lift, boathouse with boat lift, and one mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4286.1; RA# 26916) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: K. Connor)

C22 GRAYLE TULLY JAMES AND GWYN-MOHR TULLY (LESSEE): Consider amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8421.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe adjacent to 8507 and 8511 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and four mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8421.1) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: L. Pino)

C23 SIMONE HOTALING HOAG, AS TRUSTEE OF THE NONEXEMPT TRUST B UNDER THE CARL L. HOAG, JR. AND SIMONE HOTALING HOAG REVOCABLE TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 7, 1990; SIMONE HOTALING HOAG, AS TRUSTEE OF TRUST C UNDER THE CARL L. HOAG, JR. AND SIMONE HOTALING HOAG REVOCABLE TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 7, 1990; SIMONE HOTALING HOAG, AS TRUSTEE OF THE SIMONE HOTALING HOAG REVOCABLE TRUST DATED JUNE 1, 1992; SIMONE H. HOAG, AS TRUSTEE OF THE SIMONE H. HOAG TAHOE RESIDENCE TRUST A DATED SEPTEMBER 3, 2010; SIMONE H. HOAG, AS TRUSTEE OF THE SIMONE H. HOAG TAHOE RESIDENCE TRUST B DATED SEPTEMBER 3, 2010; SIMONE H. HOAG, AS TRUSTEE OF THE SIMONE H. HOAG TAHOE RESIDENCE TRUST C DATED SEPTEMBER 3, 2010; AND SPIRIT OF TAHOE, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 3678.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe adjacent to 1324 and 1330 West Lake Boulevard, Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing joint-use pier with two boathouses, three boat lifts, sundeck with stairs, and four mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 3678.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: L. Pino)

C24 400 CONVENTION WAY, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4886 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8586.1; RA# 01111) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

C25 LARRY A. ABRAMSON AND JULIE C. ABRAMSON, TRUSTEES OF THE ABRAMSON TRUST DATED FEBRUARY 9, 1999 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4540 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier previously authorized by the Commission and two existing mooring buoys not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4169.1; RA# 13413) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C26 ALLEN L. CAPURRO AND CAROL J. CAPURRO, TRUSTEES OF THE ALLEN CAPURRO FAMILY 1996 TRUST, DATED AUGUST 30, 1996, AND BRIAN D. MURPHY AND SUZANNE M. MURPHY, TRUSTEES OF THE MURPHY FAMILY TRUST, DATED DECEMBER 17, 2003 (LESSEE); BEARSLIDE LAKE TAHOE, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 4857.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use; and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4480 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier, boathouse, boat hoist, sundeck with stairs, and one mooring buoy previously authorized by the Commission, and one mooring buoy not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4857.1; RA# 15313) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C27 BOW BAY, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 281 Paradise Flat Lane, near Rubicon Bay, El Dorado County; for two existing mooring buoys not previously authorized by the Commission; and denial for one existing mooring buoy not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: lease - categorical exemption; denial - statutory exemption. (W 24815; RA# 35915) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C28 SACRAMENTO YACHT CLUB (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 3365 South River Road, near West

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

Sacramento, Yolo County; for an existing private yacht club, known as the Sacramento Yacht Club. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5512.1; RA# 19515) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C29 ELKHORN FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located within Assessor's Parcel Number 042-310-004, adjacent to the Sacramento River at 19759 Old River Road, near West Sacramento, Yolo County; for an existing storage building, one storage container for fire and flood fighting equipment, and a perimeter fence previously authorized by the Commission and one existing storage container for additional storage of fire and flood fighting equipment and two restrooms not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8029.9; RA# 06617) (A 4; S 3) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C30 U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Yuba River, adjacent to Yuba Goldfields, near Marysville, Yuba County; for the placement and maintenance of gravel for the rehabilitation and restoration of Central Valley Chinook salmon and steelhead spawning and rearing habitat. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by the Yuba County Community Development and Services Agency, State Clearinghouse No. 2017102054, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (W 27157; RA# 13917) (A 3; S 4) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C31 LAKE TAHOE CRUISES, LLC (LESSEE); FRED & GINGER, INC. DBA TAHOE CRUISES (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 8705.1, a General Lease - Commercial Use; and an application for a General Lease - Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 900 Ski Run Boulevard, South Lake Tahoe, and various locations around Lake Tahoe, El Dorado and Placer Counties; for the commercial operation, maintenance, and overnight berthing of two passenger vessels that operate from Ski Run Marina and dock at various locations around Lake Tahoe. CEQA Consideration:

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

categorical exemption. (PRC 8705.1; RA# 24916) (A 1,5; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C32 RAY MAYER AND ROBIN MAYER (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 2336.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6730 Powder Horn Lane, near Tahoma, Placer County; for an existing pier and one mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 2336.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: D. Simpkin)

C33 JOHN B. LOVEWELL AND CYNTHIA B. LOVEWELL, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE LOVEWELL 2006 IRREVOCABLE TRUST FBO JAMES BARRY LOVEWELL DATED JUNE 21, 2006, AND THE LOVEWELL 2006 IRREVOCABLE TRUST FBO JENNIFER HILARY LOVEWELL DATED JUNE 21, 2006 (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 4141.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1406 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and one mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 4141.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: D. Simpkin)

C34 FRANK CHIU-NG TSANG AND JUDY MING-MING SZE TSANG AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE FRANK CHIU-NG TSANG AND JUDY MING-MING SZE TSANG 2003 TRUST ESTABLISHED MAY 5, 2003 (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8452.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Petaluma River, adjacent to 6300 Lakeville Highway, near Petaluma, Sonoma County; for an existing pier, boat dock, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8452.1) (A 10; S 3) (Staff: J. Toy)

C35 WELLS FARGO BANK N.A., TRUSTEE OF THE FREDERICK TAYLOR TESTAMENTARY TRUST (LESSEE); ROBERT L. DUMAS AND SABRINA E. DUMAS, TRUSTEES OF THE DUMAS FAMILY TRUST DATED DECEMBER 2, 2015 (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a lease quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 5557.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4500 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier and two mooring buoys.

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5557.1; RA# 10217) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C36 MICHAEL C. BAILEY (ASSIGNOR); NESSEBAR HOLDINGS II, LLC (ASSIGNEE): Consider continuation of rent and an application for an assignment of Lease No. PRC 8440.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4950 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 8440.1; RA# 04917) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C37 PHILIP GROSSO AND GLORIA GROSSO (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8807 Rubicon Drive, near Rubicon Bay, El Dorado County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8051.1; RA# 11617) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C38 SCANDIA REALTY GROUP, LLLP, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8399 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for one existing mooring buoy previously authorized by the Commission and one existing mooring buoy not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5553.1; RA# 12217) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C39 DENIZ TUNCER AS TRUSTEE, OR ANY SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE(S), OF THE CRESSMAN/TUNCER FAMILY TRUST, UNDER DECLARATION OF TRUST DATED JANUARY 25, 2006; AND AYSHE TUNCER, AS TRUSTEE, OR ANY SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE(S) OF THE TUNCER ANDERSON REVOCABLE TRUST, UNDER DECLARATION OF TRUST DATED MAY 20, 2011 (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8979.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3170 Edgewater Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8979.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

C40 PATRICIA MOZART, TRUSTEE OF THE PATRICIA MOZART TRUST AGREEMENT UTA DATED DECEMBER 11, 1995 (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 6525.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6350 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing pier, boathouse with boat lift, sundeck with stairs, and three mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 6525.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C41 TONOPALO PRIVATE RESIDENCE CLUB OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC. (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8439.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 117-240-022, near Tahoe Vista, Placer County; for an existing pier and 12 mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 8439.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C42 GORDON PROPERTIES, L.P., A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8448.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4550 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 8448.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C43 LAWRENCE B. LEVY AND HILLARY B. LEVY, TRUSTEES OF THE H&L TRUST UDT DATED APRIL 8, 1996 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2796 Aqua Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8311.1; RA# 04417) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C44 NORMAN W. SCHLINGER, TRUSTEE OF THE NORMAN WARREN SCHLINGER LIVING TRUST, DATED APRIL 17, 1995 (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 4878.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5298 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, open-sided boathouse

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

with boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA
Consideration: not projects. (PRC 4878.1) (A 1; S 1)
(Staff: J. Toy)

C45 DAVID B. COWARD, TRUSTEE OF THE DAVID B. COWARD
QUALIFIED PERSONAL RESIDENCE TRUST, DATED 03-19-2004,
AND LINDA J. COWARD, TRUSTEE OF THE LINDA J. COWARD
QUALIFIED PERSONAL RESIDENCE TRUST, DATED 03-19-2004
(APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General
Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in
Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8189 Meeks Bay Avenue, near
Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for two existing mooring
buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC
8027.1; RA# 05217) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C46 SCOTT G. DOUGLASS; GORDON DOUGLASS AND SUSAN
DOUGLASS, TRUSTEES OF THE GORDON AND SUSAN DOUGLASS
FAMILY 1983 REVOCABLE TRUST DATED AUGUST 2, 1983; AND
LISA S. DOUGLASS (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of
lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 5622.1, a
General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land
located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8425 Meeks Bay
Avenue, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an
existing pier and two mooring buoys. CEQA
Consideration: not projects. (PRC 5622.1) (A 5; S 1)
(Staff: J. Toy)

C47 THOMAS W. BERRY AND THERESA A. BERRY (LESSEE):
Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7554.1, a
General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land
located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4040 North Lake
Boulevard, near Cedar Flat, Placer County; for two
mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC
7554.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C48 MACBRIDE TAHOE MANAGEMENT, LLC, A CALIFORNIA
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider an
amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No.
PRC 3222.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of
sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3785
Bellevue Avenue, near Homewood, Placer County; for an
existing pier and two mooring buoys. CEQA
Consideration: not projects. (PRC 3222.1) (A 1; S 1)
(Staff: J. Toy)

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

C49 DONALD E. BISCHOFF AND JUNE E. BISCHOFF, TRUSTEES, OR THE SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE, UNDER THE DONALD E. AND JUNE E. BISCHOFF REVOCABLE INTER VIVOS TRUST DATED 9/30/82 AND AMENDED 3/25/91 (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8318.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1510 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 8318.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C50 HARRY BOYAJIAN, JR. (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4720 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing pier, boathouse, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 6387.1; RA# 25416) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C51 MARIE C. STRAUBE FORMERLY KNOWN AS MARIE ANTOINETTE CLOUGH, AS TRUSTEE OF THE MARIE ANTOINETTE CLOUGH REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST, DATED JANUARY 11, 1989; AAM J. LANDSDORF, TRUSTEE OF THE ADAM JAY LANDSDORF REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST, DATED JULY 29, 2004; DANA R. STONE, TRUSTEE OF THE DANA RAE STONE REVOCABLE TRUST, DATED JULY 30, 2004 (LESSEE); ADAM J. LANDSDORF, TRUSTEE OF THE ADAM JAY LANDSDORF REVOCABLE TRUST, DATED JULY 29, 2004; AND DANA R. STONE, TRUSTEE OF THE DANA R. STONE REVOCABLE TRUST, DATED JUNE 20, 2013 (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a lease quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 8164.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8765 Rubicon Drive, near Rubicon Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8164.1; RA# 07817) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C52 PATRICIA BORHANI, TRUSTEE OF THE PATRICIA BORHANI 1997 TRUST DATED JUNE 18, 1997 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4070 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for one existing mooring buoy. CEQA

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4114.1; RA# 07217) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

BAY/DELTA REGION

C53 TERENCE J. MULLIGAN AND ELIZABETH M. MULLIGAN, AS TRUSTEES OF THE MULLIGAN FAMILY TRUST DATED AUGUST 29, 1990 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 10911 River Road, near Hood, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection previously authorized by the Commission, and a dock cover and a boat lift not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8671.1; RA# 13317) (A 9; S 3) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)

C54 BURLINGAME BAY ASSOCIATES (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 4687.1, a General Lease - Commercial Use, of filled and unfilled sovereign land located in San Francisco Bay, near Burlingame, San Mateo County; for a restaurant, parking lot, lagoon, footbridge, pedestrian paths, landscaping, and shoreline protection. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 4687.1) (A 22; S 13) (Staff: A. Franzoia)

C55 SANFORD M. GOLDSTEIN AND CATHY E. RABIN, AS TRUSTEES OF THE GOLDSTEIN-RABIN FAMILY LIVING TRUST AGREEMENT, DATED APRIL 29, 2014 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 17384 Grand Island Road, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, fishing pier, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4767.1; RA# 11417) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: J. Holt)

C56 MICHAEL LESCHINSKI AND IRINA LESCHINSKI, TRUSTEES OF THE LESCHINSKI TRUST DATED JUNE 18, 2015 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River,

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

- adjacent to 3791 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing covered boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8782.1; RA# 06717) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: J. Holt)
- C57 MICHAEL E. O'CONNOR (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Georgiana Slough, adjacent to 14319 River Road, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8756.1; RA# 05317) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: J. Holt)
- C58 DON SPRINGER AND RENE FRAGA SPRINGER (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 6931 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7181.1; RA# 07917) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: J. Holt)
- C59 JAMES HANLEY AND KATHRYN HANLEY (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 4669.1, a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Calaveras River, adjacent to 4357 Yacht Harbor Drive, near Stockton, San Joaquin County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 4669.1) (A 13; S 5) (Staff: J. Holt)
- C60 THOMAS SANDER AND NANCY R. SANDER (RESCINDING APPLICANT); CORINNA ANN BLEDSOE AND LOREN MICHAEL BLEDSOE (APPLICANT): Consider rescission of approval of Lease No. PRC 8350.1, a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, and an application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 14486 State Highway 160, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock with covered boat slip, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8350.1; RA# 03617) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: J. Holt)

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

C61 THE ISLAND CLUB, INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 9053.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Montezuma Slough adjacent to 3372 Gum Tree Road, near Suisun, Solano County; for a boat dock, appurtenant facilities, security fence, and shed. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 9053.1) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: L. Pino)

C62 TDB SACRAMENTO DELTA CORPORATION (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7680.1, a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in Georgiana Slough, adjacent to 16853 Terminous Road, near Isleton, Sacramento County; for the continued use and maintenance of an existing five-berth covered floating boat dock, uncovered floating dock, two personal watercraft floats, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 7680.1) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: D. Simpkin)

C63 WILLIAM J. KUHNS AND MARGARET G. KUHNS (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7225.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, sovereign land located in Steamboat Slough, adjacent to 13972 Grand Island Road, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 7225.1) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: D. Simpkin)

C64 BRIAN D. BURKE AND KATHY LAMPRECHT (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Protective Structure Use, of sovereign tide and submerged land located in the Pacific Ocean, adjacent to 4630 Opal Cliff Drive, near Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz County; for an existing cutoff stem wall. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8795.1; RA# 13617) (A 29; S 17) (Staff: D. Tutov)

C65 THE JOHN AND JEAN VILICICH REVOCABLE INTER VIVOS TRUST DATED DECEMBER 1, 2004; FRANCES A. VILICICH ; AND EDWARD A. VILICICH AND BERNADETTE C. VILICICH, TRUSTEES OF THE E&B VILICICH FAMILY TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land in Tomales Bay,

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

adjacent to 19154 State Route 1, near Marshall, Marin County; for an existing walkway, pier and three pilings, previously authorized by the Commission and the use and maintenance of a pier and platform, not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5470.1; RA# 25016) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: D. Tutov)

CENTRAL/SOUTHERN REGION

C66 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in Morro Bay, San Luis Obispo County; for resource protection, conservation, and management of wildlife and marine resources as part of Morro Bay State Marine Reserve, Morro Bay Wildlife Area, and the Morro Bay State Recreational Management Area. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7629.9; RA# 23116) (A 35; S 17) (Staff: R. Collins)

C67 NORTH COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in Batiquitos Lagoon, Carlsbad, San Diego County; for the construction, use, and maintenance of a buried armored revetment. CEQA Consideration: statutory exemption. (W 26644; RA# 30916) (A 76; S 36) (Staff: R. Collins)

C68 CITY OF SANGER (APPLICANT): Consider rescission of approval; and issuance of a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Kings River, 0.5 mile north of the Goodfellow Bridge, Sanger, Fresno County; for the construction, use, and maintenance of a non-motorized boat launching ramp; and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by the City of Sanger, State Clearinghouse No. 2016061045, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (W 27112; PRC 9431.9; RA# 27416) (A 31; S 14) (Staff: K. Connor)

C69 IDA AGNIFILI ZABY, TRUSTEE OF THE I. AGNIFILI TRUST, DATED APRIL 29, 2008 (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7986.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in The Main Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

3632 Venture Drive, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 7986.1) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: K. Connor)

C70 LARRY L. LARGE (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 9059.1, a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Colorado River, adjacent to 1126 Beach Drive, near Needles, San Bernardino County; for riprap bankline, concrete stairs, rock walls, stacked block retaining wall, concrete patio with railing, and appurtenances. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 9059.1) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: K. Connor)

C71 MICHAEL V. HAMMILL AND KIM E. HAMMILL (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 9060.1, a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in Colorado River, adjacent to 1130 Beach Drive, near Needles, San Bernardino County; for riprap bankline, concrete stairs, rock walls, concrete patio, and rock retaining wall. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 9060.1) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: K. Connor)

