14. (CONSIDERATION OF SUBSIDENCE COSTS PROJECT, LONG BEACH - W.O. 10,013,)

After presentation of Calendar Item No. 19 attached, discussion was had as follows:

Upon being questioned by the Commission, Deputy Attorney General Jay Shavelson indicated that he agreed with the form of recommendation being presented by the staff.

The Executive Officer pointed out that the estimates outlined were those of the City of Long Beach and not of the staff of the Commission, but it was made clear that the work to be done was of the type that qualifies for reinbursement under the law, this point having been carefully reviewed by the staff.

UPON MULION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED:

THE COMMISSION APPROVES THE COSTS PROPOSED TO BE EXPENDED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, DECLUDING SUBSIDENCE REMEDIAL WORK UNDER PROJECT L.B. W.O. 10,013, HETVEL DECEMBER 1, 1956, AND JUNE 30, 1957; SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS, HOWEVER, LAT THE AMOUNTS, IF ANY, OF EACH OF THE ITEMS TO BE ALLOWED ULTIMATELY AS SUBSIDENCE COSTS, DEDUCTIBLE UNDER SECTION 5 (a) OF CHAPTER 29, STATUTES OF 1956, LST E.S., WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION UPON AN ENGLINERING REVIEW AND FINAL AUDIT SUBSEQUENT TO THE TIME WHEN THE WORK UNDER ANY OF THESE ITEMS IS COMPLETED; AND THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR THE MINERAL RESOURCES ENGINEER ARE AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE APPROPRIATE APPROPRIATE TRIBERY INSTRUMENTS REFLECTING THE CONSTISSION'S CONDITIONAL APPROVAL.

Attachment Calendar Item 19 (2 pages)

MISCELLANEOUS

19.

(CONSIDERATION OF SUBSIDENCE COSTS PROJECT LONG BEACH - W. O. 10,013.)

The Commission has heretofore approved the costs proposed to be expended by the City of Long Beach, including subsidence remedial work for the balance of the fiscal year, under eight projects (August 15, 1956 Minute Item 4, pages 2759-60, W. O. 10,003, W. O. 10,014), September 27, 1956 (Minute Item 15, pages 2819-21, W. O.s 10,002, 10,006, 10,007, 10,008), November 8, 1956 (Minute Item 11, pages 2880-81, W. O. 10,009, W. O. 10,010).

The City of Long Beach through the Port of Long Beach has presented for consideration and conditional approval an additional project to remedy and protect against the effects of subsidence of the land surface within the Long Beach Harbor District. This project has received initial staff review and is considered to include some "subsidence costs" as defined in Section 1(f) of Chapter 29, Statutes of 1956, 1st E.S., but not necessarily all of those costs as estimated by the Port of Long Beach.

L. B. 10,013 - Project and estimates submitted by the Port of Long Beach, Back Areas of Piers A to D.

WORK PROPOSED		ESTIMATED TOTAL COST OF PROJECT DEC. 1, 1956 TO JUNE 30, 1957	ESTIMATED "SUB- SIDENCE" COSTS	
1.	Pier D. Railroad approach reconstruction.	\$ 72,443	\$ 65,199	(90%)
2.	Berth 27. Back Area reconstruction.	53,064	47,520	(89.6)
3•	Pier C. Back Area recon- struction.	124,595	109,768	(88.1)
4.	Pier D. Back Area reconstruction.	54,400	54,400	(100)
5.	Pier A and B. Track approach construction.	82,771	82,771	(1.00)
6.	Pier B. Back Area reconstruction.	343,370	332,132	(96,7)
7.	Berth 11. Back Area reconstruction.	524,541 \$1,255,184	518.5k9 \$1,210,339	(98.9)

MISCELLANEOUS 19. (CONTD.)

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION APPROVE THE COSTS PROPOSED TO BE EXPENDED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, INCLUDING SUBSILIENCE REMEDIAL WORK UNDER PROJECT L.B. W. O. 10,013, BETWEEN DECEMBER 1, 1956 AND JUNE 30, 1957; SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS, HOWEVER, THAT THE AMOUNTS, IF ANY, OF EACH OF THE ITEMS TO BE ALLOWED ULTIMATELY AS SUBSIDENCE COSTS, DEDUCTIBLE UNDER SECTION 5(a) OF CHAPTER 29, STATUTES OF 1956, 1st E.S., WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION UPON AN ENGINEERING REVIEW AND FINAL AUDIT SUBSEQUENT TO THE TIME WHEN THE WORK UNDER ANY OF THESE ITEMS IS COMPLETED; AND THAT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR THE MINERAL RESOURCES ENGINEER BE AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE APPROPRIATE WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS REFLECTING THE COMMISSION®S CONDITIONAL APPROVAL.