

1 **3.3 AIR QUALITY**

<b>AIR QUALITY</b> – Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the Project:	<b>Potentially Significant Impact</b>	<b>Less Than Significant with Mitigation</b>	<b>Less Than Significant Impact</b>	<b>No Impact</b>
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the Project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2 **3.3.1 Environmental Setting**

3 **Topography, Meteorology, and Climate**

4 The San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin (SFBAAB), in which the Project is located,  
 5 covers approximately 5,540 square miles of complex terrain, made up of coastal  
 6 mountain ranges, inland valleys, and the San Francisco Bay. The SFBAAB is generally  
 7 bordered on the west by the Pacific Ocean, on the north by the Coast Ranges, and on  
 8 the east and south by the Diablo Range.

9 Meteorological conditions in the SFBAAB are warm and mainly dry in summers, and  
 10 mild and moderately wet in winters. Marine air has a moderating effect on the climate  
 11 throughout much of the year. Winds flow through the Golden Gate from the Pacific  
 12 Ocean, but direct flow into eastern Alameda County is impeded by the East Bay hills.  
 13 Marine air mostly is blocked from the area until late afternoons or on days when deep  
 14 marine inversions develop with strong onshore flows.

15 The Project site is located on the River waterfront north of and adjacent to the city of  
 16 Antioch in unincorporated Contra Costa County in the Carquinez Strait climatological  
 17 sub-region of the Bay Area. Prevailing winds here are from the west during summer and

1 fall months, but occasionally atmospheric conditions can cause the air flow to reverse.  
2 East winds usually contain more pollutants from sources in the Central Valley than the  
3 cleaner west winds with marine air. The east winds can cause elevated pollutant levels  
4 in this sub-region and further west in more central reaches of the Bay Area air basin.<sup>11</sup>

## 5 **Local Air Quality Conditions**

6 The determination of whether a region's air quality is healthful or unhealthful is made by  
7 comparing contaminant levels in ambient air samples to the California Ambient Air  
8 Quality Standards (CAAQS) and National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).  
9 Both the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and U.S. Environmental Protection  
10 Agency (USEPA) ambient air concentrations are monitored at various regions  
11 throughout the SFBAAB to designate an area's attainment status with respect to the  
12 CAAQS and NAAQS, respectively, for criteria air pollutants. The purpose of these  
13 designations is to identify areas with air quality problems and thereby initiate planning  
14 efforts for improvement. The three basic designation categories are "nonattainment,"  
15 "attainment," and "unclassified." The "unclassified" designation is used in an area that  
16 cannot be classified on the basis of available information as meeting or not meeting the  
17 standards. The most recent attainment designations with respect to the SFBAAB are  
18 shown in Table 3.3-1, below. With respect to the CAAQS, the SFBAAB is designated as  
19 a nonattainment area for ozone, particulate matter less than 10 micrometers (PM<sub>10</sub>),  
20 and particulate matter less than 2.5 micrometers (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), and as an attainment or  
21 unclassified area for all other pollutants. With respect to the NAAQS, the SFBAAB is  
22 designated as a marginal nonattainment area for ozone and as an attainment or  
23 unclassified area for all other pollutants.

24 The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) maintains a number of air  
25 quality monitoring stations that continually measure the ambient concentrations of major  
26 air pollutants throughout the Bay Area. The closest such monitoring station to the  
27 Project site is on Bethel Island, about 8 miles to the east. Violations of both the ozone  
28 and particulate standards have been recorded at Bethel Island and other monitoring  
29 stations near the Project site within the last 3 years.<sup>12</sup>

30 Many industrial facilities (e.g., oil refineries, chemical plants, etc.) with significant air  
31 pollutant emissions are located within the Carquinez Strait sub-region. The pollution  
32 potential of this area is often moderated by the high wind speeds usually associated  
33 with prevailing westerly (marine) air flow. Areas downwind of these industrial facilities  
34 have higher long-term exposure to air contaminants than upwind areas.

---

<sup>11</sup> For a summary of conditions in the Carquinez Strait climatological sub-region see BAAQMD CEQA Air Quality Guidelines (May 2012; Appendix C, page C-5).

<sup>12</sup> For a county-by-county listing of monitoring stations and then a summary of pollutant monitoring data for each station, see: [www.arb.ca.gov/adam/topfour/topfour1.php](http://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/topfour/topfour1.php). For a table of air quality standards and Bay Area Attainment status, see: [http://hank.baaqmd.gov/pln/air\\_quality/ambient\\_air\\_quality.htm](http://hank.baaqmd.gov/pln/air_quality/ambient_air_quality.htm).

