

22. PROPOSED EXPENDITURE OF TIDELAND OIL REVENUE FOR SUBSIDENCE REMEDIAL WORK, CITY OF LONG BEACH, LOS ANGELES COUNTY - W 10290.

After consideration of Calendar Item 21 attached, and upon motion duly made and carried, the following resolution was adopted:

THE COMMISSION APPROVES COSTS PROPOSED TO BE EXPENDED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, INCLUDING SUBSIDENCE REMEDIAL WORK, AS INDICATED IN EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF, FOR THE PERIOD FROM JUNE 28, 1973, TO TERMINATION DATE; SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS THAT THE AMOUNTS, IF ANY, OF EACH OF THE ITEMS TO BE ALLOWED ULTIMATELY AS SUBSIDENCE COSTS, DEDUCTIBLE UNDER SECTION 4(d) OF CH. 138/1964, 1ST E.S., WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION UPON AN ENGINEERING REVIEW AND FINAL AUDIT SUBSEQUENT TO THE TIME WHEN THE WORK UNDER ANY OF THESE ITEMS IS COMPLETED, AND THAT THE WORK CONFORM IN ESSENTIAL DETAILS TO THE PLANS AND BACKGROUND MATERIAL HERETOFORE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION. FURTHER, THE COMMISSION AUTHORIZES THE EXECUTION OF APPROPRIATE WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS REFLECTING THE COMMISSION'S CONDITIONAL APPROVAL.

Attachment:

Calendar Item 21 (3 pages)

CALENDAR ITEM

21.

6/73
WEB
W 10290

PROPOSED EXPENDITURE OF TIDELAND OIL REVENUE
FOR SUBSIDENCE REMEDIAL WORK
CITY OF LONG BEACH

SUBSIDENCE REMEDIAL PROJECT: Pier A: Berth 6 Pump Station Modification.

SUBSIDENCE ELEMENTS:

- a. City's Estimate: All costs are subsidence costs resulting from impaired drainage as a result of subsidence of the land surface.
- b. Staff Analysis: Initial study concludes that some subsidence costs are included but not necessarily all those estimated by the City.

COST OF THE PROJECT:

- a. City's Estimate: \$15,000 (2nd Phase)
Subsidence Portion: 100%, or \$15,000.
- b. Staff Comment: Found reasonable. Final determination is subject to analysis upon completion of the work.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY:

- a. City's Reference: Ch. 138/64, 1st E.S.
- b. Staff Determination: Agreement.

COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
OF 1970:

The City of Long Beach Planning Department on March 21, 1973, made determination that this project, consisting of maintenance and minor alteration of an existing pump station, is CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT from the requirement for preparation of an environmental impact report.

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

Details of the project are set forth in letter dated April 3, 1973, submitted by the City Attorney, requesting prior approval of the Commission.

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 21. (CONTD)

EXHIBITS:

- A. Cost Estimate.
- B. Vicinity Sketch.
- C. Detail Sketch.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION APPROVE COSTS PROPOSED TO BE EXPENDED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, INCLUDING SUBSIDENCE REMEDIAL WORK, AS INDICATED IN EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF, FOR THE PERIOD FROM JUNE 28, 1973, TO TERMINATION DATE; SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS THAT THE AMOUNTS, IF ANY, OF EACH OF THE ITEMS TO BE ALLOWED ULTIMATELY AS SUBSIDENCE COSTS, DEDUCTIBLE UNDER SECTION 4(d) OF CH. 138/64, 1ST E.S., WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION UPON AN ENGINEERING REVIEW AND FINAL AUDIT SUBSEQUENT TO THE TIME WHEN THE WORK UNDER ANY OF THESE ITEMS IS COMPLETED, AND THAT THE WORK CONFORM IN ESSENTIAL DETAILS TO THE PLANS AND BACKGROUND MATERIAL HERETOFORE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION. IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION AUTHORIZE THE EXECUTION OF APPROPRIATE WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS REFLECTING THE COMMISSION'S CONDITIONAL APPROVAL.

Attachment: Exhibit "A"

EXHIBIT "A"

W 10290

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>AFE</u>	<u>WORK PROPOSED</u>	<u>LBHD ESTIMATED SUB-PROJECT EXPENDITURES JUNE 28, 1973 TO TERMINATION</u>	<u>ESTIMATED SUBSIDENCE COSTS</u>
1	*	Pier A: Berth 6 Pump Station Modification (2nd Phase)	\$15,000	\$15,000(100%)
	*	AFE to be assigned.		

- NOTE: 1. The term "1st Phase" covers costs of preliminary work expended subsequent to review of preliminary plans and/or other adequate description. (Typical "1st Phase" costs are engineering design, contract administration and advertising, preparation of site by Harbor Department forces.) For work within the same approved time period, any excess of "1st Phase" approved costs over actual expenditures may be added to "2nd Phase" approvals after date of "2nd Phase" approvals.
2. The term "2nd Phase" consists of additional costs approved subsequent to review of detail construction plans and/or other data sufficient to guide construction operations.