C72 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider an application for an amendment to Lease No. PRC 9105.9, a General Lease - Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the intake cove, Diablo Canyon Power Plant near Avila Beach, San Luis Obispo County; to extend the lease term to allow periodic deployment of the salp bubble curtain. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 9105.9; RA# 09617) (A 35; S 17) (Staff: C. Hudson)

C73 RONALD J. SANDERS AND MELISSA P. SANDERS (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8126.1, a General Lease - Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean adjacent to 3398 Pacific Coast Highway, near San Buenaventura, Ventura County; for an existing seawall with wave deflection cap. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8126.1) (A 37; S 19) (Staff: L. Pino)

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

C74 CALIFORNIA GAS GATHERING, INC. (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7681.1, a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in the San Joaquin River, near Dos Palos, Fresno and Madera Counties; for an existing natural gas pipeline. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 7681.1) (A 5, 31; S 12) (Staff: L. Pino)

C75 CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in San Diego Bay, near San Diego and Coronado, San Diego County; for an existing water transmission line. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4223.1; RA# 14217) (A 78; S 39) (Staff: L. Pino)

SCHOOL LANDS

C76 AT&T CORP., A WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF SBC COMMUNICATIONS, INC. (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7202.2, a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of State-owned school land located within a portion of Section 36, Township 18 North, Range 16 East, MDM, near Truckee, Nevada County; for an existing fiber optic cable. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 7202.2) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: C. Hudson)

C77 BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION (APPLICANT): Consider rescission of approval of a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, Lease No. PRC 9359.2, of State-owned school land located within a portion of Section 16 (Lot 4), Township 48 North, Range 6 East, MDM, near the California-Oregon border, Modoc County; for an existing unpaved access road. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (W 26977; PRC 9359.2; RA# 33515) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: C. Hudson)

C78 BRUBAKER-MANN, INC. (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of State-owned indemnity school land located in Section 30, Township 10 North, Range 1 East, SBM, northeast of Barstow, San Bernardino County; for an existing unpaved access road. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8462.2; RA# 15617) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: J. Porter)

MINERAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

C79 ARGUELLO, INC. (APPLICANT): Consider an application for the General Lease - Water Injection Lease (Non-Surface Occupancy) on Assessor's Parcel No. 081-130-019, administered by the Commission, containing approximately 2,012.78 acres of State sovereign land, located offshore in the Gaviota oil and gas field, Santa Barbara County. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7180.1; RA# 03417)(A 37; S 19) (Staff: N. Saito)

C80 CITY OF LONG BEACH (APPLICANT): Consider approval of subsidence monitoring costs for vertical measurements and studies for the 2018-2019 fiscal year, City of Long Beach, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 10443) (A 70; S 33, 34) (Staff: R. B. Greenwood)

C81 CITY OF LONG BEACH (GRANTEE): Consider acceptance of the Long Beach Unit Annual Plan (July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019), Long Beach Unit, Wilmington Oil Field, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (W 17166) (A 70; S 33, 34) (Staff: E. Tاجر, H. Rassamdana)

C82 CITY OF SACRAMENTO (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a Non-Exclusive Geological Survey Permit on submerged lands located in the Sacramento River, Sacramento and Yolo Counties. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 6005.182; RA# 00917) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: R. B. Greenwood)

C83 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO (APPLICANT): Consider an application for issuance of a mineral extraction lease for minerals other than oil, gas, or geothermal resources on Assessor's Parcel No. 0552-141-17, administered by the Commission, containing approximately 80 acres of State-owned 100 percent reserved mineral interest, School land, located within the W/2 of the SW/4 of Section 36, T8N, R7E, SBM, about 45 miles east of Barstow, near Ludlow, and immediately north of Interstate 40, San Bernardino County. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by the County of San Bernardino, State Clearinghouse No. 2001071107, and adoption of a

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

Mitigation Monitoring Program. (W 40990;
RA# 27916) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: V. Perez)

C84 COWI NORTH AMERICA (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a Non-Exclusive Geological Survey Permit on inland submerged and filled lands located on the San Joaquin River, Contra Costa County. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 6005.188; RA# 16717) (A 11; S 7) (Staff: R. B. Greenwood)

MARINE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

C85 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider granting authority to the Executive Officer to enter into an agreement with the University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science to support a study evaluating vessel in-water cleaning and capture technologies to reduce nonindigenous species introduction risk. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 9777.234, W 9777.291, W 9777.295) (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: C. Scianni, D. Cook)

ADMINISTRATION

C86 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider granting authority to the Executive Officer to renew an agreement with University Enterprises, Inc., to procure the services of college students to assist the Commission with various assignments for fiscal year 2018-2019. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: D. Cook, K. Forbes, A. Abeleda)

LEGAL

C87 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (PARTY): Consider approval of the proposed repeal of California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Division 3, Chapter 1, Articles 7 and 8. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (A & S: Statewide)(Staff: P. Huber, J. Frey)

C88 SILICON VALLEY CLUB L.L.C., AND THE CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (PARTIES): Consider request for authorization to relocate an access easement and enlarge another easement located in the vicinity of the Guadalupe River in Alviso, Santa Clara County. CEQA Consideration: Environmental Impact Report certified by the City of San Jose, State Clearinghouse

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

No. 1999082004, Addendum, and statutory exemption.
(SLL 53; BLA 224; AD 391; RA# 14817) (A 25; S 10)
(Staff: D. Tutov, J. Frey)

C89 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
(PARTY): Consider Cession of Concurrent Criminal
Jurisdiction pursuant to California Government Code
section 126 over lands at the Los Angeles Ambulatory
Care Center, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration:
not a project. (FJ 0119.19) (A 53; S 24) (Staff: P.
Huber)

C90 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
(PARTY): Consider Cession of Concurrent Criminal
Jurisdiction pursuant to California Government Code
section 126 over lands at the Sepulveda Ambulatory
Care Center, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration:
not a project. (FJ 0119.20) (A 46; S 18) (Staff: P.
Huber)

KAPILOFF LAND BANK TRUST ACQUISITIONS - NO ITEMS
EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

GRANTED LANDS

C91 CITY OF LONG BEACH (GRANTEE): Review a proposed
tideland oil revenue expenditure increase in an amount
not to exceed \$32,151,572 by the City of Long Beach
for 12 capital improvement projects located on or
adjacent to legislatively granted sovereign land in
the city of Long Beach, Los Angeles County. CEQA
Consideration: not a project. (G 05-03.10) (A 70; S
33) (Staff: M. Moser)

C92 CITY OF ALAMEDA AND THE CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS
COMMISSION (PARTIES): Consider the revised phase area
boundaries and the hazardous material remediation
finding for the phase three closing as required by the
Naval Air Station Alameda Title Settlement and
Exchange Agreement located within the city of Alameda,
Alameda County. CEQA Consideration: not a project;
statutory exemption. (AD 617; W 25109; G 01-01) (A 16;
S 9) (Staff: R. Boggiano, J. Porter)

C93 SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE OF COMMUNITY INVESTMENT AND
INFRASTRUCTURE (SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT
AGENCY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO),

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION, SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT, PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO, AND CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION (PARTIES): Consider approving a deviation from the building height limits in the Hunters Point Shipyard/Candlestick Point Title Settlement, Public Trust Exchange and Boundary Line Agreement, concerning lands within the former Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, City and County of San Francisco. CEQA Consideration: Environmental Impact Report certified by the San Francisco Planning Commission and the Redevelopment Agency Commission, State Clearinghouse No. 2007082168, and Addendum. (AD 557; G 11-00.7) (A 17; S 11) (Staff: R. Boggiano, S. Pemberton, A. Kershen)

FEDERAL PUBLIC LAND CONVEYANCES PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 535 STATUTES OF 2017 (SB 50, ALLEN)

C94 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider authorizing the issuance of a certificate of compliance for a conveyance of federal public lands, arranged by the Commission, in the city and county of San Francisco. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-002) (A 17; S 11) (Staff: P. Huber, A. Kershen)

C95 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider exercising the right of first refusal for the acquisition of federal public lands, or right to arrange for their transfer to another entity, in the city of Alameda, Alameda County. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-003) (A 18; S 9) (Staff: E. Kennedy, P. Huber)

C96 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider exercising the right of first refusal for the acquisition of federal public lands, or right to arrange for their transfer to another entity, in the city of Alameda, Alameda County. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-004) (A 19; S 9) (Staff: E. Kennedy, P. Huber)

C97 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider authorizing the issuance of a certificate of compliance for an exchange of equal value of private and federal public lands in the city of Redlands, San

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

Bernardino County. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-005) (A 40; S 23) (Staff: E. Kennedy, P. Huber)

C98 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider exercising the right of first refusal for the acquisition of federal public lands, or right to arrange for their transfer to another entity, in the county of Santa Barbara. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-006) (A 37; S 19) (Staff: E. Kennedy, P. Huber)

C99 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider exercising the right of first refusal for the acquisition of federal public lands or right to arrange for their transfer to another entity, in the county of Shasta. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-007) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: E. Kennedy, P. Huber)

C100 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider exercising the right of first refusal for the acquisition of federal public lands, or right to arrange for their transfer to another entity, in the city of Tustin, Orange County. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-008) (A 68; S 37) (Staff: E. Kennedy, P. Huber)

V INFORMATIONAL

101 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Report on the monitoring of possible subsidence, Long Beach Unit, Wilmington Oil Field, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (W 10442, W 16001) (A 70; S 33, 34)(Staff: R. B. Greenwood)

102 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Legislative Report providing information and a status update concerning state and federal legislation relevant to the Commission. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton)

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

VI REGULAR CALENDAR 103-110

- 103 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION
(INFORMATIONAL): Informational report on efforts
to overhaul the Commission's environmental
justice policy. CEQA Consideration: not
applicable. (A & S: Statewide)
(Staff: S. Pemberton) 11,
39
- 104 SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION (GRANTEE):
Consider approval of determinations pursuant to
Chapter 529, Statutes of 2016 (AB 2797) regarding
the proposed development of Seawall Lot 337 and
Pier 48, known as the Mission Rock development,
located along 3rd Street between Terry Francois
Boulevard and Mission Rock Street on
legislatively granted lands in the city of San
Francisco, City and County of San Francisco.
CEQA Consideration: not a project. (G 11-01)
(A 17; S 11) (Staff: R. Boggiano) 23
- 105 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider
supporting state legislation (AB 1097, Levine and
SB 836, Glazer) that would prohibit smoking or
disposing of used cigarette waste on a state
coastal beach. CEQA Consideration: not
applicable. (A & S: Statewide)
(Staff: S. Pemberton) 10
- 106 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider
supporting state legislation (AB 2578, Chiu),
that would revise provisions of San Francisco's
Infrastructure Financing District to expand the
purpose of the district to include shoreline
protection, which will address urgent seismic
and flood risks posed by the San Francisco
Seawall. CEQA Consideration: not applicable.
(A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton) 37
- 107 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider
supporting state legislation (AB 2441, Frazier),
that would require the State Lands Commission
to deposit rental income from leases in the
Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta into a newly
created abandoned vessel removal account and

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

authorize the Commission to use the funding to remove abandoned or derelict commercial vessels in the Delta. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton)	45
108 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider sponsoring state legislation that would grant in trust to the city of Sacramento title to land associated with the Sacramento Downtown Railyards Title Settlement and Land Exchange Agreement, and that would repeal previous grants made to the city of Sacramento. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton)	47
109 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (INFORMATIONAL): Status Report on the remediation of Abandoned Mine Features located on State school lands under the Commission's jurisdiction. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (W 40102) (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: G. Pelka, R. Lee)	48
110 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (INFORMATIONAL): Informational report providing a status update on staff's renewable energy evaluation of lands and resources under the Commission's jurisdiction and the Commission's participation in renewable energy task forces in California. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: J. Mattox, M. Farnum, S. Meshkati)	61
VII PUBLIC COMMENT	86
VIII COMMISSIONERS' COMMENTS	102
IX CLOSED SESSION: AT ANY TIME DURING THE MEETING THE COMMISSION MAY MEET IN A SESSION CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC TO CONSIDER THE MATTERS LISTED BELOW PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 11126, PART OF THE BAGLEY-KEENE OPEN MEETING ACT:	104
A. LITIGATION.	

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

The Commission may consider pending and possible litigation pursuant to the confidentiality of attorney-client communications and privileges provided under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e).

1. The Commission may consider pending and possible matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e)(2)(A), concerning adjudicatory proceedings before a court, an administrative body exercising its adjudicatory authority, a hearing officer, or an arbitrator, to which the Commission is a party. Such matters currently include the following:

California Coastkeeper Alliance, California

Coastal Protection v. California State Lands Commission

California State Lands Commission v. City and County of San Francisco

Center for Biological Diversity v. California State Lands Commission

City of Goleta v. California State Lands Commission

In re: Rincon Island Limited Partnership Chapter 11

In re: Venoco, LLC, Bankruptcy Chapter 11

Little Beaver Land Company, Inc. v. State of California

Martins Beach 1, LLC and Martins Beach 2, LLC v. Effie Turnbull-Sanders, et al.

Nowell Investment Company v. State of California; California State Lands Commission

Redevelopment Agency of San Francisco v. John W. Lebolt, et al.

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

San Francisco Baykeeper v. California State Lands Commission

San Francisco Baykeeper v. California State Lands Commission II

San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors Water Authority v. State of California; State Lands Commission

Seacliff Beach Colony Homeowners Association v. State of California, et al.

Sierra Club, et al. v. City of Los Angeles, et al.

SLPR, LLC, et al. v. San Diego Unified Port District, California State Lands Commission

SOS Donner Lake v. State of California, et al.

United States v. 1.647 Acres

United States v. Walker River Irrigation District, et al.

United States of America v. State of California, et al.

World Business Academy v. California State Lands Commission

2. The Commission may consider matters that fall ' under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e)(2)(b), under which;
 - a. A point has been reached where, in the opinion of the Commission, on the advice of its legal counsel, based on existing facts and circumstances, there is a significant exposure to litigation against the Commission, or
 - b. Based on existing facts and circumstances, the Commission is meeting only to decide whether a closed session is authorized

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

because of a significant exposure to litigation against the Commission.

3. The Commission may consider matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e)(2)(C), where, based on existing facts and circumstances, the state body has decided to initiate or is deciding whether to initiate litigation.

B. CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS.

The Commission may consider matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (c)(7), under which, prior to the purchase sale, exchange, or lease of real property by or for the Commission, the directions may be given to its negotiators regarding price and terms of payment for the purchase, sale, exchange, or lease. At the time of publication of this Agenda, it is not anticipated that the Commission will discuss any such matters; however, at the time of the scheduled meeting, a discussion of any such matter may be necessary or appropriate.

Adjournment 104

Reporter's Certificate 105

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
2 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Good afternoon. I call this
3 meeting of the State Lands Commission to order. All
4 representatives of the Commission are present. I'm State
5 Controller Betty Yee. And I'm joined today by Rhys
6 Williams representing Lieutenant Gavin Newsom who will be
7 joining us momentarily, and by Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez
8 representing the Department of Finance.

9 For the benefit of those in the audience present
10 here in Palm Springs, as well as those watching on the
11 webcast, State Lands Commission manages State property
12 interests in over five million acres of land, including
13 mineral interests. The Commission also has responsibility
14 for the prevention of oil spills at marine oil terminals
15 and offshore oil platforms. And for preventing the
16 introduction of marine invasive species into California's
17 marine waters.

18 Today, we will hear requests and presentations
19 involving the lands and resources within the Commission's
20 jurisdiction. We recognize that the lands we manage have
21 been inhabited for tens of thousands of years by
22 California's native peoples, and take seriously our trust
23 relationship with these sovereign governments.

24 Today, our gratitude goes to the Agua Caliente
25 Band of Cahuilla Indians, who have inhabited the Palm

1 Springs area for countless generations, on whose ancestral
2 hands we sit today. We also thank the Torres Martinez
3 Desert Cahuilla Indians whose ancestral lands include the
4 Salton Sea, which Commissioner Newsom visited this
5 morning.

6 I want to thank the City of Palm Springs for
7 welcoming us and having us convene the State Lands
8 Commission meeting in its chambers and very, very happy to
9 have a number our city officials with us and I'd like to
10 just give them an opportunity address the Commission if
11 they would. We have Mayor Robert Moon, who was with us,
12 and three of the council members, Council Members Jeff
13 Kors, Lisa Middleton, and Christy Holstege.

14 Thank you so much for hosting us. And please, if
15 you'd like to offer a few words?

16 PALM SPRINGS MAYOR MOON: Very briefly I'd like
17 to welcome to the State Lands Commission

18 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Mayor, if you will, there's a
19 podium right there, where -- this is being webcast.

20 PALM SPRINGS MAYOR MOON: We didn't have a
21 rehearsal.

22 (Laughter.)

23 CHAIRPERSON YEE: That's okay.

24 PALM SPRINGS MAYOR MOON: Welcome to the Land --
25 State Lands Commission and to our Controller Betty Yee.

1 It's such an honor to have you here. And I understand
2 this is the first time you've met in the desert in 80
3 years. So really -- and I hope you enjoy your time here.
4 And I hope it will not be another 80 years before you come
5 back.

