CALENDAR ITEM

10-1-1-12

51/153

5/31/83 1 22779 Shimer

q

TIMBER SALE AGREEMENT

16

In furtherance of the timber management program endorsed at the May, 1980 Commission meeting, the staff has prepared a harvest plan and solicited sealed bids for the sale of 362,000 board feet of commercial sawtimber within an 80-acre parcel of State school land, 35 airline miles west of Willows in Glenn County.

The sale was advertised in April in local and regional newspapers and bid packages sent to a mailing list of over 70 operations in Northern California. Two bids were received as shown on the attached Exhibit "C", as of May 3, the bid opening date, and the high bid was \$59,853.0& submitted by Louisiana Pacific Corporation. This is 37 percent above the appraised value deternined by staff in March 1983.

AB 884: N/A.

1

1

S

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

- A Negative Declaration (No. 297) was prepared by Commission staff which was certified by the Commission at its February 1982 meeting. A Timber Harvest Plan has been filed with the California Department of Forestry.
- This project is situated on State school lands not identified as possessing significant environmental values. A staff review of available information indicates no reason to identify the subject parce! as having such values at this time.

-1-

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 16 (CONTD)


EXHIBITS:

A. Location Map.B. Negative Declaration.C. Summary of Bids.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

- 1. ACCEPT THE BID IN THE AMOUNT OF \$59,853.08 AND AUTHORIZE ENTERING INTO A TIMBER SALE AGREEMENT WITH LOUISIANA PACIFIC CORPORATION FOR THE SALE OF 362,000 BOARD FEET OF SAWTIMBER IN W¹/₂ OF SW¹/₃, SECTION 16, T2ON R9W, MDM, GLENN COUNTY, UPON PAYMENT IN FULL OF THE TCTAL BID PRICE AND FURNISHING A PERFORMANCE BOND IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,000.
- DETERMINE THAT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THIS PROJECT (NO. 297) WAS CERTIFIED BY THE CONMISSION AT ITS FEBRUARY 1982 MEETING.

 71
220
965



.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - STATE LANDS COMMISSION

STATE LANDS COMMISSION 1807 1318 519101 SACRAMENIO, CALIFORNIA 93814

EXHIBIT 'B'

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

/x/ Draft / Final

EIR ND 297

File Ref.: RW 22779

SCH#:

Project Ticle: Tar Flat Timber Sale on State-owned Lands.

Project Location: W14 of SW14 of Section 16, T.20 N., R.9 W., M.D.M., in Glenn County.

Project Description: Harvest and sale of approximately 305,000 board feet of mature, overmature, and younger merchantable trees which are suppressed or infested with insucts, disease, or dwarf mistletoe.

This NEGATIVE DECLARATION is prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Section 21000 et seq of the Public Resources Code), the State EIR Guidelines (Section 15000 et seq, Title 14, of the California Administrative Code), and the State Lands Commission regulations (Section 2901 et seq, Title 2, of the California Administrative Code),

Based upon the attached Initial Studies, it has been found that:

17 the project will not have a significant effect on the environment, *

// the attached mitigation measures will avoid potentially significant effects.

Contact Person:

Ted T. Fukushima 1807-13th Street Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 322-7813

*Mendocino National Forest responded to the attached InItial Study with concerns of archeolog(cal sensitivty in the project area. The Chico Regional California Archeora, v Site Survey Office has subsequently recommended a site survey of the State-owned pirces Therefore, prior to any timber harvest activity in this area, an on-site survey will be performed by a qualified archeologist and appropriate mitigation measures, it necessary, will be imposed.



Form 13.17

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

STATE LANDS COMMISSION

EXECUTIVE OFFICE 1807 - 13th Street Sacramento, California 95814

EXHILIT 'C'

PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

EIR ND 331

File Ref.: W 21423

SCH#: 83031802

Project Title:

•

Proposed Land Sale - Vidal Junction

Project Location: A portion of the SW¼ of the NW¼ of Section 9, .T1N, R23E, SBM, Vidal Junction, San Bernardino County.

