

MINUTE ITEM

37. PROPOSED OIL AND GAS LEASE, CITY OF LOS ANGELES, SANTA MONICA BAY, ~~LOS ANGELES COUNTY~~ - W.O. 4636. A.A.T.S. -#3

After consideration of Supplemental Calendar Item 39 attached, and upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, the following resolution was adopted:

THE COMMISSION AMENDS THE MOTION APPROVED ON SEPTEMBER 24, 1964, TO READ AS FOLLOWS: THAT WE DEFER ACTION ON DENY THE APPLICATION THAT IS BEFORE US; THAT WE INSTRUCT THE STAFF TO EXPLORE WITH THE CITY THE ALTERNATE APPROACHES THAT WE HAVE DISCUSSED TODAY; THAT IF POSSIBLE WE FIND A WAY OF LEARNING MORE THAN IS PRESENTLY KNOWN ABOUT THE OIL PRESUMED TO BE THERE BEFORE WE COME TO THE POINT OF DECISION; THAT WE SEEK WAYS OF PROTECTING THE ESTHETIC VALUES, WHICH ARE IMMENSE; AND THAT WE SEEK A LEASE FORM WHICH DOES NOT COMMIT THE CITY AND STATE TO A FORM OF DEVELOPMENT WHICH IS ONLY DEEMED TO BE ECONOMIC BY THE OIL COMPANY AND DOES NOT TAKE ADEQUATE ACCOUNT OF THE ESTHETIC VALUES WE ARE DETERMINED TO PROTECT.

Attachment

Supplemental Calendar Item 39 (1 page)

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR ITEM

39.

PROPOSED OIL AND GAS LEASE, CITY OF LOS ANGELES, SANTA MONICA BAY, LOS ANGELES COUNTY - W.O. 4636.

At the State Lands Commission meeting of September 24, 1964, the Commission, after considering a resolution of the Board of Recreation and Harbor Commissioners of the City of Los Angeles to lease for the purpose of exploring for and extracting and producing oil, gas, and other hydrocarbon substances from certain submerged lands lying in Santa Monica Bay, approved a motion denying the application, and instructed the staff to explore with the City alternate approaches of development which will be economic and take adequate account of the protection of esthetic values.

The City of Los Angeles has requested reconsideration of said application in order that full consideration of all alternatives for proper development of said lands in a manner which will best serve the interests of both the City and the State may be considered.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION AMEND THE MOTION APPROVED ON SEPTEMBER 24, 1964, TO READ AS FOLLOWS: THAT WE DEFER ACTION ON DENY THE APPLICATION THAT IS BEFORE US; THAT WE INSTRUCT THE STAFF TO EXPLORE WITH THE CITY THE ALTERNATE APPROACHES THAT WE HAVE DISCUSSED TODAY; THAT IF POSSIBLE WE FIND A WAY OF LEARNING MORE THAN IS PRESENTLY KNOWN ABOUT THE OIL PRESUMED TO BE THERE BEFORE WE COME TO THE POINT OF DECISION; THAT WE SEEK WAYS OF PROTECTING THE ESTHETIC VALUES, WHICH ARE IMMENSE; AND THAT WE SEEK A LEASE FORM WHICH DOES NOT COMMIT THE CITY AND STATE TO A FORM OF DEVELOPMENT WHICH IS ONLY CLAIMED TO BE ECONOMIC BY THE OIL COMPANY AND DOES NOT TAKE ADEQUATE ACCOUNT OF THE ESTHETIC VALUES WE ARE DETERMINED TO PROTECT.