6 CHAIRPERSON YEE: I can assure you, it won't be.

7 PALM SPRINGS MAYOR MOON: Because as we like to
8 say Palm Springs is like no place else. And besides being
9 a beautiful place with our mountains and our beautiful
10 environment here, we also have a very involved and active
11 and Educated community here, which is very, very active in
12 the work that you do.

13 So thank you very much for being here today.

14 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mayor Moon.

15 Council members please.

16 PALM SPRINGS COUNCIL MEMBER KORS: Well, welcome
17 to Palm Springs. And we really are thrilled to have the
18 Commission here.

19 You know, the desert environment is so precious
20 to all of us who live here, but it's such an important
21 part of the State. And we're all thrilled that you are
22 here to see it and to hear from people in this community.
23 And the work that has been done by the Commission is so
24 important to us to preserve the desert. And the desert
25 also offers a unique environment for renewable energy, and

1 carbon-free energy. And in August, we will be launching
2 our community choice aggregation program here, along with
3 desert -- Palm Desert and Cathedral City. And for the
4 exact same cost to ratepayers, both commercial and
5 residential, as Edison charges for not carbon-free power
6 we will have 100 percent carbon free option.

7 And we really are looking in the future to be
8 able to increase the local proportion of that, and to
9 generate more power locally. And so making sure we do
10 that in a way that preserves the desert environment is
11 really critical to us, and we look forward to working with
12 you on that effort. So thank you so much.

13 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Council Member Kors.
14 Great News. Great work.

15 Okay. Lisa.

16 Okay. Thank you very much again for hosting us
17 today.

18 All right. Commissioners, the first item of
19 business will be the adoption of the minutes from the
20 Commission's meeting of February 27th, 2018. Is there a
21 motion to approve the minutes

22 ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: So moved.

23 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. We have a motion by
24 Commissioner Wong-Hernandez.

25 ACTING COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Second.

1 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Seconded by Commissioner
2 Williams.

3 Without objection, such will be the order.
4 Thank you very much.

5 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Next item of business is the
6 Executive Officer's report.

7 Ms. Lucchesi.

8 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. Good
9 afternoon.

10 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Good afternoon.

11 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I also want to thank
12 the City of Palm Springs for their warm welcome and their
13 generosity in hosting us today.

14 I know that a lot of members -- for those
15 watching on the webcast and in the audience, a lot of
16 members of the public associate the State Lands Commission
17 with the Pacific Ocean, with bays, and navigable
18 waterways. In Riverside County, in San Bernardino County
19 and Imperial County, we manage hundreds of thousands of
20 acres of school lands and mineral interests, including the
21 California portion of the Colorado River.

22 So the State Lands Commission has a huge stake in
23 the success of these three counties, and our contributions
24 to their success through the management of State property
25 interests.

1 With that said, I have just a couple of brief
2 updates for the Commission. As a reminder, the State
3 Lands Commission's budget is up next week in the Assembly
4 Budget Subcommittee on April 25th, and in the Senate
5 Budget Subcommittee on April 26th.

6 So I will be participating in those hearings, and
7 advocating for our budget during those hearings on behalf
8 of the Commission.

9 I want to give a brief update on our
10 decommissioning activities relating to Platform Holly and
11 Rincon Island down in Santa Barbara County and Ventura
12 County respectively. We continue on Platform Holly and
13 the Piers 421 to coordinate on the technical aspects of
14 proceeding towards plugging and abandoning the 32 wells
15 offshore with our contractor Beacon West and with Exxon.
16 That coordination continues.

17 On the legal front, we are in negotiations with
18 Exxon on both an interim agreement, so that they can start
19 getting started on some of the preparation and ongoing
20 maintenance work that needs to be done prior to actually
21 starting the plugging and abandonment work. And then we
22 also are negotiating an engagement agreement for the
23 broader plugging and abandonment work permanently.

24 And then finally, we are continuing to coordinate
25 closely with our regulatory agency partners and local

1 government partners to secure permits and plan for the
2 plugging and abandonment work. We do have a town hall
3 meeting planned for -- in the City of Goleta on May 14th,
4 and a formal presentation to the Goleta City Council to
5 provide them an update on all things Platform Holly Piers
6 421, the Ellwood Onshore Facility, processing plant, and
7 the Venoco Bankruptcy proceedings on May 15th.

8 With regards to Rincon Island, we are also
9 working closely with our emergency contracting on site to
10 continue to maintain the island, and get it ready to start
11 the plugging and abandonment work. We issued a statement
12 of interest, and are currently evaluating the submittals
13 for a contractor to come in and actually perform the
14 plugging and abandonment work.

15 We're also working closely with DOGGR on those
16 efforts to get the island ready for the work, and we
17 continue to coordinate with the property owners adjacent
18 to the island along the coast there, and with the County
19 Ventura to start scheduling a more comprehensive public
20 outreach campaign to make sure that the greater community
21 knows what's going on, and what to expect in the coming
22 years -- months and years.

23 At the February -- moving on to San Mateo County.
24 At the February 27th State Lands Commission meeting, the
25 Commission directed staff to conduct a Public Trust needs

1 assessment for an 8.8 acre parcel of filled lands in the
2 City of Burlingame. Since that time, in coordination with
3 the City of Burlingame, staff has conducted public
4 outreach, and held a public meeting to solicit input. The
5 public meeting was held on March 22nd at the Burlingame
6 Parks and Recreation Center. The meeting was attended by
7 over 100 members of the public with standing room only.
8 We are really pleased by the turn out on that.

9 Staff presented on the Public Trust doctrine and
10 they uses permitted under the Public Trust followed by a
11 question and answer session. The large group was then
12 broken into smaller groups with note takers to assemble a
13 comprehensive list of the public's needs for this piece of
14 property at this location.

15 Staff has compiled the suggestions and comments
16 made from that meeting, and we continue to -- our outreach
17 efforts for additional input as we begin the analysis
18 process. We will prepare a Public Trust needs assessment
19 and make a draft version available on our website, and
20 circulate that among the public and in close coordination
21 with the city on the draft before finalizing the
22 assessment for the Commission's consideration at either
23 the June or August meeting. So we're moving and making
24 progress fairly quickly on that.

25 I want to give you my routine monthly update on

1 the San Diego Ocean Planning Partnership with the Port of
2 San Diego. We are moving forward with both stakeholder
3 outreach and the development of an interactive spatial
4 tool. The team had a couple of very productive days at
5 the end of March in San Diego meeting with the Navy to
6 finalize GIS data layers to inform the spatial tool, and
7 with the Maritime Alliance to discuss the considerations
8 that will be important for ocean planning related to blue
9 tech technologies and activities, and the blue economy.

10 We are busy synthesizing the input that we've
11 received so far from all stakeholder engagement meetings,
12 and we look forward to presenting our early learnings to
13 you and the public at either the June or the August
14 meeting.

15 And finally, just wanted to update the public on
16 a couple of Commission meeting location changes. For our
17 June 21st meeting, we will -- we are looking at holding
18 that meeting in Marin or Sonoma Counties. August 23rd,
19 we'll be in Los Angeles -- the greater Los Angeles area.
20 October 18th, we're planning to hold that meeting in
21 Sacramento. And our December meeting, we're looking at
22 San Diego to hold that meeting.

23 And that concludes my Executive Officer's report.
24 I'm happy to answer any questions

25 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you, Ms.

1 Lucchesi. Let me welcome Commissioner Newsom to the
2 meeting.

3 Any questions or comments?

4 I'm just going to say a couple things. I really
5 am very appreciative of the public process with respect to
6 the City of Burlingame issue. And if for nothing else,
7 and I think some of the press coverage holds this to be
8 true that any time we have an opportunity to do public
9 education around the Public Trust Doctrine I think is
10 very, very useful. And I think the participation has been
11 quite robust with respect to ideas for the property there.

12 And again, just not enough kudos to the staff for
13 continuing the diligence on Platform Holly, given that
14 this is really stretching our bandwidth a bit. But just
15 very, very appreciative of the ongoing work there.

16 Okay. No other comments, we'll move on to the
17 next order of business, which will be the adoption of the
18 consent calendar. Commissioners, any items that you would
19 like to see removed from the consent calendar?

20 I'll then ask Ms. Lucchesi to identify any from
21 your perspective as well.

22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. I would like
23 to remove Consent Items 16, 54, 67, 79, 89, 90, and 93,
24 and Regular Item 105 from the agenda to be heard at a
25 later date.

1 CHAIRPERSON YEE: All right. Commissioners, any
2 other items you'd like to see removed?

3 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Move the remainder of the
4 calendar.

5 ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Second.

6 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. We have a motion by
7 Commissioner Newsom to approve the remainder of the
8 consent calendar, seconded by Commissioner Wong-Hernandez.
9 Without objection, that motion carries.

10 And I think we had some folks signed in for Item
11 C 54. That item has now been removed for a later time.

12 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes, that item is
13 removed from the agenda and will be heard at a later date.

14 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Very well. Thank you
15 very much.

16 All right. Then we -- we'll move on to -- oh,
17 I'm sorry. Let me back up. Is there any member of the
18 audience that wished to have spoken on the -- any item on
19 the consent calendar.

20 I don't believe anyone signed in. Just wanted to
21 check.

22 Okay. Very well. Thank you. So the next order
23 of business is the regular calendar. So let me see, I
24 believe we're starting out with item 103.

25 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. Sheri

1 Pemberton of our staff will be giving staff's update on
2 our efforts to overhaul the State Land Commission's
3 Environmental Justice Policy.

4 (Thereupon an overhead presentation was
5 Presented as follows.)

6 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you.

7 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

8 PEMBERTON: Thank you. Good afternoon.

9 I have a PowerPoint.

10 --o0o--

11 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

12 PEMBERTON: And so I just wanted to kind of give a brief
13 overview and run through where we are. And these are the
14 objectives of this presentation is to just provide an
15 overview of our workplan, our key tasks, the Commission's
16 participation in GARE, our timeline, and then I'll
17 conclude.

18 --o0o--

19 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

20 PEMBERTON: We're in the process as we've been for over
21 the year -- over the last year doing outreach and public
22 engagement. We're trying to do targeted outreach in
23 communities throughout the State. We're also trying to
24 use our office locations to have roundtables where we can
25 invite the public to come and have more in-depth

1 discussions about our draft policy.

2 We have three teams, and each team has a lead and
3 co-lead. They're organized into outreach and public
4 engagement, policy development, and implementation.

5 --o0o--

6 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

7 PEMBERTON: And we're also going to be doing webinars in
8 addition to our roundtables and our outreach and also
9 focusing on outreach with Native American tribes.

10 --o0o--

11 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

12 PEMBERTON: We last week released a draft of our
13 Environmental Justice Policy to a group of environmental
14 justice organizations who formed a working group who are
15 helping to advise us. And this is a list of those
16 organizations. And so we've had some great feedback from
17 them, and we're making some revisions to the Policy based
18 on that feedback. And then our hope is to release that
19 draft policy more broadly to all of our staff, and to our
20 really comprehensive outreach list.

21 This is just a snapshot of CalEnviroScreen. And
22 it's a tool that we've been using also to help us as we
23 design our outreach, so we can go to areas that are --
24 have more disadvantaged communities and vulnerable
25 populations. So we're really concentrating on the areas

1 where there is the largest need.

2 --o0o--

3 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

4 PEMBERTON: And we're also --

5 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Just a quick through the
6 Chair.

7 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes, please.

8 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Just curious, who put --
9 who's responsible for CalEnviroScreen?

10 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

11 PEMBERTON: Yes.

12 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: How's that methodology. I
13 mean, I sense a methodology obviously with the --

14 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

15 PEMBERTON: Yes. Yes. I'm sorry for skipping over that.
16 It's the -- under the California Environmental Protection
17 Agency, the California Health -- I'm not sure I remember
18 all the words Health Assessment -- Office of Health
19 Assessment -- I'm kind of blanking on their name, but
20 they're a statewide organization under CalEPA, and they
21 created CalEnviroScreen and they maintain it.

22 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: And it's updated on Annual
23 basis, or --

24 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

25 PEMBERTON: It's updated regularly. I'm not sure if it's

1 annually or how frequently, but I can find out and provide
2 you that information.

3 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Yeah, just interesting.
4 It's, yeah, a valuable tool. I mean obviously a perfect
5 guidepost.

6 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF
7 PEMBERTON: It -- yeah, exactly. And you can also enter
8 in specific questions or inquiries, so you can target a
9 whole variety of different demographics.

10 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Great to know.

11 --o0o--

12 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

13 PEMBERTON: So it's really -- it's really been useful.

14 We're also working with California Coastal
15 Commission and Governor's Office of Planning and Research.
16 I say that kind of loosely, but we've been, as a staff,
17 working with their staff, because they're embarking on a
18 similar endeavor, along with San Francisco Bay
19 Conservation and Development Commission. So we're hoping
20 we can gather together and do some outreach amongst our
21 different agencies.

22 --o0o--

23 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

24 PEMBERTON: And then in terms of policy development, We'll
25 be recirculating our draft policy soon, and then we'll

1 this work. And we've been doing it for a while, but we
2 feel really passionate and committed. And so thank you
3 for the opportunity to present an update and I'm happy to
4 answer any questions.

5 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you, Sheri.
6 Comments from Commissioners?

7 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: No. Just I -- just applaud
8 the progress. Thanks for the update, and it's good to see
9 the end date in sight as well most importantly, which is
10 what I fundamentally was curious about.

11 So thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes, Commissioner.

13 ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: It doesn't
14 appear to want to work. It's on and off.

15 Thank you for the work that you've done. You
16 know, we have really important work. I'm really looking
17 forward to seeing the report further.

18 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Let me just add, I just think
19 this work is phenomenal. And what I particularly like
20 about it is that you're not taking a linear approach with
21 respect to all these steps that are going to be critical
22 elements and future of the policy. The engagement with
23 the environmental justice community statewide has been
24 stellar. And I think just the -- already the integration
25 of, you know, their participation and reflection of

1 their -- reflection of those perspectives and the work
2 that we do is already evident. So I appreciate that very
3 much.

4 We have a couple of speakers on this item. If I
5 could call you up. And I apologize. I cannot read the
6 last name as written on the sheets. Rebecca from
7 Leadership Counsel, and Angela from Sacred Places
8 Institute come forward.

9 Good afternoon.

10 MR. ZARAGOZA: Hello. Good afternoon. My name
11 is Rebecca Zaragoza. I'm a policy advocate with the
12 Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability. And
13 I'm based in the eastern Coachella Valley.

14 I want to start off by thanking Sheri Pemberton
15 and the Commission for reaching out to us to make public
16 comment and for hosting this meeting near our communities.

17 The communities that we work with in the Eastern
18 Coachella Valley are the four unincorporated communities.
19 They are Thermal, Mecca, Oasis and North Shore. And they
20 all face discrimination on day-to-day basis. They deal
21 with poor air quality, contaminated drinking water, septic
22 issues, illegal dumping and burning in their communities.
23 And these are only a few of the issues that they deal
24 with.

25 I want to stress that these issues have persisted

1 throughout decades, and community residents have been
2 voicing their concerns. But because of the small amount
3 of change that we've seen, they're growing more and more
4 disillusioned with any change happening in the near
5 future.

6 So I'll start off by saying a few facts of the
7 communities, and then provide some -- a list of
8 recommendations for you to consider in your Environmental
9 Justice Policy.

10 In 2015, 18 percent of the -- all adults in the
11 valley were diagnosed with a respiratory disease. That's
12 more than 62,000 people. Nearly 11 percent of the
13 children have asthma. And these numbers are surely
14 growing because of the Salton Sea crisis. However, these
15 numbers are not all accurate, because they don't reflect
16 the number of residents with respiratory diseases who get
17 attention from clinics and not hospitals. And one of the
18 reasons why that is is because if you live in North Shore,
19 which is practically right adjacent to the Salton Sea, the
20 nearest hospital is in Indio, which is more than a 30 or
21 40 minute drive.

22 In Thermal, pesticides and illegal dumping plague
23 the area. There needs to be a way to work with tribes,
24 because illegal dumping often happens on tribal land. And
25 so I think that there needs to be a way to coordinate with

1 the tribes, and prevent these incidents from occurring.

2 On the east side, many communities have
3 chronically contaminated water. In the community of
4 Oasis, arsenic was found in high concentrations in all of
5 the aquifers in the basin, and fluoride was also found at
6 high concentrations.

7 And even with the knowledge and awareness of
8 these living conditions, our elected officials and
9 representatives still support sprawl development, and are
10 bringing in outside communities to occupy our lands and
11 gentrify our neighborhoods.

12 We are seeing very slow change again, like I
13 said, but we expect that in your efforts to update the
14 Environmental Justice Policy, you will include ways to
15 addresses these types of issues, for rural, disadvantaged,
16 and unincorporated communities throughout the State.

