

MINUTE ITEM

3. LONG BEACH BOUNDARY DETERMINATION, CHAPTER 2000/57 - W.O. 2716.

In response to a request from the Executive Officer for a report of progress on the Long Beach boundary determination during the extension of time granted by the Commission at its last meeting, Mr. Philip J. Brady, Deputy City Attorney of Long Beach, reported that an analytical and economic study on projected well production had been prepared for the City, based on the proposed plan submitted by State representatives which involved the study of certain proposed geographic boundary areas and also encompassing certain oil production within those areas. Inasmuch as this report was not furnished to the City until the week of September 26, there had not been time to analyze it fully. Nevertheless, in the interests of time, it had been planned to present the tentative analysis to the City Council for preliminary discussion at an adjourned meeting on October 1. A quorum of the Council was not available, and therefore the matter could not be considered. However, it was felt that the City should be able to state its determined position by the time of the next meeting of the State Lands Commission.

Mr. Dan Kaufmann, Assistant Attorney General for the State of California, informed the Commission that six meetings had been held with representatives of the City of Long Beach, that Long Beach had now gathered the necessary technical data needed, and that he believed progress was being made and that the matter should be "brought to a head" for reasonable settlement within the next thirty days, and that the office of the Attorney General would expect to receive a report from Long Beach not later than October 15.

The Executive Officer confirmed that it would be desirable to have any Long Beach conclusions in the hands of the Commission's staff not later than October 15 to allow for a staff review of economic and engineering factors.

The following resolution was predicated upon the condition that at the time of the next meeting of the Commission there be (1) a firm proposal for settlement, or (2) convincing evidence that negotiations are close to settlement and that the City of Long Beach is doing all it can to expedite the negotiation and bring it to a conclusion.

UPON MOTION MADE BY MR. CRANSTON, DULY SECONDED, AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED GRANTING A FURTHER EXTENSION OF TIME UNTIL THE NEXT SCHEDULED MEETING OF THE COMMISSION (OCTOBER 29, 1959) TO CONSUMMATE NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND THE CITY OF LONG BEACH IN THE ATTEMPT TO REACH A COMPROMISE SOLUTION TO THE TIDELAND BOUNDARY QUESTION PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 2000/57.

Attachment

Calendar Item 52 (1 page)

CALENDAR ITEM

52.

~~LONG BEACH BOUNDARY DETERMINATION, CHAPTER 2000/57 - W.O. 2716.~~

At the meeting of August 27, 1959, the Commission granted a further extension of time until its next meeting to consummate negotiations between the State of California and the City of Long Beach to attempt to reach a compromise solution to the boundary problems required by Chapter 2000/57.

~~A progress report of the boundary line negotiations will be given by the Attorney General's office and the Commission's staff.~~

It is anticipated that representatives of the City Attorney's office of Long Beach will also report on the status of the negotiations.