Project Description: Proposed sale of a parcel of a 22.8[±] acres of State-owned land and six delapidated, unoccupied single-family residences.

This NEGATIVE DECLARATION is prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Section 21000 et seq. or the Public Resources Code), the State CEQA Guidelines (Section 15000 et seq., Title 14, of the California Administrative Code), and the State Lands Commission regulations (Section 2901 et seq., Title 2, of the California Administrative Code).

Based upon the attached Initial Study, it has been found that:

 \sqrt{X} the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

7 the attached mitigation measures will avoid potentially significant effects.

. Contact Person:

Ted T. Fukushima State Lands Commission 1807 - 13th Street Sacramento, California 95814

Form 13.17 (2/82)

STATE LANDS COMMISSION

. .

1

:6

1

-	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST - PART II Form 13.20 (7/82)				
1	•	BA	CKGROUND INFORMATION		
		Α.	Applicant. To be determined by bid		
		8.	Checklist Date: 4 / 4 / 83		
		C.	Contact Person: Ted T, Fukushima		
			Telephone: (916) 322-7813		
		D.	Purpose. <u>To sell a small parcel of State-owned land because it is</u>		
			uneconomical to manage.		
		Ε.	Location. Section 9, T1N, R23E, SBM, Vidal Junction, San Bernardino		
			County,		
		۴.	Description:Sale_of this parcel and six single-family_residences_on_the		
			parcel, each in various stages of decay		
-		~			
		6,	Persons Contacted: 1) Department of Conservation, Cliff Gray, Geophysical Officer,		
			640-3560;		
			2) Department of Fish and Game, Region 5, Bob Vernoy, Biologist,		
			(714) 245-7028;		
			3) Department of General Services, Jim Hargrove, 5-5728; and		
			4) Office of Historic Preservation, Nick del Cioppo, Archaeologist,		
			5-8006.		
11	. 1	EN\	/IRONMENTAL IMPACTS. (Explain all "yes" and "maybe" answers)		
	1	Α.	Earth. Will the proposal result in: Yes Maybe No		
			1. Unstable earth conditions or changes in geologic substructures?		
			2. Disruptions, displacements, compaction, or overcovering of the soil7		
			3. Change in topography or ground surface relief features?		
			4. The destruction, covering, or modification of any unique geologic or physical features?		
			5. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?		
			6. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet, or lake?		
			7. Exposure of all people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mucslides, ground		
			failure, or similar hazards?		

-

-

	8	. Air. Will the proposal result in:	Yes	Mayt	ie No
		1. Substantial air emmissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?			[x]
		2. The creation of objectionable odors?			[x]
		3. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?.			[X]
	C.	. Water, Will the proposal result in:			
		1. Changes in the currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?	[_]	[]	(X)
		2. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?			ĨXÌ
		3. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?			$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$
		4. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?			[X]
		5. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved cxygen or turbidity?			[X]
		6. Alteration of the direct on or rate of flow of ground waters?		\Box	[X]
		7. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through inter- ception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?	[]]	(7)	ĪXI
•		8. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?	17		[X]
		9. Exposure of people or property to water-related hazards such as flooding or tidat waves?	Ē		
		10. Significant changes in the temperature, flow or chemical content of surface thermal springs?	ñ		
(Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:	kan ya d	I. I	(0)
		1. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including treas, shrubs, grass, crops, and aquatic plants)?	["]	[]	[X]
		2. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rate or endangered species of plants?	۲ï		
		3. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?		1-1	
		4, Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?		f 1	
ε		Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:	ليد تا	. /	[[]
		1. Change in the divers 'y of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, or insects)?	Г	["]	[x]
		2. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	n	Ē	
		3. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a harrier to the migration or movement of		5	
		alandar (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			<u>[X]</u>
F,		4. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?			X
<i>•</i> ,		Noise. Will the proposal result in:			
		1. Increase in existing noise levels?			X
c		2. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?			X
0.		Lipits and Glare. Will the proposal result in:			
u		I. The production of new light or glare?			X
33,		Land Use. Will the proposal result in:			
		A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?			X
١.		Vatural Resources. Will the proposal result in:			_
		. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	ן [][X
	2	. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable resources?		[X
			the second se	<u>81</u>	_
			97	U	