17 So I will now read a list of some recommendations
18 for you to consider throughout the process:

19 Be more cognizant of the cumulative impacts
20 suffered by EJ communities; develop an existing burden
21 analysis; desert renewable energy needs to be appropriate
22 so as not to harm existing communities; make sure your
23 efforts don't just look at preventing harm to communities,
24 but that your projects are actually benefiting them;
25 develop guidelines for a review process for project

1 approval; develop an environmental review process; again,
2 make sure that projects don't add additional harm to
3 communities; prevent expansion of oil terminals and
4 pipelines; help transition California off of fossil fuels;
5 promote clean transportation options;

6 Instead of big solar projects, prioritize smaller
7 insulations like community solar projects, which is
8 something that our communities are greatly supportive of;
9 address the agricultural runoff and nitrates that flow
10 into water system; work closely with local, State, and
11 federal agencies to combine all of your efforts; and again
12 to reiterate, do more extensive and meaningful outreach
13 and collaboration with the EJ communities.

14 Thank you.

15 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much.

16 Yes, Commissioner, please.

17 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Can you just quickly --
18 just see if I heard it correctly. You said that we're
19 only collecting respiratory data in hospitals, but we're
20 not including those instances that people are working or
21 at least getting the kind of care in the community
22 clinics?

23 MS. ZARAGOZA: Yes. And also, the numbers that
24 we have don't account for the people who don't seek that
25 attention.

1 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: In the first place.

2 And it just begs the question why we're not
3 collaborating with community clinics to connect that data
4 with the hospital setting. But interesting, thank you for
5 that. It's illuminating and profoundly important, and so
6 grateful for your comments.

7 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you.

8 MS. ZARAGOZA: Thank you. Any other questions?

9 CHAIRPERSON YEE: No. Thank you very much for
10 being here.

11 Angela.

12 MS. MOONEY D'ARCY: Hi, everybody. I'm Angela
13 Moody D'Arcy. I'm from the Acjachemen Nation Juaneno Band
14 of Mission Indians. Our ancestral territory is in what's
15 now known as Orange County.

16 I want to acknowledge the Cahuilla people of Agua
17 Caliente and Torres Martinez and all Cahuilla Nations for
18 allowing us to be here on their land, and really to uplift
19 the State Lands Commission. I'm at, you know, various
20 State agency meetings all the time, and I have to say this
21 is the first time that I've heard the meeting opened by
22 acknowledging who's ancestral lands they're on. So really
23 thank you for that.

24 I am the Executive Director of the Sacred Places
25 Institute for Indigenous Peoples. So we're honored to be

1 one of the eight organizations that's part of the
2 Environmental Justice Working Group. And I'm really
3 hopeful, excited that you guys are doing this work,
4 honored to be a part of that group. Our working group has
5 come up with 10 pages of recommendations that we'll be
6 sharing in greater detail with staff soon. We'll be
7 reporting to you all at the June meeting.

8 Very excited to update that at this point you
9 know we're considering, as we rightly should, uplifting
10 California's history and its shameful legacy of State
11 sponsored genocide, and really looking at what State Lands
12 can do to engage in that history in a positive way, and
13 look at these opportunities to engage with native nations
14 moving forward. So thank you. I look forward to getting
15 to know you all better and presenting in June.

16 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Angela, for being
17 here. Comments?

18 Okay. Very well. Ms. Lucchesi, anything else on
19 this item?

20 Sheri.

21 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: No.

22 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Very well. Thank you
23 very much.

24 Our next item is Item 104. And this is to
25 consider approval determinations regarding the Mission

1 Rock development. And let me have staff come up and make
2 the presentation.

3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Reid Boggiano of our
4 staff will be giving our presentation followed by the Port
5 of San Francisco.

6 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Sounds Great. Good afternoon.
7 (Thereupon an overhead presentation was
8 Presented as follows.)

9 PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST BOGGIANO: Good
10 afternoon, Commissioners.

11 In 2007, the legislature enacted SB 815
12 temporarily lifting the Public Trust use restrictions over
13 designated seawall lots in San Francisco and enabling
14 development to generate revenue for port historic
15 rehabilitation, open space, and public plazas.

16 --o0o--

17 PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST BOGGIANO: As a
18 result of SB 815, the Port initiated a planning process
19 for the Mission Rock Project located within Seawall Lot
20 337 just south of AT&T park. Following a multi-year
21 public planning process, the owners of the San Francisco
22 Giants were selected as the Port's development partner for
23 the master development of the 16-acre site. The proposed
24 project consists of approximately 1600 units of new rental
25 housing and 1.4 million square feet of new office space,

1 retail, waterfront parks, public infrastructure, and sets
2 forth a process aimed at the rehabilitation of Pier 48.

3 This new neighborhood and public open space is
4 designed to become a destination that complements the San
5 Francisco waterfront and Mission Bay.

6 The project will require a substantial investment
7 in public infrastructure including new streets, sidewalks,
8 utility infrastructure, and elevation increases. The cost
9 to deliver the infrastructure improvements, including the
10 soft costs and mitigation measures is estimated at 166
11 million. SB 815 was enacted before Port staff fully
12 understood the complexity of developing Seawall Lot 337,
13 including the need to finance new infrastructure to
14 support development.

15 Under SB 815, all port revenue from the non-Trust
16 leasing and development of the seawall lots was
17 specifically reserved for the rehabilitation of the Port's
18 historic piers and for the development of the waterfront
19 plazas and the open space.

20 In 2016, SB 815 was amended by AB 2797 allowing
21 the Port to use its non-Trust lease revenues from the
22 project to make port advances to finance the costs of
23 Seawall Lot 337 infrastructure. This complex financing
24 structure is based on the premise that Port advances of
25 ground rent will allow the Port to stem the compounding of

1 developer returns and repay developer's equity and return
2 more quickly substantially reducing financing costs while
3 also preserving the Port's underlying land value.

4 Prior to the use of the Port advances to pay for
5 Seawall Lot 337 infrastructure costs, the Commission must
6 find that the use of the advances is in the -- is in the
7 best interests of the state, will benefit the Public
8 Trust, and is consistent with the Port's fiduciary duties
9 as trustee.

10 The Port must also provide sufficient assurances
11 that the trust will be made whole. The project's
12 financing plan establishes two public financing mechanisms
13 to fund infrastructure costs and to repay port and
14 developer capital. Port property tax increment estimated
15 to be generated to be -- estimated to be generated by
16 infrastructure financing districts covering the project
17 site, and special taxes from one more -- one or more
18 to-be-formed community financing districts, or CFDs, over
19 the project site.

20 Commission staff contracted with Sperry Capital
21 to analyze the project's financial documents and determine
22 the risks associated with the Port advances.

23 Sperry's capital risk assessment found that CFDs
24 will be predominantly used to repay developer capital and
25 port advances. The city plans to form one or more CFDs

1 over the Mission Rock Special Use District, and establish
2 annual tax rate for CFD special taxes. Taxable parcels
3 for the project, however, have not yet been created.

4 Without formed CFDs, Sperry Capital was unable to
5 analyze the forecasts for the CFD projections.

6 Nonetheless, Sperry Capital concluded that it's reasonable
7 to assume that the city will establish special tax rates
8 that provide sufficient funding for the infrastructure
9 costs. Goodwin consulting, the Port's special tax
10 consultant for the Mission Rock CFDs, is under contract to
11 prepare the documentation required to form the CFDs, and
12 will be able to do so once taxable parcels are created at
13 the start of phase one of the project.

14 The Port and the developer have agreed to CFD
15 term sheet on tax levels the Port intends to seek through
16 CFD formation, which is expected to occur early to
17 mid-2018. The structure of the project's -- the project's
18 transaction documents gives the Port significant control
19 over the process of budgeting and the formation of the
20 CFD.

21 The use of the Port advances is an essential --
22 is an essential financing component of the project
23 enabling the Port to pay down developer equity more
24 quickly, increasing the Port's return on the project.

25 --o0o--

1 PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST BOGGIANO: Port
2 advances will also allow the project to deliver China Bain
3 Park, a large park planned along the north waterfront
4 years earlier than anticipated. Although there is risk
5 associated with the repayment, staff believes the benefits
6 to the Trust outweigh the risks.

7 Assuming the city and port proceed with the
8 formation of CFDs and establish a special tax rate to
9 provide sufficient funding for infrastructure costs, staff
10 recommends the Commission find that there are sufficient
11 assurances that the Trust will be made whole, and that the
12 use of the Port advances, as described in the Port's
13 documents, is in the best interests of the state, will
14 benefit the Public Trust, and is on terms consistent with
15 the Port's fiduciary duty as trustee.

16 Commission staff is requesting that following the
17 formation of the CFDs, the Port provide Commission staff
18 the CFD cash flow projections prepared by an independent
19 specialized consultant, so staff can review the
20 projections.

21 The Commission must also approve the Port's
22 procedures for establishing fair market value, and the
23 ground lease for the development parcels. Staff has
24 reviewed the vertical development and disposition
25 agreement, the parcel lease, and the joint appraisal

1 instructions, and recommend the Commission approve the
2 form of the documents.

3 Finally, SB 815 requires the Port to submit for
4 Commission approval a study analyzing the need to retain
5 trust uses within Seawall Lot 337. The study's
6 conclusions address the project's public outreach, the
7 Public Trust needs at the site, and addresses the
8 transportation needs in the vicinity.

9 Staff has reviewed the study and recommends that
10 the Commission approve the conclusions.

11 This concludes staff's presentation. I'd like to
12 turn it over to the Rebecca Benassini with the Port and
13 Jack Bair from the Giants for a brief information
14 presentation on the Mission Rock Project and I'm available
15 for any questions after their presentation.

16 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much.

17 (Thereupon an overhead presentation was
18 Presented as follows.)

19 MR. BAIR: Thank you. My name is Jack Bair. I'm
20 with the San Francisco Giants Baseball team. I'm also the
21 president of our real estate entity that is sponsoring
22 this project along with the Port of San Francisco.

23 See if we can get the slides up here.

24 I was here before the State Lands Commission, not
25 in Palm Springs, but in Sacramento 21 years ago when we

1 approved the ballpark. So I'm glad to be back here today.
2 We've been working on this project for 11 years. It's
3 been an odyssey, a journey. Everybody has touched this
4 project, and it's really ready now to be approved. So
5 it's one of the final stops on our journey. It's been a
6 collaboration, not only with the State Lands Commission,
7 but BCDC, the Port of San Francisco, Mayor's Office in San
8 Francisco. In fact, it started under Mayor Newsom 11
9 years ago.

10 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: I'm going to miss the
11 concrete parking lot.

12 (Laughter.)

13 MR. BAIR: Yes.

14 So just really quickly some slides. Most of you
15 are familiar with the area that we're talking about just
16 south of the ballpark surrounding my Mission Bay. It's
17 actually -- there was a rock formation in the Bay called
18 Mission Rock, which this arrow points to.

19 And our site is the site that's bounded by the
20 red outline. Just off the coast from that site used to be
21 Mission Rock.

22 --o0o--

23 MR. BAIR: This is a picture of our parking lot
24 that serves the ballpark. And we think there's a better
25 use for this beautiful waterfront land, and we've spent

1 many years trying to come to a consensus of what that use
2 would be.

3 --o0o--

4 MR. BAIR: We worked with the neighborhood with
5 all the public process in San Francisco, and all the
6 different agencies to come up with a project that balances
7 the needs of the State Lands Commission, the City, and to
8 get to the project that we have today.

9 --o0o--

10 MR. BAIR: This is a land-use plan that shows
11 where the open space is in the project, which is
12 considerable over eight acres of open space, especially a
13 large waterfront park that you see at the north part of
14 the site. But it includes housing, office, retail,
15 parking, and, of course, parks.

16 --o0o--

17 MR. BAIR: This is a view of the site from the
18 ballpark, the upper deck of the ballpark. It will be very
19 visually prominent.

20 --o0o--

21 MR. BAIR: This is a rendering of the China
22 Basing Park, which is a five-acre park on the water's
23 edge, which will really be transformative for the City, in
24 terms of its open space network in this neighborhood.

25 --o0o--

1 MR. BAIR: And the park itself and the project is
2 resilient to sea level rise, in that it's 66 inches above
3 grade where it is today. And the park itself is also
4 resilient. And you can see the salt water plants that
5 will be along the waterfront.

6 --o0o--

7 MR. BAIR: We also have a square in the middle of
8 the project that's sort of reminiscent of some squares you
9 might see in Europe, Bryant Park in New York. It's meant
10 to be the social hub of the Mission Bay Neighborhood
11 surrounded by retail, and restaurants, and active life.

12 --o0o--

13 MR. BAIR: We also have an emphasis on small
14 intimate neighborhood retail throughout the project to
15 make the ground floor very compelling for our -- not only
16 all the fans that come to the Giants games, but all the
17 residents that live in Mission Bay and will live at
18 Mission Rock.

19 --o0o--

20 MR. BAIR: We also have an edge that's more
21 industrial in nature, so we're preserving the working
22 waterfront theme on the east edge of our site. And we
23 also have Pier 48, which is envisioned to have small
24 manufacturing industrial type uses.

25 --o0o--

1 MR. BAIR: We also have housing that will be
2 approximately 1400 to 1500 units of housing. It depends
3 on the mix of the size of the units, but 40 percent of
4 those units will be affordable. So this is groundbreaking
5 in that way, and it's affordable to all ranges, so from
6 very low incomes to more moderate incomes. And that's a
7 real crisis throughout our State and in San Francisco.

8 --o0o--

9 MR. BAIR: It's also -- will be home to
10 commercial office. And as you can see in this rendering,
11 very attractive, compelling space with the views of the
12 Bay Bridge and the ballpark and the waterfront. So we're
13 very excited to get started to transform this surface
14 parking lot and make it really productive for the Port,
15 the State Lands Commission, and the people of San
16 Francisco.

17 Rebecca.

18 MS. BENASSINI: Thank you, Jack. Rebecca
19 Benassini from the Port of San Francisco. I just wanted
20 to highlight some of the Trust benefits that Reid has
21 discussed and Jack has so beautifully displayed in the
22 renderings that Giants team has put together.

23 --o0o--

24 MS. BENASSINI: Wanted to put a fine point on
25 what we've been talking about transitioning the space from

1 primarily a space for vehicles to a space for people and a
2 space for the public. What you see here is of the 28-acre
3 footprint of the site, which includes Pier 48, about a
4 third of it is dedicated to public space, including the
5 eight acres of park, and an additional four acres focused
6 on bike-ped facilities. And a lot of care was taken in
7 laying out the different types of streets. The blue is
8 the shared public way. We have some hatched streets on
9 the north end of the site, which are primarily pedestrian
10 focused.

11 From the Port's perspective, we're really happy
12 to see this sort of gateway we're going to have to Pier 48
13 and Pier 50, which are going to make those facilities much
14 more viable for different types of uses in the future.
15 Right now, they're across a large parking lot. So to the
16 extent we can get people out there more easily, we're
17 going to attract a lot of different types of uses.

18 --o0o--

19 MS. BENASSINI: We often think of parks and
20 streets and roadways as clearly public spaces. And there
21 was a lot of thought given to those design elements. I
22 want to also point out that the ground floor of the
23 buildings. This design team put a lot of thinking into
24 those, and approached them as sort of an extension of the
25 public realm. So the retail studies that were undertaken

1 to design the ground floor of each streets looked at the
2 great retail streets primarily in San Francisco Filmore
3 Street, Chestnut Street to really identify what makes
4 those streets nice on a sort of quantitative basis.

5 They looked at how long are the blocks, where are
6 the -- how many shops do people like to walk along as
7 they're walking along the block, how big are the shops,
8 how wide are they? And the retail strategy that's come
9 from that design that was adopted by the city, we think is
10 really going to provide for a memorable experience for
11 residents, workers, and visitors alike.

12 --o0o--

13 MS. BENASSINI: And the last point I wanted to
14 highlight is the amount of revenue the Port is projecting
15 that will be under our control in one way or another.
16 This chart which shows through the end of -- end of the
17 century projected real estate revenue based sources to the
18 Port, which is lower half of the chart. And those are
19 ground leases, transfer payments the Port is anticipating
20 as ground leases turnover over time.

21 We also see the kind of middle part of the chart,
22 which is the tax increment, which comes from the
23 infrastructure financing district established on port
24 property. It's established for a 45-year time period.
25 That revenue will be paying us back for the land proceeds

1 that we loan the project in order to get the
2 infrastructure paid down more quickly. We'll get those
3 proceeds back.

4 And we will also get outyear proceeds, which can
5 be used for resiliency port-wide. So this will be a
6 revenue source not only for Mission Rock, but to assist
7 other parts of the waterfront that might not have revenue
8 sources like Mission Rock does.

9 And the last piece of the chart is the additional
10 special tax, the Community Facilities District additional
11 special tax, which will help support infrastructure in the
12 early years. But beginning in phase two, we, the Port,
13 can direct those revenues to other resiliency needs. And
14 this is sort of a nice complement to an agenda item you'll
15 hear in a couple months after us, which is looking at
16 Assembly Member Chiu's AB 2578, which is reviewing revenue
17 sources for the Port's seawall project overall.

18 So I'm so happy to be here, and I want to
19 acknowledge the Fran Weld, Phil Williamson, and Harriet
20 Bridges are also from the team to answer any questions,
21 and look forward to any questions or comments from the
22 Commission. Thank you.

23 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much.

24 Comments from Commissioners?

25 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Just a wonder to see

1 progress and very appreciative.

2 THE COURT REPORTER: Your microphone.

3 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: I'm not interested in what
4 I'm saying.

5 (Laughter.)

6 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: But anyway, it's -- but I
7 know -- I'll save my comments.

