14. (APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT PIER, LAKE TAHOE, TAHOE VISTA, PLACER COUNTY, J. MEREDITH SIPLE - W.O. 1169, P.R.C. 665.)

UPON MOTICH DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO ISSUE TO J. MEREDITH SIPLE A TWO-YEAR PERMIT TO OCCUPY SUBMERGED LANDS IN LAKE TAHOE BY CONSTRUCTION OF A RECREATIONAL PIER, PERMIT FEE TO BE \$20.

The proposed pier will occupy a very small parcel of land in Lake Tahoe. Due to inability to complete the field survey of the shore of Lake Tahoe, because of the high water level, it is considered advisable to issue permits for this and other similar occupancies for a two-year period only, pending completion of the field survey and investigation. Filing fee and permit fee have been paid.

15. (APPLICATION FOR MINOR-STRUCTURE PERMITS, PORTUGUESE BEND, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, PALOS VERDES CORPORATION - W.O. 737, P.R.C. 666, P.R.C. 657, P.R.C. 668, P.R.C. 669.)

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESCLUTION WAS ADOPTED AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO ISSUE TO PALOS VERDES CORPORATION THREE MINOR-STRUCTURE PERMITS, EACH FOR TWO MOORING BUDYS, AND ONE MINOR-STRUCTURE PERMIT FOR FOUR MOORING BUDYS, ALL TO BE PLACED IN PORTUGUESE BEND, LOS ANGELES COUNTY; EACH PERMIT TO BE FOR A FIVE-YEAR PERIOD, FOR A FEE OF \$15.

On April 28, 1950, the Commission established a rental base for small areas of tide and submerged lands for other than commercial use. For structures costing not more than \$500, a permit fee of \$15 for a five-year maximum period was so fixed. Due to the grouping and spacing of the buoys, four minor-structure permits are required in this case. Moorings are for the use of members of the Portuguese Bond Club. Filing and permit fees have been paid.

16. (REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF CRUDE OIL SALES CONTRACTS, PACIFIC WESTERN OIL CORPORATION, LEASES P.P.C. 420 AND P.R.C. 423, HLWOOD, SANTA BARBARA COUNTY.) Section 15 of Oil and Gas Lease Extension and Renewal P.R.C. 420, issued September 10, 1949, and P.R.C. 423, issued November 22, 1949, to the Pacific Western Oil Corporation, provide in part as follows:

"In the event the State should elect to take royalty in money instead of in kind, the lessee shall not sell or otherwise dispose of the products produced hereunder except in accordance with such sales contracts or other method first approved in writing by the State."

The Pacific Western Oil Corporation has submitted copies of crude oil sales contracts with the Richfield Oil Corporation, dated October 1, 1951, covering the sales of production from the subject leases. The contracts are for a term of one year, and thereafter are terminable on thirty days' written notice by either party. The general terms and conditions of the contract relating to the manner of delivery, price, and testing of oil samples, are in conformance with the kease terms and practice in the Elwood Oil Field. Under the centract the sales price for the cruis oil, in effect at the time of delivery, is fixed at five sents per barrel under the Standard Oil

Company of California's posted price f.c.b. ship, for Elwood Terrace crude oil. The deduction of five cents per barrel as a delivery charge for the movement from the upland marine terminal to the ship, which is the only means for transporting Elwood Crude, has been a standard deduction in all Elwood oil and gas leases.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESCLUTION WAS ADOPTED AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO APPROVE THE CRUDE OIL SALES CONTRACTS OF OCTOBER 1, 1951, BETWEEN THE PACIFIC WESTERN OIL CORPORATION AND THE RICHFIELD OIL CORPORATION, AS THE BASIS FOR THE SALE AND DELIVERY BY THE PACIFIC WESTERN OIL CORPORATION OF ALL OIL PRODUCED UNDER STATE OIL AND GAS LEASES P.R.C. 420 AND P.R.C.423, SUBJECT TO THE EXPRESS CONDITION THAT THE APPROVAL OF THE SALES CONTRACTS SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUED TO MODIFY OR AFFECT IN ANY MANNER ANY OF THE LEASE TERMS, INCLUDING FULL COMPLIANCE BY THE LESSEE WITH THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE COMMISSION.

17. (RESURVEY OF TIDE LAND SURVEY 27, MENDOCINO COUNTY - W.O. 105.) The Union Lumber Company is the successor in interest to the area covered by Tide Land Survey 27, Mendocino County, for which patent was issued to Mr. A. W. MacPherson on November 17, 1874. Section 7951 of the Public Resources Code provides that when payment has been made in full for any lands and patent has been issued therefor, and the purchaser or successor in interest has been in possession for a period of five years, claiming under such patent the lands intended to be described therein and thereby but which lands are incorrectly described in the patent, the person so in possession of the lands may have a new and correct survey made of the lands hovered by the patent. Section 7952 provides that a plat constituting his now correct survey shall be submitted to the State Lands Commission for approval. The map of resurvey submitted for approval was prepared by Mr. Thorne W. Holmes (N.E. 2900). This plat was checked by the staff and found to describe correctly the lands intended to be conveyed by the original patent and to conform with the survey records of this Division.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO APPROVE THE RESURVEY OF TIDE LAND SURVEY 27, MENDOCINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, AS PREPARED BY MR. THORNE W. HOLMES (R.E. 2900) IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 7952 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE.

18. (SUBMARINE GEOPHYSICAL EXPLORATION, UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA -W. O. 1182, P.R.C. 670.) The Union Oil Company of California has made application for permission to conduct submarine geophysical exploration work in the offshore area between San Mateo Point on the San Diego-Orange County line and Rinson Point on the Ventura-Santa Barbara County line during a period of 90 days from and after December 1, 1951. Operations conducted to date by the same applicant under permit P.R.C. 627 in the area between Pt. Dume, Los Angeles County, and Dana Point, Orange County, have not resulted in any claim or evidence of structural damage or fish kill of any appreciable magnitude. These factors were the bases for complaints by the City of Santa Barbara and the County of Santa Barbara in connection with subsarine geophysical operations conducted prior to July 17, 1949. Due te the geographical limits specified in the application under consideration, no extensive operations could be conducted near the shore in Santa Barbara County, and no operations could be conducted within or adjacent to the limits of any municipality in Santa Barbara County. The Department of Fish