,

6

` x

	•	J. Risk of Upset. Does the proposal result in:			
		 A risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, posticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? Possible interferences id. 	Yos	Mayb	·
		and total sector and the emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan?			X
	К	a second s			X
		1. The alteration, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of the area?	<u>с</u> т	-	(TT)
	L.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ш	\Box	X
		1. Affecting existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?	ل ـــا		~~ 1
	M	will the proposal result in:		Ļ	X
		1. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
		a structuring existing parking facilities, or create a demand for new parking?			X
		3. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?			X
		4. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?			X
		5. Alterations to waterborne, rail, or air traffic?			X
		6. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians?			X
	N.	Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:	(X
		1. Fire protection?	,		
		2. Force protection?	<u>ן</u> ן	-	X
		3. Schools?	ן נ		X
		- Turks and other recreational facilities?	<u>ן</u> ו		X
		5. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?	ΪĹ		X I
	1	6. Other governmental services?	ן ר ר	-	X]
()	Energy. Will the proposal result in:		7 13	X
		1. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?			*-7
	-	c. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of neuronal and			~
Ρ	. (Utilities. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:] [_		<u>.</u>]
		. Tower of natural gas/,	ו	יי ר	-
	~	Commutation systems?			~
	0				_
	4.	Sewer or septic tanks?			-
	5.	. Storm water drainage?			
	6.			X	
Q.	Hı	sman Health. Will the proposal result in:	Ļ	X	ļ
	1.	Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?		5	I
	2.	Exposure of people to potential health hazards?		X	
8.	Ag	stherics. Will the proposal result in:	\Box	X	
	1.	The obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?	<u> </u>	<u>س</u>	
S.	Rec	creation. Will the proposal result in:			
	1	An impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities?	रू नि	- <u>X</u>	

- 3 -

				• • •	•
	T.	Cultural Resources.	Yes	Maybe	i No
_		1. Will the proposal result in the alteration of or the destruction of a prehistoric or historic archeological site?.			X
		2. Will the proposal result in adverse physical or aesthesic effects to a prehistoric or historic building, structure, or object?			X
		3. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?		[]	Σ.
		4. Will the proposal restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?			X
	U.	Mandatory Findings of Significance.			
		 Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?, 			X
		2. Does the project have the potential to achieve short term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals?			X
		3. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable?			X
		4. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			X
III. 1	DIS	CUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (See Comments Attached)			

IV. PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION

)

1

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

[X] I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is requied.

4 / 4 / 83 Date:

C 1e

For the State Lands Commission

Form 13.20 (7/82)

. - .

Ō

EXHIBIT "D" GENERAL PLAN W 21423

The State Lands Commission proposes to sell a 22.8[±] acre parcel of State lands and six delapidated, unoccupied single family residences thereon. The location is a portion of the SW4 of the NW4 of Section 9, T1N, R23E, SBM, Vida1 Junction, San Bernardino County. A Negative Declaration has been circulated (State Clearinghouse No. 83031802) for this project. This Ceneral Plan is filed with the Legislature pursuant to Public Resources Code 6373.

EXHIBIT "C"

W 22779

Summary of Bids for Tar Flat Sale. Advertised Minimum \$43,655.

Bidder	<u>Total Bid</u>
Louisiana Pacific Corporation	\$59,853.08
Stenberg Enterprises	\$49,289.00

CALE FOT DAGS	74		
2 Mai - 2235	974		