8 CHAIRPERSON YEE: No. Yeah, this is a long-time
9 coming. I mean as a native San Franciscan, we've been
10 watching this for a long time, but I just want to
11 congratulate you on the robust public input and the public
12 process as well.

13 So, okay with -- I don't see any public comment
14 registered for this item. So, at this point, a motion is
15 in order.

16 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: I'll move.

17 ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Second.

18 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. A motion by Commissioner
19 Newsom, second by Commissioner Wong-Hernandez.

20 Without objection, such will be the order. Thank
21 you very much.

22 MS. BENASSINI: Thank you.

23 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Our next item is Item
24 106. And this is in consideration of Assembly Bill 2578
25 by Assembly Member Chiu that would expand San Francisco's

1 infrastructure financing district to include shoreline
2 protection. And we will welcome the staff presentation.
3 Sheri.

4 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

5 PEMBERTON: Thank you. Item 106 recommends that the
6 Commission support State legislation AB 2578 by Assembly
7 Member Chiu that's designed to help provide funding to
8 repair the Embarcadero seawall along the northern San
9 Francisco waterfront, which is on Public Trust Lands that
10 the Commission oversees in a way.

11 The way that the bill would do that is by
12 expanding infrastructure financing districts so they
13 include shoreline districts, so that that would be a way
14 to bring in more funding. The City is also trying to move
15 forward with a general obligation bond of about 350
16 million, and looking at trying to amass money in other
17 ways. So this is one piece of that.

18 And we think it would be very beneficial to these
19 public lands, and it's, I think, well documented and
20 undisputed that the seawall is in need of repair. And the
21 costs for that are around I think \$5 billion.

22 So for all those reasons, we recommend that the
23 Commission take a support position on this legislation.

24 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you, Sheri.

25 Comments from Commissioners?

1 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: I just feel profoundly
2 conflicted at these last two items, so it's --

3 (Laughter.)

4 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: -- wild enthusiasm that I
5 support this. Thank you.

6 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. I will consider that a
7 motion.

8 (Laughter.)

9 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Motion by Commissioner Newsom
10 to approve support of AB 2578.

11 ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: And I will
12 be abstaining from voting on legislation, because we'll
13 take our own review at Department of Finance.

14 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Very well. With an
15 abstention from Commissioner Wong-Hernandez. I will
16 second the motion.

17 Without objection, that motion carries. Thank
18 you.

19 Members, I'm want to return back to Item C 103
20 regarding our Environmental Justice Policy. We had two
21 public speakers who signed in after we took the item up.
22 I'd like to invite them forward to address the Commission.
23 So if we could reopen that item without objection.

24 Let me call up Mr. Humberto Lugo and Luis Olmedo.

25 Good afternoon

1 MS. LUGO: Hi. Good afternoon, members. I
2 missed the presentation, but --

3 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Could you introduce yourself
4 for the record.

5 MS. LUGO: Yeah. Humberto Lugo with IVAN
6 Environmental Justice Task Force here in the Coachella
7 Valley, Eastern Coachella Valley. We're an environmental
8 justice organization that works mostly with the community
9 out in the Eastern Coachella Valley, which is in close
10 proximity to, you know, some of the desert lands, some of
11 the Joshua Tree, for example. We also have the Salton
12 Sea.

13 So those are things that are very dear to us
14 because we're actually in a situation right now with the
15 Salton Sea sediment being exposed, becoming airborne,
16 toxic sediment that's in the air. And with the
17 particulate matter from desert activities that happen
18 here, you know, it's important that the State Commission
19 and other agencies below the State, that are above, for
20 example, Natural Resources or other agencies, that
21 establish an element that represents environmental
22 justice. I've -- we've seen that environmental justice is
23 missing in a lot of these agencies.

24 We'd like to tell you that -- we would like to
25 tell you that what happens in our communities is also

1 impacted. We have haven't had -- communities in Eastern
2 Coachella Valley haven't had the access to natural lands.
3 You know, it's been something that is mostly been for --
4 exclusively for the more affluent.

5 And we want to make sure that our communities and
6 the youth that are here are able to tie these things that,
7 you know, this is your land. This is where you can go and
8 experience, but traditionally has been set hiking, camping
9 for the more affluent.

10 So those are some of the points that I want to
11 make. Also, one of the concerns here in the Coachella
12 Valley is a new city being built in a time where we're
13 experiencing droughts, we're experiencing tremendous
14 impacts from the greenhouse gas emissions, climate change.
15 And now we're thinking of building a city out -- 10 miles
16 out of Coachella, in the Eastern Coachella Valley, an
17 affluent community there. It's -- it literally says on
18 the plan it's for an affluent community. Yet, in the
19 Eastern Coachella Valley we're struggling with clean
20 water, clean air, poor infrastructure.

21 So those are things that are -- need to be looked
22 at here locally, because, you know, why are we building a
23 city when we're still struggling in these communities,
24 right?

25 So I'll leave my comments at that. I just wanted

1 to be brief and those are the concerns.

2 Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Lugo. Maybe I
4 can just make a comment. Mr. Lugo, if you -- thank you
5 for coming forward. And I want to encourage you to, to
6 the extent that you can, participate in our environmental
7 justice work. Your voice is very much an important one
8 that needs to be heard with respect to some of the
9 concerns that are relevant to this particular area. I
10 will say that the State Lands Commission to the extent
11 that we do work in partnership with many of our State
12 Agencies, I think can be a conduit for some of these
13 concerns on a more statewide basis with respect to issues
14 of air quality, and certainly water supply.

15 MS. LUGO: If I can add a little more.

16 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Sure.

17 MS. LUGO: Our organization has an air monitoring
18 network of over 40 air quality sensors from the border to
19 here in Riverside. You know, this data is valuable data.
20 It's actually being used now for an environmental justice
21 element in Imperial County.

22 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes.

23 MR. LUGO: So this is useful stuff. You know, in
24 the legislation, there's AB 617 that passed. And so --

25 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes.

1 MS. LUGO: -- our -- we have the model for the
2 rest of the State. I want to, you know, proudly say that
3 we have the model for the state how to do this community
4 engagement, how to set forward policies that will truly
5 address air quality and climate change at the same time.

6 So I just wanted to add that.

7 Thank you.

8 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you.

9 Yeah. Thank you very much.

10 MR. OLMEDO: Good afternoon. My name is Luis
11 Olmedo. I'm the Executive Director of Comite Civico del
12 Valle. And thank you for this opportunity Commissioners,
13 Lieutenant Governor, and Madam Chair. It's the first time
14 I've presented in front of this Commission. So if I don't
15 get it right, I apologize.

16 My comment on the environmental justice, I just
17 want to congratulate the staff and the Commission for
18 really putting forth this environmental justice
19 recommendation and policy. One of the biggest issues of
20 concern that we have right now, presently we have a lot of
21 them, is that the Salton Sea has a lot of expectations in
22 regards to addressing disadvantaged communities,
23 addressing public health, and engaging the environmental
24 justice communities, yes, within parks, within public
25 lands. A lot of the agencies that are carrying for our

1 natural resources have no policies on health and
2 environmental justice. And however, more and more now
3 we're seeing that they are tasked with delivering on these
4 metrics, on these expectations on these policies that are
5 rapidly exiting the legislature and being chaptered into
6 policies to address these issues.

7 While these policies are now addressing health
8 and environmental justice, I believe that the
9 implementation of environmental justice policy here will
10 be an example, and will be a motivator, I think, for other
11 agencies such as Natural Resources, for example, that
12 we've been asking them to integrate these policies. I
13 think that this Commission putting forth this
14 recommendation and setting the example will make it easier
15 for environmental justice advocates here in this region
16 and across the state to have -- to have this dialogue with
17 these examples with Natural Resources.

18 CaleEPA has done a very good job. There's always
19 a lot more room for improvement. But starting here and
20 moving into other agencies like natural resources is
21 really the goal that I have. So thank you for this
22 opportunity.

23 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much. Comments
24 from Commissioners?

25 Yes, Ms. Wong-Hernandez.

1 ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank
2 you for being here. As the -- as the Chair noted, we do
3 have a lot of interaction with other agencies. And so
4 it's really helpful to hear this, and to be able to take
5 that feedback and process it, and also incorporate it into
6 all the future kind of work we're doing in all the
7 different areas throughout the state.

8 But just to have that perspective and to go into
9 kind of our meetings with those agencies with that
10 perspective on our minds.

11 Thank you.

12 MR. OLMEDO: Thank you very much. I notice on
13 the report -- staff report that you've already done some
14 outreach. Staff has reached out to us. Comite Civico Del
15 Valle is here to be as supportive as possible to also help
16 inform the recommendations to policy along the way.

17 So thank you very much.

18 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you. Thank you.

19 Okay. Thank you.

20 All right. We'll move on to the next item on the
21 agenda, Item 107. And this is in consideration of
22 supporting Assembly Bill 2441 by Assembly Member Frazier.
23 And this relates to a new abandon vessel removal account
24 for the Sacramento San Joaquin Delta.

25 Sheri.

1 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

2 PEMBERTON: Thank you.

3 This item recommends the Commission support AB
4 2441 by Assembly Member Frazier that would create an
5 abandon vessel program for commercial vessels in the Delta
6 that are abandoned. The way it would do that is by
7 redirecting revenue from leases in the Delta area into
8 a -- into a fund, and then authorizing the Commission
9 staff to move forward with trying to remove these vessels.

10 And so we think it would benefit that area.
11 There are a lot of abandoned vessels, and there really
12 isn't any funding when it comes to commercial vessels.

13 So we recommend that the Commission, even though
14 there's a cost to it, it makes sense, and there's a real
15 need in that area, but the Commission support this
16 legislation.

17 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you very much.

18 Again, I think, Ms. Wong-Hernandez, you'll be
19 abstaining on this item?

20 ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: (Nods head.)

21 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay.

22 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Yeah. No, I would move the
23 item.

24 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Moved by Commissioner
25 Newsom. I will second that motion.

1 Without objection, such will be the order.

2 Thank you.

3 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

4 PEMBERTON: So the last legislative item is Item 108. And
5 this item recommends that the Commission sponsor
6 legislation to facilitate moving land that the State
7 acquired in a land exchange many years ago relating to the
8 Railyards Development in downtown Sacramento to the city
9 as part of its grant.

10 When the Commission approved the land exchange,
11 it included a provision that would require the Commission
12 staff and the city to work on this legislation, toe that's
13 what this bill -- or that's what this proposal does.

14 Recently, Assembly Member McCarty introduced AB
15 1759 with the provisions of the grant. The other thing
16 that this proposal would do is repeal two grants that were
17 made to the city many years ago. And the reason for that
18 is that last -- at the last meeting in February, the
19 Commission approved a boundary line agreement for the
20 Miller Park area in the Sacramento grant that shrunk
21 substantially the land in the grant.

22 And so we recommend that those grants, since
23 they're now relatively obsolete, be repealed. And so this
24 legislative proposal would do those two things. And the
25 City of Sacramento is in full support, and our staff has

1 been working closely with them.

2 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Thank you.

3 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Sounds wonderful.

4 CHAIRPERSON YEE: All right. Motion by
5 Commissioner Newsom.

6 I will second that motion with Ms. Wong-Hernandez
7 abstaining to sponsor legislation that's described.

8 Without objection, that motion carries.

9 All right. Next item is an informational status
10 report. It's Item 109 on the remediation of abandoned
11 mine features located on State school lands under the
12 Commission's jurisdiction. And we'll have a staff
13 presentation.

14 Good afternoon.

15 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: Good
16 afternoon, Madam Chair, Commissioners. My name is Greg
17 Pelka and I work as a Senior Mineral Engineer in the
18 Commission's Long Beach Office of the Commission.

19 (Thereupon an overhead presentation was
20 presented as follows.)

21 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: And it's
22 my pleasure to provide you a brief summary and status on
23 the Commission's abandoned mine program.

24 --o0o--

25 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: This is

1 exactly what we're striving to prevent. We've not had
2 such an incident on Commission managed lands. The left
3 photo is Suzuki Samurai that fell into a shaft about 10
4 years ago, when two men were out exploring the remote --
5 remote gold mining region of Imperial County, not
6 recognizing that the hill they climbed was actually a mine
7 dump.

8 The Toyota SUV on the right fell into a shallow
9 shaft in the El Paso Mountains of Kern County a few years
10 later. Fortunately, there were no fatalities in either of
11 these two incidents. However, others have not been so
12 lucky, many others.

13 --o0o--

14 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: The
15 Commission's Abandoned Mine Program was formally launched
16 in 2002 following outreach by the Dependent Conservation,
17 Division of Mine Reclamation, and the effort of Cy Oggins,
18 Chief of our Environmental Planning and Management
19 Division.

20 Since then, staff, and the Division of Mine
21 Reclamation, have worked cooperatively under MOUs to
22 inventory and safeguard mine features on 100 State school
23 land parcels.

24 Current Commission mine remediation approval is
25 premised on consultation with the California State

1 Historic Preservation Office, and the California
2 Department of Fish and Wildlife to ensure that historic
3 and biologic resources are not adversely impacted.

4 --o0o--

5 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: The
6 flowchart shows the 10 major steps of mine remediation.
7 Of these 10 steps, the Division of Mine Reclamation is
8 involved in nearly all. The Division deserves special
9 recognition for the important role they play in the
10 issuance and administration of the mine closure contract,
11 in addition to the funding they provide that pays for
12 nearly all contract work.

13 --o0o--

14 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: These
15 are typical mine closure techniques we employ. Upon
16 inventory, mine warning signs are installed on all sizable
17 mine features. Mine shafts are protected by a fence,
18 either as an interim or permanent measure or by a seal
19 cupola.

20 Bat gates are installed on adits, which are
21 basically mine tunnels to allow continued wildlife access
22 while protecting the public. In unique cases shafts are
23 close with a foam plug or back-filled with mine waste
24 rock. Irregular mine features may be covered with a cable
25 net.

1 The top photo, top center photo there shows
2 100-foot deep mine shaft that initially, upon our
3 inventory, we installed a mine warning sign. This was
4 followed shortly thereafter by a fence, and ultimately
5 remediated with a steel cupola similar to what you see in
6 the lower left photo on your slide there.

7 --o0o--

8 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: Internal
9 surveys of an adit on Mohawk Hill revealed unstable rock,
10 habitat for the desert tortoise, bats and other wildlife.

11 To preserve habitat and protect the public, we
12 had a contractor install a bat gate meeting the
13 construction guidelines of bat conservation international
14 out of Texas.

15 After determining maturity of the tortoise, and
16 measuring their carapace, or upper shell, the bat gate was
17 designed that contained a custom sized tortoise trot or
18 window to allow continued access as shown in the lower
19 right photo. Hard to see that, but it's a little
20 rectangular window that allows the tortoise to continue to
21 go in and out. They seem to use these mines a lot for
22 hibernation. It's not uncommon to find them back as far
23 as 100 yards or more.

24 --o0o--

25 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: In a

1 similar fashion, a bat gate was installed on a Gunsight
2 Mine in Inyo County after an internal survey showed
3 extensive development, unstable rock, and the orange
4 calling card of the underground explorers, a group that
5 explores abandoned mines.

6 A drone was used to survey upper mine workings on
7 a steep hill that could not be safely reached. This
8 experience helped lead to the purchase of the Commission's
9 drone, a technological tool that is growing in importance.

10 You can see the drone in the upper right that was
11 staff's. And we found the criticality of that. And then
12 the Commission thereafter purchased their own drone, which
13 has been very useful.

14 --o0o--

15 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: Similar
16 to a bat gate, a steel culvert gate is installed when a
17 mine opening is too small or too unstable to safely
18 install a conventional bat gate. This gold mine on
19 Fremont Peak was scary to survey, and possessed loose,
20 unstable, platy metamorphic rock. One particularly
21 unstable section of the mine contained warning sign, which
22 read, "danger. Bad ground. Keep out".

23 We heeded that warning and did not enter that
24 area. You see that lower left photo. It's really bad
25 there.

1 --o0o--

2 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: An
3 internal survey of the Gold Standard Mine in the Whipple
4 Mountains by Brown-Berry Consulting revealed owl habitat,
5 a species of increasing concern to Cal Fish and Wildlife.
6 The wooden remnant of the headframe was important to the
7 California State Historic Preservation Office not to
8 disturb.

9 A steel cupola was custom constructed around the
10 wood structure with a special opening and perch for the
11 owls, as you can see on the lower right photo. Removable
12 bars are installed on all bat gates, culvert dates, and
13 cupolas, so that an archaeologist, biologist, or geologist
14 may make future entry for survey.

15 --o0o--

16 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA:

17 Inventory revealed dangerous shifts on a State
18 parcel in the Fry Mountains of the BLM Johnson Valley Off
19 Highway Vehicle area when wildlife surveys revealed no
20 significant wildlife habitat, backfill with mine waste
21 rock was employed as an effective mine closure technique
22 following two nights of wildlife exclusion surveys.

23 While installing a fence on a shaft on the
24 boundary of State and private property, staff discovered a
25 live desert tortoise trapped in the bottom of the shaft.

1 After failing to reach Cal Fish and Wildlife by phone, we
2 descended down the shift and successfully rescued the
3 tortoise.

