

ange No. 34013 (AGO: L 224) Filed 11-16-38, and People vs. Miller, et al., L.A. No. 472,606 (AGO:6425) Filed 1-27-42. In the first two actions the State was unsuccessful. The Miller case was dropped from the calendar March 27, 1944 and has not been prosecuted for want of proof, because of the McKeon decision. In view of the foregoing, it appears that the accounts are uncollectible and that discharge from accountability, therefore, should be sought pursuant to Section 13942, Government Code.

Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted approving a request to the State Board of Control for the Discharge of Accountability for the following receivable items: Easement 291, Mar Rico Oil Company, \$3,274.98; Easement 326, Elyod Oil Corporation, \$3,866.41; Easement 351, Bestmi Petroleum Corporation, \$9,706.83. Such request to be accompanied by a copy of the Attorney General's letter relating to the uncollectibility of the accounts, and that upon the granting of the request, these receivables be removed from the records of the State Lands Commission with proper explanation.

5. (Approval of Oil Sales Contract - Charles W. Camp - Agreement for Easement No. 295, Huntington Beach) The Commission was informed that Charles W. Camp, lessee under Agreement for Easement No. 295, Huntington Beach, has submitted for approval an oil sales contract between Charles W. Camp and the Century Oil Company, as provided by Section 2C of said agreement for easement. The contract provides for the sale of all oil produced under Agreement for Easement No. 295 to the Century Oil Company at the posted prices offered by the Standard Oil Company of California to other producers in the Huntington Beach field for oil of like gravity and quality, for a term of 32 months commencing October 1, 1948.

Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted authorizing the Executive Officer to approve the Oil Sales Contract of October 26, 1948 between Charles W. Camp and the Century Oil Company as submitted by Charles W. Camp pursuant to the requirements of Agreement for Easement No. 295, subject to the condition that the approval is not to be considered as in any manner modifying the terms and conditions of Agreement for Easement No. 295, or the right of the State at its option to take oil royalty or royalty on any other products in kind.

6. (Offshore Geophysical Exploration Operations - W.O. 354) The Commission was informed that on October 14, 1948, Minute Page 893, Item 11, pursuant to objections of the Shell Oil Company, Inc., applicant for geophysical exploration permit under W.O. 353, authorized the rescission of that portion of the action of August 19, 1948, Minute Page 873, Item 3, requiring that all data received by the applicant from the geophysical exploration contractor be made available for review to designated representatives of the State Lands Commission. In consideration of this amendment of the policy alternative proposals for the conduct of future tide and submerged land exploration operations are now suggested:

1. That the State Lands Division participate in any joint exploration program on a cost sharing basis equal with all other participants, thereby becoming entitled to an equal distribution of the basic data secured by the geophysical exploration contractor. The availability of such information as public record in the State Lands Division, would, of course, elicit serious objections from the balance of the participants in the project.
2. That the State Lands Division contract independently for the conduct of geophysical exploration work in the most prospective areas or those in which specific interest is shown and make all data available as public record at the time Notice of Intention to Receive Bids for a particular area is published.

3. That the State Lands Division do not interest itself in the findings of the exploration operations until such time as existing law is amended to permit development of the tide and submerged lands for oil and gas through offshore structures.

Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted rejecting Item No. 1 and deferring action on Items 2 and 3 until the question of the ownership of the tidelands is settled by Congress.

7. (Request for Deferment of Drilling Requirements, Hamilton and Sherman et. al., Oil and Gas Lease No. P.R.C. 91, Huntington Beach) The Commission was informed that a request has been received from H.R. Hamilton, et. al., lessee under State Oil and Gas Lease No. P.R.C. 91, Huntington Beach, for a further extension of deferment of drilling requirements under said oil and gas lease, as granted by the State Lands Commission on August 19, 1948, for a period of ninety days from and after August 13, 1948. Under the policy approved on June 28, 1947 providing for the deferring of any drilling requirements under the terms of any State oil and gas lease on tide and submerged lands, upon the request of the lessee, until such time as the status and equity of such requirements have been clarified.

Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted authorizing the Executive Officer to grant a further deferment of any drilling requirements as stated in oil and gas Lease No. P.R.C. 91, as modified for a period of ninety days from and after November 11, 1948. The grant of the deferment is to be subject to the right of the State at any time to serve notice upon the lessee to either resume development under the lease or to quitclaim all undeveloped areas.

8. (Revision 36 - Pool Basis - Agreement for Easement No. 415 - Standard Oil Company of California - Rio Vista) The Commission was informed that the data for the 36th Revision (Pool basis) to the State's allotment of lands under Agreement for Easement No. 415 submitted for approval in accordance with said Agreement for Easement by the Standard Oil Company of California have been reviewed. These revisions affect the productive limits lines of the East Emigh, West Emigh, West Hamilton, and Anderson A-6 established pools. The computations have been found to be correct and the revisions are reasonable as shown by all data available relative to the productive limits of these pools. Comparison of the proposed revised allotment to the State lands under agreement for Easement No. 415 and the data through the 35th revision is as follows:

<u>Pool</u>	<u>Total Participating Area (Acres)</u>	<u>Estimated Productive Area of State Lands (Acres)</u>	<u>Allotment to State Lands (36th Revision)</u>	<u>Allotment to State Lands (35th Revision)</u>
East Emigh	2,726.90	12.39	0.4544%	0.5131%
West Emigh	17,120.56	1,834.19	10.7134	10.6796
East Midland	4,767.88	42.43	0.8899	0.8899
West Hamilton	9,283.38	1,184.34	12.7598	12.7703
Anderson A-6	103.77	0	0	0

Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted authorizing the Executive Officer to approve the 36th revision (Pool Basis) to the State's allotment of productive lands, as presented by the Standard Oil Company of California on September 20, 1948 pursuant to Agreement for Easement No. 415.