4 Later, Becky Jones, the Cal Fish and Wildlife
5 desert tortoise lead applauded our effort to rescue the
6 tortoise. We gave the tortoise food and water, but he was
7 just happy to be free and on his way. And you can see him
8 there in the lower right. His shell wasn't cracked. He
9 was really happy. He was just really happy to get out of
10 that hole.

11 (Laughter.)

12 --o0o--

13 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: A
14 dangerous 55-foot deep mine shaft was discovered at the
15 Los Padres Mine in a portion of the Johnson Valley Off
16 Highway Vehicle Area that's frequently used for motorcycle
17 raises. A small foam plug is sometimes used when a shaft
18 does not contain critical wildlife habitat, and there is
19 no mine waste rock to consider backfilling.

20 Cal Fish and Wildlife required us to install an
21 elevated owl box, which was later found to be used. You
22 could barely see it there in the lower right photo.
23 Backfills and foam plugs are not frequently used due to
24 the permanent loss of wildlife habitat, and elimination of
25 historic attributes that may be associated with the mine.

1 --o0o--

2 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: We have
3 completed remediation on 53 of the 100 State school land
4 parcels that possess one or more abandon mine feature and
5 are actively working on those remaining.

6 In excess of 150 abandoned mine warning signs
7 have been installed and nearly 100 more significant
8 closures remediated. When complete, we will transition
9 into an inspection program to ensure continued integrity
10 of closures from weathering and vandalism, which we've
11 already experienced.

12 In several cases, we've had people come out and
13 use Sawzall or something and cut the steel bars and make
14 entry. And one of them was a uranium mine where that was
15 not good.

16 That concludes my presentation. I'll be happy to
17 take any questions you may have. And I also brought one
18 of our abandoned mine warning signs, if you would like to
19 take a look at it.

20 (Laughter.)

21 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: Thank
22 you

23 CHAIRPERSON YEE: That's great. Thank you very
24 much for that presentation. Comments from Commissioners?

25 Yes, Commissioner Newsom.

1 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Thank you. Just out of
2 curiosity what -- these mines come from what era? Is
3 there sort of a continuity --

4 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: Well,
5 almost all of them precede the time status when the lands
6 were transferred upon survey to the Commission. So many
7 of them go back to 1900 or earlier. And almost all of
8 them predate the enactment of the Surface Mining and
9 Reclamation Act of 1975 --

10 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Seventy-five.

11 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: -- that
12 required mines to be remediated to an alternative use.

13 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: And that was then the next
14 question. So that happens.

15 SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: So many
16 of them are very old. The majority of them are 50, 75,
17 100 years old or older.

18 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Got it. Thank you.

19 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you. Other comments?

20 We do have one public speaker this. Pat Perez
21 with the Department of Conservation, if you'll come
22 forward.

23 Good afternoon.

24 MR. PEREZ: All right. Good afternoon, Madam
25 Chair and distinguished Commissioners. I'm here today to

1 just share a couple comments on the remarkable work that
2 your staff has been doing for over a decade with respect
3 to abandoned mines.

4 Again, my name is Pat Perez. I'm the State
5 Supervisor for the Department of Conversation's Division
6 of Mine Reclamation. And I'd also like to just commend
7 your Executive Officer, Jennifer Lucchesi, over here for
8 her continued support, and for the very talented team
9 she's assembled to deal with abandoned mine features
10 throughout California and especially on State-owned lands.

11 So the work that Greg Pelka, as well as Ricky
12 Lee, and the other teams has been outstanding. It's been
13 a wonderful working relationship that we've had over the
14 years. And as Greg noted, this collaboration began way
15 back in 2002. Two years later, we were able to secure
16 additional revenue from the gold and silver mines that are
17 currently operating in California. And that's what's
18 funding a lot of our work here.

19 As you also learned, my staff has been very
20 active with the inventorying of the various features
21 abandoned mine sites, not only on State Lands, but federal
22 lands throughout California. We manage contracts. We
23 provide project development in coordination with your
24 staff here, and also provide construction oversight for
25 much of the work that you just saw here on Greg's

1 presentation.

2 So I just want to note that we are very
3 appreciative of the continued cooperation and support, and
4 enthusiasm from your staff. Yes, we look into the future.
5 You know, as communities and outdoor recreation continues
6 to expand out into the rural areas, particularly out here
7 in the desert areas, the potential for greater risk is
8 certainly there. And that's why I think it's very
9 important that we maintain a proactive approach to
10 identify these sites, and work together to identify which
11 sites pose the greatest hazard, so that we can address
12 quickly.

13 You know, nearly all of these sites are actually
14 accessible by old mining roads that were put in place well
15 over 100 years ago. And then we also know from the
16 evidence of being out there in the field that there is a
17 lot of vehicle access into some of the wilderness areas
18 where there should not be vehicle access. And therefore,
19 we know that recreationalists are out there roaming around
20 in these sites, so we're very concerned about that.

21 And there's also, you know what I would refer to
22 as nefarious activities out there with some of these
23 sites, you know, the dumping of bodies and murder victims,
24 certainly and hiding contraband in these facilities, and
25 mine sites has become commonplace. And certainly just

1 down the road at 29 Palms a couple years ago found a few
2 bodies there. As you probably read in the papers in Utah
3 and other states they've had similar incidences.

4 So I just want to, in closing and certainly on
5 behalf of the Director of the Department of Conservation,
6 Director Bunn, and myself, I just want to extend a big
7 thank you to all of you Commissioners, Jennifer, her staff
8 that are here today, as well as those that are in Long
9 Beach, up in your Sacramento offices for the continued
10 support. It's been an incredible collaborative working
11 relationship and a productive one as we've been able to
12 remove a lot of physical hazards out there that pose
13 serious risk to the public, but we're also protecting the
14 environment, and wildlife at the same time.

15 So thanks again. Really appreciate the
16 opportunity to come before you today and for the continued
17 support.

18 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Perez. And
19 thank you for the robust partnership throughout all this.

20 Yes, Ms. Lucchesi.

21 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yeah, I just wanted
22 to add a couple of comments, and thank Pat for coming
23 up -- coming down to our meeting, and for the kind words.

24 For both the Department of Conservation and the
25 State Lands Commission, the work that we do

1 collaboratively really is not something that a lot of
2 people are aware of. And at least for the State Lands
3 Commission, it is one of our smaller programs. But as you
4 can see from Greg and from Pat's presentation, incredibly
5 important for public safety and the safety of our desert
6 critters, and the natural resources out there. And this
7 work is not easy. It's hard going out there. And the --
8 it's physically demanding and it also takes a lot of time
9 away from our staff's family with the travel and the time
10 you have to spend out there.

11 And so I'm just -- I'm very proud of the work
12 that we -- that we do, our teams do. And I also am very
13 proud of the partnership with the conservation. I think
14 this is really one of the most important and a model for
15 how our two agencies can work together and have done so
16 for over 15 years so successfully.

17 So thank you, Pat.

18 MR. PEREZ: Thank you very much.

19 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: And finally, I also
20 want to just shut out to Greg. He's been with the
21 Commission for over 30 years. And for those of us who
22 have been working in government at any level, we know that
23 the real key to success to some of the programs that don't
24 get the attention that other programs get is a dedicated
25 staff member who is really invested in the success of that

1 program, and that is Greg. And he is all-knowing when it
2 comes to abandoned mines, geothermal activities in the Cal
3 -- in the desert area, and all of our interests in the
4 lands and resources that we manage.

5 And he's just a -- just a huge asset to the
6 Commission. And so I wanted to thank him for his many,
7 many years of dedicated service and commitment to the
8 Commission. So we wouldn't be here talking about the
9 success of this program without him.

10 So thank you.

11 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you. Thank you,
12 Jennifer. Thank you, Greg, very much for your sustained
13 diligence with the program.

14 Other comments this item, members?

15 Okay. Hearing none, we will move on to the next
16 item, which is Item C 110. And this is an informational
17 report, status update on staff's renewable energy
18 evaluation of our lands and resources. And we'll have the
19 staff presentation.

20 Jennifer.

21 (Thereupon an overhead presentation was
22 presented as follows.)

23 SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: Hi. Good
24 afternoon, Madam Chair, Commissioners. I'm Jennifer
25 Mattox. I'm the Science Advisor and Tribal Liaison for

1 the Commission. And I'm going to be co-presenting with
2 Shahed Meshkati and she is the planning and development
3 manager in the Mineral Resources Management Division,
4 which hopefully will get a new name sometime, because it
5 feels weird saying that when we're not talking about
6 minerals.

7 We're going to be co-presenting to you today and
8 overview of an initiative that our staff has begun working
9 on to evaluate our State lands for their renewable energy
10 development potential. I'll get started with sort of our
11 overall climate picture and State context. And then
12 Shahed will actually get to sort of, you know, what I
13 would call like the nutritious part of this presentation,
14 and share the preliminary steps that her team has
15 conducted.

16 --o0o--

17 SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: So I'll start
18 with a gratuitous shout-out to our excellent State
19 leadership who believes in this, and under whose
20 inspiration we work.

21 --o0o--

22 SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: So in terms of
23 where we're at, I pulled these slides from the IPCC fifth
24 assessment report. On our current trajectory, things
25 aren't going to go super well for humanity, so we know we

1 need to do something.

2 California, as is normal for our State, has been
3 at the forefront of seeking solutions and getting people
4 to think about this, both at the law-making level and just
5 really internalize culturally, and our citizens have
6 stepped up.

7 We've passed a number of laws, and then
8 subsequently strengthened them that put hard targets on
9 greenhouse gas emission reduction, and also what percent
10 of renewable energy needs to be procured and sold to
11 market.

12 What I didn't put up there is the current --
13 currently moving through are -- the halls of our State
14 House is 100 percent clean renewable energy by 2046.

15 --o0o--

16 SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: This is not
17 unfamiliar territory to State Lands Commission staff. One
18 of the nice things about us is that we're always evolving
19 to meet the challenges of a changing world. We're not
20 just oil and gas. We know that innovations will always
21 present themselves help us be cleaner and smarter.

22 We've embodied this inquisitive spirit over the
23 years in many ways through resolutions, the environmental
24 responsible development of school lands in 2008, and then
25 recently a 2013 report that was actually peer reviewed by

1 other State experts on how we should start thinking about
2 offshore marine renewable energy. It's a new technology.
3 It's unfamiliar. It's scary to a lot of resource
4 managers. So we wanted to actually start proactively
5 thinking about how do we look at that and evaluate that.
6 And this paper and the concepts in it is actually still
7 sort of lives on conceptually in a lot of the roadmapping
8 that we're doing with our other State and federal
9 partners.

10 In addition to our internal efforts, we're guided
11 by State level initiatives. We were involved in
12 developing the State's primarily adaptation roadmap,
13 Safeguarding California Plan, and its updates. This is a
14 plan that we are required to update every three years, and
15 our staff is heavily invested in, and involved in this
16 primarily through its coastal and oceans chapters, and a
17 hazard avoidance for new structures, which, you know, is a
18 fancy way of saying coastal armoring.

19 Through safeguarding, we seek to adhere to and
20 promote implementation of its recommendations and our
21 activities. And this project is part of that. We also
22 participate in the State's -- State Federal Renewable
23 Energy Task Force, which is led primarily on the State and
24 federal side by Energy commission and BOEM, but we
25 participate very heavily as well, both because of the

1 opportunities of tunnel -- you know, running conduits and
2 things through State water, and also because of the
3 importance in engaging both federally and non-federally
4 recognized tribes, which in the task force and for the
5 Feds -- federally recognized tribes are worked with. But
6 we can bring in that added layer of also engaging with
7 them, partnering with non-federally recognized tribes.

8 A little screen grab here of the offshore wind
9 gateway, which is a gallery on database. And this is an
10 effort at transparency. So anybody can get on there,
11 create an account, look at these galleries, manipulate
12 data, make their own maps, share maps, do whatever they
13 want. All the data is right there.

14 --o0o--

15 SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: I just want to
16 give a quick highlight before I hand off to Shahed, to
17 touch on some of the outreach and partnerships. So we
18 have been talking quite extensively to environmental
19 non-profits. This include Audubon, Nature Conservancy,
20 Natural Resources Defense Council, and Environmental
21 Defense Center among others.

22 Generally speaking, I've gotten really positive
23 feedback on that, and I tried to put just a little bit of
24 the summary of that dialogue up here. They believe and
25 understand that renewable energy is important to reach our

1 reduction goals, and that the real thing is to do this
2 very deliberate, very thoughtful, directed development
3 approach, where our first -- we're at that first step
4 there. We're at the avoid step.

5 So as we get farther along in this effort, as we
6 winnow it down, from the big to the less. That's how we
7 look at avoidance first, minimize second, mitigate third.
8 And so the mitigation would come in things like
9 site-specific operations and management practices, best
10 practices, things like that.

11 In particular, with environmental justice
12 considerations, this is happening in a really fortuitous
13 time with our Environmental Justice Policy group. So
14 Sheri has been able to connect us together, and she's been
15 kind of shuttling some dialogue and comments back and
16 forth. And we'll be setting up a focus meeting with some
17 of those folks. I just wanted to make it real clear that
18 as we embark on that, how important that consideration is
19 and that our staff has already been working on in their
20 preliminary screening, which does not include any specific
21 projects or anything.

22 This is just screening, screening out, filtering
23 down our lands, that we want to look for not only impacts,
24 which, you know, is what you traditionally think of, sort
25 of how does this negatively impact. But this is a great

1 opportunity for us to use our lands to look at
2 opportunities, opportunities for local generation,
3 regional generation, community choice aggregates.

4 And then thirdly, I would not be doing a good job
5 in my job at all if I didn't include a conversation with
6 the tribes in this. We want to engage them, include all
7 their tribal ecological knowledge and archaeological
8 knowledge, so that we avoid environmental and culturally
9 sensitive areas, where we possibly can. And we'll
10 identify some joint commission and tribal renewable energy
11 opportunities potentially. Now, you may remember with the
12 Tule Wind Project, even though there was only seven
13 turbines on our land, that was part of a larger project
14 that included lands that went across the Ewiiapaayp
15 Reservation and created a lot of economic opportunity for
16 that tribe.

17 So that's all I have. And I appreciate the
18 indulgence in a little bit of an expanded introduction.
19 But I thank you very much and off to Shahed.

20 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you, Jennifer.

21 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Thanks,
22 Jennifer. Good afternoon, Commissioners. I'm part of
23 Mineral Resources Management Division. And our group is a
24 group of engineers and geologists. And we typically
25 oversee the leasing and safe operation of oil, gas,

1 solar, biomass and geothermal. For 100 percent RMI, we
2 can only examine the geothermal potential, because we only
3 have the mineral right.

4 For offshore, we focused on wind and wave
5 potential within the three nautical mile boundary, with
6 the understanding that this is the first stage of our
7 analysis, which focuses on potential only, with some
8 consideration for obvious restrictions where there is a
9 lot more work that we need to do.

10 After narrowing down the resource types, the
11 group then conducted a comprehensive literature survey.
12 Early in 2017, we developed the first draft of our
13 science-based criteria documents. Going forward, you will
14 hear me talk and use the term "screening criteria", which
15 refer to the set of standards that are used to select
16 high-potential areas for each kind of renewable resource.

17 --o0o--

18 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Our
19 analysis evaluated our parcels in one county at a time.
20 We used geographic information system, or GIS, as the tool
21 for our evaluation. The advantage of using GIS is that
22 everything you see is in real space. The example maps
23 shown here wind on the top, and solar on the bottom show
24 resource potential information as shapes that we overlaid
25 on maps showing our parcels.

1 We found out that the most reliable source of
2 data is the National Renewable Energy Lab, or NREL, which
3 is the U.S. Department of Energy's primary source of
4 renewable energy research.

5 NREL has collected extensive GIS data for all
6 renewable energy technologies and provides access to this
7 repository on its website.

8 Moreover, GIS layers are available for a variety
9 of sources identifying roads, transmission lines, and
10 substations that we also included in our study.

11 --o0o--

12 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: The
13 last bullet on the previous page referred to data from
14 NOAA, Fish and Wildlife, and some other organizations
15 providing environmental related data that are just as
16 important to our analysis as the actual potential.

17 Our goal is -- in this study is to ensure we
18 conduct a 360 degree review of each land parcel or segment
19 offshore. Our screening criteria excludes areas that
20 are -- have a special designation, such as BLM Wilderness,
21 National Parks Service, and Marine Protected Areas, and
22 many, many more.

23 I have provided some sample restrictions here on
24 this map both for -- on this slide both for offshore and
25 onshore.

1 --o0o--

2 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: In this
3 slide, you will see two summary tables highlighting our
4 parcel evaluation so far. The table on the top indicates
5 the work completed on land. You will notice that the
6 potential appears quite significant in many counties,
7 especially for solar and wind.

8 The table on the bottom shows the result of our
9 offshore review which faces many more levels of screening,
10 but nevertheless indicates some potential.

11 --o0o--

12 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Now, I
13 would like to provide you with a short review for each
14 resource type. We start with land-based wind. This slide
15 identifies three high potential parcels in Kern County.
16 The selected parcels meet all the required screening
17 criteria for wind-power installation. All assessment work
18 that NREL has done is based on the wind speed at 80 meters
19 above ground. So when, for example, we refer to wind
20 speed greater than or equal five meters per second, it is
21 measured at 80 meters above ground.

22 On the right, you will see the wind screening
23 criteria. As you can see in addition to strong wind
24 speeds, road, transmission line access, land slope, and
25 distance to urban areas are all important factors.

1 Our study indicates that wind potential is high
2 in school lands within Inyo and Imperial counties. It is
3 important to mention that the right economic factors can
4 bypass many of these criteria, and we're aware of those.
5 As when there is enough funding obviously roads can be
6 built, transmission lines can be built, and substations
7 also. So we're aware of that. However, we're just
8 assessing going through the first cri -- first round of
9 evaluations.

10 --o0o--

11 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: This
12 one. Now, we're talking about the solar photovoltaic, or
13 PV, potential evaluation on school lands. The screening
14 criteria for solar development is listed on the left side
15 of this slide. For example, for a solar plant
16 development, we require relatively flat land with slope
17 less than four degrees.

18 As you can see in the map on the right, the
19 Commission school lands parcels overlap the areas with
20 high solar and radiance-using NREL maps. There are
21 specially high-generation capabilities on lands in
22 Imperial and Riverside County.

23 The potential generation capacity of 2500
24 gigawatt hours of power annually here in Riverside County,
25 which is equivalent to providing power to 357,000 homes

1 approximately.

2 --o0o--

3 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Next,
4 we move on to geothermal evaluation. Geothermal thermal
5 leasing and evaluation has always been part of the work
6 that we do in our Division. In 2015, however, we started
7 reviewing our school land parcels by overlaying them over
8 the known geothermal resource areas or KGRAs.

9 These are areas that are marked by purple on the
10 maps on the left. And those have been identified by U.S.
11 Geologic Survey as having high geothermal potential. A
12 detailed map of the Salton Sea KGRA is shown on the bottom
13 left.

14 Later in 2016, we also reviewed the resource maps
15 developed by NREL as well as Southern Methodist University
16 each assessing geothermal potential at a certain depth.
17 Enhanced Geothermal System, or EGS, in the middle map,
18 which is developed by Southern Methodist University shows
19 the potential thousands of feet underground.

20 Geothermal potential also is extremely high in
21 many of Commission's school land parcels. However, the
22 incentives for solar and wind have made geothermal
23 development not quite as competitive.

24 --o0o--

25 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Biomass

1 energy is the next renewable energy. And that we will
2 review today. Biomass is energy that can be generated
3 from any source of organic materials, such as municipal
4 waste, agricultural by-products, landfill material, and
5 forest residues.

6 Our study focused on woody biomass, which is
7 based on using forest waste, as well as landfill
8 construction, and other woody material. The Picture in
9 the middle shows the various sources of wood used as
10 feedstock in the Desert View Plant in Riverside County,
11 which we visited in November of last year.

12 This visit helped us better understand the
13 challenges that this industry faces as well as important
14 factors affecting building biomass plants. The map on the
15 right shows areas with potential in Kern Country.

16 --o0o--

17 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Now, we
18 move offshore, and assessing offshore energy potential.
19 The first resource presented here is wave energy. Both
20 offshore wind and wave are much more dependable and
21 persistent, and provide a constant energy source. But
22 within the three nautical mile boundary offshore, there
23 are significant environmental restrictions, technological
24 view viewed issues that of course need to be dressed.

25 We also realize that wave energy's technology is

1 relatively behind compared to solar and wind.
2 Nevertheless, our initial focus has been strictly on
3 assessing where the resources have the most potential in
4 the three nautical mile boundary.

5 As shown in this slide, the study identified --
6 well, not in this slide, but in slide 11, the one that I
7 had two tables, they identified 11 areas within Del Norte
8 Mendocino, and Humboldt counties, which have enough wave
9 potential for any future consideration.

10 --o0o--

11 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: The
12 last resource to study is offshore wind. In this slide,
13 the green line identifies the State's three nautical mile
14 boundary. Using NREL's map areas with high potential for
15 offshore wind have been identified.

16 The screening criteria for this resource are wind
17 class larger than five, short distance to substation and
18 ocean depth, which plays a role in designing the kind of
19 technology that can be used.

20 You can see in this slide how busy California
21 ocean really is, as the areas in light blue show excluded
22 areas, such as shipping lanes, kelp forest, Marine
23 Protected -- Protection Area -- protected areas and many
24 more.

25 Overall, this study identified 19 areas where

1 there is potential for offshore wind development within
2 State waters.

3 --o0o--

4 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI:

5 Finally, I would like to point out to the next
6 step in this effort.

7 We will continue to assess the potential in the
8 remaining parcels while we also develop our internal
9 process for marketing and leasing these high potential
10 areas. We will contact the developers as well as the
11 environmental groups to better understand their various
12 strategies and understand the development strategies as
13 well. Specifically, onshore wind, we need to better
14 understand important issues affecting the grid, pricing,
15 and competition.

16 So, in summary, we have a lot more work to do.
17 Nevertheless, we are excited about sharing our work with
18 you, and getting further direction from you on how to move
19 forward.

20 This concludes my presentation. And Jennifer and
21 I, we'll be happy to answer any questions you have.

22 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much for that
23 presentation.

24 Commissioner comments.

25 Commissioner Newsom, please.

1 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Love it, all of it.

2 (Laughter.)

3 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: -- and particularly the
4 last slide, and that's what I wanted to focus on. So next
5 steps, and how we working, collaborating with -- well,
6 first of all, you talked -- the first -- there were three
7 sort of sections in the next steps, one was marketing and
8 organizing. Your frame -- how far along are we on that?

9 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Would
10 you like to -- no, no, go ahead. We can -- we can -- and
11 I can add on, if needed. Go ahead.

12 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Okay. Great. Well,
13 we are -- we're not that far along with that. Our next
14 steps are to start --

15 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Sorry, Jennifer --

16 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- the marketing.

17 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: So all this was just --we
18 just put this all together?

19 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes.

20 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: So this Isn't -- I mean, this
21 is really -- all this true is -- this is not all --

22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes, over the
23 last --

24 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: We haven't had -- this has
25 not been stale data that we just updated. This is not

1 just an update on something --

2 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Right.

3 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: -- perfunctorily we do
4 every few years?

5 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Just a little bit of
6 context. Historically and traditionally, we have been a
7 Commission staff that have been very reactive to entities,
8 developers, interested folks submitting applications, and
9 we react to that --

10 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: React to that.

11 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- as opposed to
12 really taking ownership of our lands, and our resources,
13 and their potential and getting to know those lands and
14 resources, and then going out and being more -- being more
15 proactive in our management and our marketing.

16 So through the mechanism, and the direction, and
17 the leadership of our strategic plan, we've been working
18 over the past year and a half on this, which is really the
19 first step in getting to know our lands and resources.
20 And as Shahed and Jennifer talked about, this kind of --
21 we're going to hit a couple of parallel tracks here.
22 We're going to continue to evaluate and get to know our
23 lands and resources. We still have a lot more research to
24 do.

25 In parallel to that, we have a lot of outreach to

1 continue doing. Jennifer highlighted environmental
2 organizations, environmental justice groups, and our
3 tribal nations. Shahed talked about industry. That's a
4 huge next step in understanding what they're seeing as
5 trends, and how we can catch up to that and position
6 ourselves to take advantage of that.

7 In addition, we have a lot more work to do with
8 local governments and local communities, and what their
9 needs are in relation to the lands and resources we hold.

10 And then the third parallel track is how we
11 transition to again that marketing stage, that proactive
12 management, getting ourselves out there, because
13 especially for our school lands, and where really there's
14 a huge amount of potential that we could be leveraging and
15 taking advantage of, those revenues go into CalSTRS. And
16 so we take that responsibility and that fiduciary trust
17 responsibility very seriously. And we need to do more to
18 generate the revenues there.

19 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: So in -- you know, not
20 belaboring this point, I'm wildly enthusiastic about this.
21 And it's so long over -- I'm so encouraged by this, and
22 I'm encouraged because we -- in a perverse way, that we
23 haven't done it, and yet we are still excelling. And that
24 shows the opportunities moving forward. And, of course,
25 with the audacity of our stated goals, then, you know,

1 we're going to need to significantly step up our efforts.

2 So, you know, if there was any direction - it's
3 hardly surprising - is enthusiastically pursuing this
4 strategy with vigor, and seeing how we can magnify your
5 leadership at the State Lands level and coordinate and
6 collaboration with other agencies, and then begin at scale
7 a broader marketing effort into the NGO private sector
8 after we do all of the appropriate diligence as you've
9 described.

10 But now this is just that scale something so
11 opportunistic for us moving forward anyway. You got my
12 head spinning of ideas, but I am six months ahead of
13 myself.

14 (Laughter.)

15 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: You can read between the
16 lines.

17 (Laughter.)

18 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: That's
19 great. We love to hear that.

20 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: But you know, if not, you
21 know, I'll be in the audience applauding you in seven
22 months.

23 (Laughter.)

24 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: If I
25 may just add another comment. Just by starting to share

1 the work we've done with various groups, for example, a
2 couple of months ago we presented at an off-shore wind
3 summit. We've started getting a lot of interest from
4 various developers that are calling us, and coming to us,
5 and saying I'm interested. Can I -- and we always direct
6 them obviously to conduct their own potential evaluation.
7 But they've started just contacting us. And so we're
8 hoping that we'll do more of that.

9 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Very good.

10 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you. Thank you,
11 Commissioner Newsom

12 Collision Wong?

13 ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: I think this
14 is great. Thank you for the presentation, and for the
15 work you've been doing. I did have a suggestion about
16 some collaboration opportunities.

17 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Sure.

18 ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: So
19 specifically in the biomass space, the Sierra Nevada
20 Conservancy, and probably the other conservancies --
21 conservancies as well, but they've specifically been
22 working a lot in biomass, and they've been doing
23 interesting work there, and have a lot of experience with
24 diverse communities, local communities, working with
25 tribes, and working with industry, kind of in their space.

1 They're meeting in June in Inyo County, and this is one of
2 those topics.

3 So please reach out to kind of sister
4 conservancies and other folks within Resources Agency.
5 They may be just a good resource or thought partner, as we
6 kind of go down this.

7 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI:

8 Absolutely. Thank you for that suggestion.

9 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you. Thank you
10 for the presentation. This is actually really exciting
11 work. And particularly because it will become very
12 forward thinking very quickly, particularly with the
13 renewable energy markets continuing to be in flux. And I
14 think if there's any direction, I would say probably
15 engage the industry earlier rather than later, so that we
16 have their voice with respect to with they're seeing in
17 the marketplace, certainly with respect to pricing and
18 regulatory hurdles potentially down the road as well.

19 But -- and then obviously, the issues around what
20 our antiquated grid at the State level can accommodate is
21 always kind of a question, so -- but I see this as really
22 being a model for how we can move forward relative to this
23 whole energy transition. I mean, it's, I think, a
24 microcosm of what we're going to be tackling with on a
25 more global scale.

1 And particularly with the environmental justice
2 voices that we heard today, you know, there's a real
3 global focus on, you know, just transition, you know, with
4 respect to how we're not going to be leaving communities
5 behind as we look at the energy transition.

6 So I just really want to applaud you for all of
7 the outreach so far. But I think, if there's anything,
8 probably engaging industry earlier rather than later.

9 Any other comments?

10 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: And I just want to
11 add one small -- and this is getting into the weeds just a
12 tad, but I think it's worth mentioning. The team that
13 Shahed is leading in our Mineral Resources Management
14 Division, they're primarily made up of engineers and
15 professionals that have built their career on oil and gas
16 development and production.

17 And I really want to shout-out to that team in
18 particular for their really like open-arm warm embrace to
19 this transition. And not that it's not expected, but it's
20 also just a great reminder of, you know, the future that
21 we have ahead of us, and the willingness of our staff to
22 evolve and to move forward with changing energy needs, and
23 demands.

24 So thank you. I just wanted to make that
25 shout-out real quick.

1 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you.

2 Yes, please.

3 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: And forgive me, but just it
4 was interesting your comment. So first of all, I'll love
5 that. I mean it's such a great proof point and such an
6 anecdote for this moment this hinge moment in so many
7 respects from a renewable perspective. But we were just
8 visiting -- full disclosure, we were just down at a
9 geothermal plant. And it was interesting you made a
10 comment about the competitiveness now vis-à-vis solar, and
11 ironically solar now outcompeting, in many respects, the
12 geothermal space.

13 That said, it was fascinating, you know, on our
14 tour to learn about the mineral aspects of geothermal that
15 are also becoming prospect for prosperity of sorts,
16 meaning lithium and other by-products of that process,
17 that can also be mined and sourced. And so I hope with
18 the appreciation of where solar is going and geothermal,
19 and those fixed costs, I hope we are as still as eager in
20 parallel to invest in our geothermal opportunities,
21 because we're sitting on Iceland a few miles to the south
22 of us, and we want to make sure we pursue that
23 aggressively. It looks like you agree and --

24 SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: We do.

25 (Laughter.)

1 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: -- you didn't need to hear
2 that.

3 SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: Oh, we love
4 hearing it from you. We've been to, you know, me not as
5 closely obviously, but a number of workshops, conferences
6 about sort of geothermal roadmaps, geothermal permitting
7 roadmaps, and open -- and sort of open data sources, and
8 just some of the challenges that geothermal faces. And
9 just on a personal professional level, that's been
10 somewhat frustrating to me in the role of being the person
11 who looks at the environment, environmental protection.

12 And geothermal is one of those ones that gives
13 you the best of both worlds. It' got a very, very small
14 surface footprint. You can often pair the surface
15 footprint of the geothermal facility with protected
16 wildlife areas. In fact, there is an example down here of
17 where we own the mineral resource underneath a wildlife
18 area, owned and managed by the Department of Fish and
19 Wildlife.

20 So these -- you know, just -- if I could just
21 have the luxury of making that comment. It sure would be
22 nice if the permitting pathway for geothermal wasn't a
23 10-year and very, very, very expensive pathway.

24 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Thank you. Good. Good.

25 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: One

1 comment.

2 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Sorry to open it up, guys.
3 Apologies.

4 (Laughter.)

5 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: And I
6 also want to mention the fact that geothermal energy is
7 continuous.

8 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Right. Right.

9 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: You do
10 not only have access to it when the sun is out or when the
11 wind is blowing. So that definitely makes geothermal and
12 we do have a lot of potential within our State Lands. So
13 we're hoping that they can also progress the same way as
14 the others.

15 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Hear, hear. Great. Great.
16 Great.

17 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much. Okay. A
18 lot of passion for this. Rightfully so.

19 All right. Our next item I believe is public
20 comment, and we do have a number of speakers. But before
21 I call them up, I want to introduce in the audience
22 Consuelo Arias on behalf -- representing Senator Jeff
23 Stone -- State Senator Jeff Stone. Thank you for being
24 here. I just wanted to introduce you for those in the
25 audience to know that you are a district representative

1 and certainly can be helpful with any constituent matters
2 relative to this area. And thank you for hosting us today
3 in your district.

4 So we have, in terms of public comment, a number
5 of folks. Let me just call you up in the order that you
6 have signed up.

7 So we have Donna Chabán-Delmas, if you'll come
8 forward, as well as T. Santora.

9 Commissioner?

10 And Ted Humphries, if the three of you will come
11 forward.

12 Actually, Let me have Donna and T. Santora --
13 Commissioner Santora come forward first.

14 MR. SANTORA: I'm not Donna, but I'm not sure if
15 she's here.

16 CHAIRPERSON YEE: That's okay. Why don't you
17 proceed. I don't see here.

18 MR. SANTORA: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

19 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Good afternoon.

20 MS. SANTORA: My name is T. Santora. I'm a
21 resident of the City of Palm Springs. I'm also here in
22 the capacity of Commissioner for the Sustainability
23 Commission for the City of Palm Springs. Some of you may
24 be more familiar with me with my day job. So full
25 disclosure, I am the Chairman of the Southern California

1 Council of the Communications Workers of America.

2 So first of all, I just want to say thank you for
3 being here. I understand this is a 80-year lapse --

4 (Laughter.)

5 MR. SANTORA: -- which our Mayor pointed out. So
6 hopefully you'll be back soon. And I really want to say,
7 I hope you enjoyed our opportunity at the Salton Sea
8 today. So just a couple of comments. One is and -- we
9 did not find out about the meeting until late last night.
10 So I don't have any prepared remarks, but I do want to
11 commend you for your staff report 103. I think it's very
12 significant, and it's really important, and your outreach
13 is really appreciated.

14 So as a Commissioner here in the city, I would
15 obviously recommend that you reach out to other similar
16 commissions. One of the things that we have here in Palm
17 Springs is this beautiful weather. You might have
18 experienced some of the gusty conditions here. You see
19 obviously our windmills and whatnot.

20 But the danger that is being posed at the Salton
21 Sea, and the lack of action there, affects us all here in
22 the Coachella Valley. So there's not a lot we can do
23 directly, but collaboratively, we can. And I think that
24 you have an opportunity to be a convening body for the
25 whole valley.

1 So I really appreciate you being here and
2 continuing to focus on what's going on with the Salton
3 Sea, and it's really important to all of us here, so thank
4 you very much.

5 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Commissioner, very
6 much.

7 Okay. Is Ms. Chabán-Delmas in the audience?
8 Donna?

9 Okay. She may have left. So let me now call up
10 Ted[SIC] Humphries and Alison Madden.

11 MR. HUMPHRIES: Yeah. So my name is Jed
12 Humphries. It's not Ted. Jed. It's pretty close.

13 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Oh, I'm sorry. Jed. Okay.
14 Thank you.

15 MR. HUMPHRIES: So I came here to the State Lands
16 Commission last time when it was up in Oakland.

17 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes.

18 MR. HUMPHRIES: And I was directed that the
19 resources of the State Lands would be, you know, like
20 directed, hey, you know, to find a solution to something
21 to Docketown. I'm sure you guys are aware we're being
22 driven into homelessness there.

23 And so we currently have a lawsuit going. And
24 the city has got a stay, and that they're not pushing us
25 out until the lawsuit is completed. And so I spoke

1 briefly with the clerk that I was directed to. And we
2 came up with what I thought was pretty decent game plan,
3 which is just finding a mooring ball situation, where, you
4 know -- you know, we could drop a mooring ball and at
5 least there would be a place to go and move the boat to.

6 Its extremely difficult to find a slip dockage
7 for vessels coming out of Docketown, because we did sue the
8 harbor master, if you will, the city, for throwing us out.
9 And so other harbor masters don't want residents there who
10 would take legal action should they be like -- you know,
11 should their lease be terminated or something like that.
12 So it's excessively difficult for me to find anywhere else
13 to live to move my home to.

14 And so the mooring ball idea was just and idea
15 that, hey, there -- you know, you could drop a mooring
16 ball. These things are normal. They're -- you know,
17 there's over 600 boats out of 1,100 mooring balls in
18 Newport Harbor in California that are being used as
19 private residences, secondary usage for pleasure craft.
20 There's lot of other cities that permit liveboards that,
21 you know, give free rein to do whatever you want like in
22 Avalon or Two Harbors that if you live there, then you
23 live there.

24 And -- but then I was told that it would be
25 inappropriate by that same clerk to give me any help later

1 on, about a week later. And I'm struggling. I don't know
2 why. You know, I thought that the resources of this
3 council would be directed towards, you know, ameliorating
4 some of the damage that's being done of being thrown out
5 of my home.

6 I would yield back my time as any kind of, you
7 know, explanation or something.

8 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
9 Humphries. Yes, Ms. Lucchesi.

10 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I'm happy to
11 respond. I can't talk specifically about when you talk
12 about a clerk, if it's somebody on our staff.

13 MR. HUMPRHIES: I'd prefer not to do public
14 denunciations.

15 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: No, no, no,
16 that's -- that's okay. I'm just -- we're happy to talk
17 with you off-line and talk about different options.
18 Although, we are not experts in the number and the
19 capacity of marinas within San Francisco Bay, or elsewhere
20 within the State. And I think just generally speaking,
21 the best option is to continue to contact those marinas to
22 see if they have a slip, or a mooring, or any other
23 facility for your use.

24 MR. HUMPRHIES: So I brought a boat from Pier 39
25 to Redwood City to the municipal marina. I informed them

1 that I wished to have docking. And they told me that I
2 had to go through a process that was different from all
3 the other residents of Redwood City, because I was coming
4 out of Docktown. It was much harsher, much hard -- much
5 more difficult selection. And I was -- you know, the
6 harbor master came out and he told me that he didn't like
7 the way that my mainsail cover was going over my mast.

8 You know, like these people they have all the
9 cards. They're the landlord, you know. And if they
10 don't -- I get -- because I actually own land as well. I
11 get that like if there's a resident who's suing and
12 they're taking over the land --

13 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I'm -- you know, I
14 am -- we are happy to continue to talk with you and
15 brainstorm. Just we have to be very clear about what the
16 State Lands Commission's authority and jurisdiction is.

17 MR. HUMPHRIES: Sure.

18 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: And we do not have
19 specific management or leasing jurisdiction over many of
20 the locations that you're talking about, but we're happy
21 to talk with you off-line and talk through, and listen to
22 the challenges that you're having and brainstorm.

23 MR. HUMPHRIES: I'm more than happy to find a
24 place, you know, and work with whatever municipality or
25 jurisdiction. But when I'm told by the clerk that it's

1 inappropriate to give me any kind of assistance. It goes
2 directly against your words --

3 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Mr. Humphries, we're -- let me
4 just reiterate Ms. Lucchesi's offer. We're happy to
5 continue to have the dialogue with you. We are limited
6 with respect to our authority and jurisdiction, but we
7 will do our best to point you and guide you in the right
8 direction. Certainly really appreciate the frustration
9 that you're under, but we will continue this off-line with
10 you.

11 MR. HUMPRHIES: Speak with you later. Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you.

13 Okay. Alison madden, are you in the audience.

14 Yes. Good afternoon.

15 MS. MADDEN: Good afternoon. Thank you. It's
16 been such an interesting meeting every single agenda item.
17 The work you do is fantastic. And I wanted to say that at
18 the last meeting, Dan Slanker, who's the president of the
19 Redwood Creek Association came and spoke, and gave an
20 update. And on that day, I was filing an appellate writ.
21 And about one to five percent of them are granted. And
22 the court did grant the writ. And so we do have briefing.
23 And there is a stay on -- at Docketown right now. It's in
24 determinative. It's open-ended. So we will keep you
25 updated on that.

1 And hopefully -- it's about jurisdiction. It's
2 actually that the city charter says the port department
3 was supposed to be the proper body all along. And so if
4 we win, everything gets thrown out the window. And so we
5 kind of start all over. But if we lose, you know, of
6 course we'll bound by that, so we will keep you apprised.

7 And I also wanted to say just very quickly two
8 things. It was the City of Redwood City in this
9 jurisdictional suit that actually asked the court to order
10 me to serve the State Lands Commission and bring you into
11 the lawsuit as a necessary party. And I've been putting
12 that off and dragging my feet and brought the writ
13 instead, because that's not what I want to do.

14 And if they felt you were a necessary party, I
15 wish they would have brought you into the Hannig lawsuit
16 and participated. And actually the CEQA judge said that
17 they gave the Attorney General notice, and you didn't
18 intervene, so she didn't think you were a necessary party.

19 So there are three lawsuits, one having to do
20 with jurisdiction, one CEQA, and one is a class action for
21 relocation. And that there's a potential for a stay in
22 all of those. And, in fact, the issue of whether
23 residential use to some degree is permitted under the
24 Public Trust is actually an issue in all three of them.
25 So we'll definitely keep you apprised there as well.

1 What we did do in the meantime was meet with
2 Senator Hill's aids and Kevin Mullin's aids. And we had a
3 two-hour conversation and said, you know, we just want to
4 keep the conversation going. We're having city council
5 elections in the fall. There may very well be a turnover
6 and a changeover. The mayor is not running again and some
7 others aren't running again. And some affordable housing
8 advocates are running. And so there may be a different
9 approach -- a different desired approach at legislation.
10 And I know that you guys authorized looking into it.

11 And I just want to say this conversation
12 sometimes takes months and years. And I think it was the
13 Hannig lawsuit that put it on a fast track and not the
14 State Lands Commission. And so we wanted to keep that
15 open. And we even noted in 2020, or some day, maybe Jerry
16 Hill be on this Panel. You know, maybe he'll run for
17 Lieutenant Governor.

18 (Laughter.)

19 MS. MADDEN: And I would certainly wish him well.
20 But -- so to have these conversations keep going
21 with staff is very important, both with your staff, and
22 with the staff of the two, you know, legislators that deal
23 with this area.

24 And, let's see. Oh, the one thing I wanted to
25 say is I know that the Commission actually did have, you

1 know, a public hearing and a vote in 2001 in general on
2 the policy and doctrine of the Public Trust. But I think
3 that so far there hasn't been an actual public hearing
4 process and a Commission vote on liveboards, to what
5 extent they're consistent with and even advance the Public
6 Trust in some ways. And maybe the two to four percent
7 allowance for safety and security, and how that goes with
8 BCDC allowing 10 percent and that kind of thing, I'd
9 really like to have a public hearing process and a
10 fact-finding process and a bit more discussion.

11 So with that said, I would also like to say that
12 there is a development on the land at Docketown. And it's
13 the Strata Development Company with a really good
14 developer. And what we did, we actually went to the
15 Planning Commission and said we love this development, as
16 far as we're concerned. And they have told us we're okay
17 with you being there. So this is definitely driven by
18 that lawsuit by the settlement by a group of people on the
19 council that may turnover. And we're even on the agenda
20 for discussing litigation settlement next Monday.

21 So there's a lot of things that might change, and
22 we just wanted to keep you apprised. Suing you is the
23 last thing I want to do. And if it happen -- if it comes
24 to pass, it would be because of the court's order.

25 And if I could leave you with this, not for

1 consideration today, but it is the email that we sent, Dan
2 and I sent, to Joan and Ben at the Legislator's offices.
3 There's Dockettown Forever, and the case for grandfathering
4 Dockettown and then our cover letter.

5 And very specifically, there's one ease way out,
6 which is the Hannig suit is actually satisfied if there's
7 a change in policy. And it would be so easy to say that
8 it's on a case-by-case basis, or, you know, whether it's
9 two to four percent or 10 percent can be evaluated on a
10 case-by-case basis.

11 So thank you very much for hearing me.

12 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Ms. Madden, for the
13 updates. Appreciate it.

14 Okay. Our next public speakers Mr. John
15 Hernandez and Mr. Carlos Pineda.

16 MR. HERNANDEZ: (Spoke in Spanish.)

17 Good afternoon. My name is John Hernandez. I'm
18 a resident of Imperial County, only for 68 years. And
19 I've seen quite a bit in those 68 years. And you don't
20 know how important it is for me to be here today to speak
21 to you about some of the concerns, and what I've lived,
22 and what I'm living over these last 68 years.

23 Yes, there's good things that have happened
24 throughout this country, throughout this community over
25 the last 100 years. But where there's winners, there's

1 losers. And I'm just working and continue to work to make
2 sure that the losers don't lose this game completely.

3 We have, yes, richness in our particular part of
4 the country, as you do throughout the northern part. But
5 the Salton Sea by ag's own admission is an agricultural
6 sump, a disaster. It's a dump. And it's being left to
7 dry. And now the population has shifted, where 85 percent
8 are disadvantaged community Latinos Hispanics just like
9 myself, and my offspring, and my family, and my neighbors
10 and extended members still have imaginary border there,
11 are left with the problem, and are telling the State, you
12 fix it.

13 Well, the State Lands Commission happens to have
14 a major role in this. And I'm glad to hear that the
15 environmental justice part of it is something that impacts
16 us will be addressed, and will be looked at. So I'm glad
17 to hear that part of it. But when developers come into
18 our community, there's always residue that's left behind
19 that somehow is not worked into on the front end to ensure
20 that these negative impacts will be left to the detriment
21 of the community.

22 And here we are a hundred years later with a
23 problem that we don't know who's going to fix. So our
24 inner cities, our communities who have shifted are dying
25 because we don't have a tax base. The cities around the

1 sea are dying because they don't have a tax base. But
2 yet, the minerals are worth a lot of money, the produce is
3 worth a lot of money, the fruits and vegetables that are
4 coming out of our community are worth a lot of money, but
5 we're left with insecticides, pesticides, herbicides, DDT
6 residue and all these other things that the State Lands
7 Commission really needs to work it into their plans, and
8 find a creative way to assist our communities with the
9 jurisdiction that you have from the lands to ensure that
10 there is something left behind.

11 Now, they're talking about bringing water from
12 Mexico into California and from the border to the sea is
13 your jurisdiction, if there's anything going to go through
14 State Lands. So I hope that you start looking at this and
15 talking to Natural Resources to see how that's going to
16 impact you.

17 And also, there's a place called The Slabs. Have
18 any of you been out there?

19 So you know what I'm talking about there. I
20 don't need to get into that, but that's been going on for
21 all my lifetime, and look the other way. But we're not
22 going to look the other way. And I -- I'm glad to see
23 that you come out to where you can see what's going on.
24 And it's just not one side. It's not just these great
25 things happening in development in the geothermal. Come

1 to our neighborhoods too, come to the -- to where we're
2 living and we're struggling on a day-to-day basis, where
3 our -- where the emergency room asthma rates are going
4 through the roof, where our hypertension, our -- you know
5 I can just go on, but I'm not.

6 But I really appreciate the opportunity to be
7 here before you and say, you know what, you need to come
8 down and get closer to us. I'm glad that somebody gave me
9 a ride up here or else I would have never come, but I know
10 the need to be heard. And I know the need for you to come
11 out of that dome, and come over here to my home.

12 And I thank you and good luck. Good luck, Mr.
13 Newsom.

14 Good luck, Commissioners.

15 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Hernandez for
16 taking the time to address us.

17 Mr. Pineda, Carlos.

18 MR. PINEDA: Good afternoon, everyone. I just
19 want to know -- want to let you know that this is -- I'm a
20 bit nervous because I'm -- the first time I'm actually
21 experiencing this type of meeting.

22 So -- but I want to start by saying that I don't
23 want to consider myself an activist, although I am,
24 because I speak on behalf of a lot of issues. And I don't
25 want to say I'm an environmentalist, because I'm not

1 really an active environmentalist. But what I come here
2 today is to speak on behalf of our water supply here in
3 the desert.

4 There's a lot of concerns. I'm here to talk
5 about the concern personally I have in regards the water
6 supply in the desert, where they constantly want to come
7 here and drill water from our deserts. And I want to know
8 if there's anything legislatively in place to protect our
9 waters, because from all that I've seen in -- from like --
10 like, for instance, I just want to bring that as an
11 example for like the water that is being taken from the
12 San Bernardino mountains. You know, a lot of it has been
13 taken away. And, you know, it kind of bothers me in a way
14 the fact that they're allowed to extract water, and yet
15 turn around and pretty much sell it to everyone for
16 profit. So that's my main concern.

17 I have a lot of friends that live out here, like
18 by the Joshua Tree. And I guess where I'm getting at is
19 there's a lot of -- this organization that wants to
20 continue trying to get water supply from wherever. And so
21 that's -- that's my main issue.

22 And the only other thing that I want to say is
23 Mr. Newsom, I want to take this opportunity to thank you,
24 coming from me, for standing up for all of us, standing up
25 and speaking up on a lot of issues to this, you know,

1 current administration.

2 And just I want to voice also my opinion that
3 this is my first opportunity that I became a citizen in
4 December.

5 And I want to thank you for also standing up for
6 the LGBT community. I wanted to personally thank you
7 because I've been following you for a long time. And I've
8 never thought that it was very important for me to become
9 a citizen, even though I was eligible to become one.

10 But, you got my vote. So thank you.

11 (Laughter.)

12 MR. PINEDA: Thank you very much.

13 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Pineda for
14 coming forward, and hope you continue to be a voice in
15 front a lot of these public proceedings going forward.
16 Thank you.

17 Okay. Any other members of the audience who wish
18 to speak and address the Commission?

19 Seeing none. Comments from Commissioners?

20 I just want to thank the staff for the work in
21 putting this meeting together. It's just really
22 meaningful to be here in this part of the State. And
23 believe me, there will not be another 80 year lapse, if I
24 have anything to do with it.

25 (Laughter.)

1 CHAIRPERSON YEE: But, I mean, you look at all of
2 the interests that have converged just even in this single
3 meeting of the Commission. And you begin to realize that
4 this Commission especially has every opportunity to be
5 staking some ground in terms of how we, you know, really
6 try to approach a lot of these issues that are plaguing us
7 statewide. That the community involvement I think can
8 only grow from what we've seen today. So I really
9 appreciate all the members of the community being here.
10 And again, I want to thank the City of Palm Springs for
11 hosting us today.

12 I think with that, Ms. Lucchesi, what's our next
13 item?

14 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We'll adjourn into
15 closed session.

16 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Any other comments from
17 the Commissioners before we do so?

18 Okay. Great. Thank you. All right are we going
19 to stay here for closed session?

20 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes.

21 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Let me ask members of
22 the public if you will vacate the auditorium so that we
23 may meet in closed session.

24 Thank you.

25 (Off record: 3:10 p.m.)

1 (Thereupon the meeting recessed into
2 closed session.)

3 (Thereupon the meeting reconvened
4 open session.)

5 (On record: 3:24 p.m.)

6 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Great. Thank you. We
7 are reconvened in open session.

8 The Commission met in closed session to discuss
9 pending litigation and other matters as listed pursuant to
10 the closed session portion of the agenda.

11 And any other items before -- to come before the
12 Commission?

13 Okay. Hearing none.

14 With that, we are adjourned.

15 Thank you very much.

16 (Thereupon the California State Lands
17 Commission meeting adjourned at 3:25 p.m.)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 C E R T I F I C A T E O F R E P O R T E R

2 I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
3 Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

4 That I am a disinterested person herein; that the
5 foregoing California State Lands Commission Skype meeting
6 was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a
7 Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California;

8 That the said Skype proceedings was taken before
9 me, in shorthand writing, and was thereafter transcribed
10 to the best of my ability with intermittent Skype
11 connection, under my direction, by computer-assisted
12 transcription.

13 I further certify that I am not of counsel or
14 attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any
15 way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
17 this 2nd day of May, 2018.

18
19
20 

21
22
23 JAMES F. PETERS, CSR
24 Certified Shorthand Reporter
25 License No. 10063