**Table 3.3-1. National and California Ambient Air Quality Standards and SFBAAB Attainment Status**

Pollutant	Averaging Time	California Standards <sup>1</sup>		National Standards <sup>2</sup>	
		Concentration	Attainment Status	Primary	Attainment Status
Ozone	1 hour	0.09 ppm (180 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Nonattainment	—	—
	8 hours	0.070 ppm (137 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Nonattainment	0.075 ppm (147 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Nonattainment
Respirable particulate matter (PM <sub>10</sub> )	24 hours	50 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Nonattainment	150 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Nonattainment
	Annual arithmetic mean	20 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Nonattainment	—	—
Fine particulate matter (PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	24 hours	—	—	35 µg/m <sup>3</sup> <sup>13</sup>	Attainment
	Annual arithmetic mean	12 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Nonattainment	12.0 µg/m <sup>3</sup> <sup>6</sup>	Nonattainment <sup>13</sup>
Carbon monoxide (CO)	8 hours	9.0 ppm (10 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Attainment	9 ppm (10 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Attainment
	1 hour	20 ppm (23 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Attainment	35 ppm (40 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Attainment
Nitrogen dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>7</sup>	Annual arithmetic mean	0.030 ppm (57 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Attainment	0.053 ppm (100 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Attainment
	1 hour	0.18 ppm (339 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Attainment	0.100 ppb (188 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Unclassified
Sulfur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>8</sup>	24 hours	0.04 ppm (105 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Attainment	—	—
	1 hour	0.25 ppm (655 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Attainment	0.075 ppm (196 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Attainment
Lead <sup>9,10</sup>	30-day average	1.5 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Attainment	—	—
	Rolling 3-month average	—	—	0.15 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	—
Visibility-reducing particles <sup>11</sup>	8 hours	See footnote <sup>12</sup>	Unclassified	No national standards	
Sulfates	24 hours	25 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Attainment		
Hydrogen sulfide	1 hour	0.03 ppm (42 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Unclassified		
Vinyl chloride <sup>12</sup>	24 hours	0.01 ppm (26 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	No information available		
Notes: mg/m <sup>3</sup> = milligrams per cubic meter PM <sub>2.5</sub> = fine particulate matter with an aerodynamic resistance diameter of 2.5 micrometers or less PM <sub>10</sub> = respirable particulate matter with an aerodynamic resistance diameter of 10 micrometers or less ppb = parts per billion ppm = parts per million µg/m <sup>3</sup> = micrograms per cubic meter					

- <sup>1</sup> California standards for ozone, CO (except 8-hour Lake Tahoe), SO<sub>2</sub> (1- and 24-hour), NO<sub>2</sub>, and particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and visibility-reducing particles) are values that are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be equaled or exceeded. California ambient air quality standards are listed in the Table of Standards in California Code of Regulations, Title 17, section 70200.
- <sup>2</sup> National standards (other than ozone, particulate matter, and those based on annual arithmetic mean) are not to be exceeded more than once a year. The ozone standard is attained when the fourth highest 8-hour concentration measured at each site in a year, averaged over three years, is equal to or less than the standard. For PM<sub>10</sub>, the 24-hour is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average concentration above 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup> is equal to or less than 1. For PM<sub>2.5</sub>, the 24-hour standard is attained when 98 percent of the daily concentrations, averaged over three years, are equal to or less than the standards.
- <sup>3</sup> Concentration expressed first in the units in which it was promulgated. Equivalent units given in parentheses are based upon a reference temperature of 25 degrees Celsius (°C) and a reference pressure of 760 torr. Most measurements of air quality are to be corrected to a reference temperature of 25°C and reference pressure of 760 torr; parts per million in this table refers to ppm by volume, or micromoles of pollutant per mole of gas.
- <sup>4</sup> National Primary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety to protect the public health.
- <sup>6</sup> On January 15, 2013, EPA revised the national annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard to 12.0 µg/m<sup>3</sup> to provide increased protection against health risks.
- <sup>7</sup> To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 100 ppb. Note the national 1-hour standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of ppm. To directly compare the national 1-hour standard to the California standards the units can be converted from ppb to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 100 ppb is identical to 0.100 ppm.
- <sup>8</sup> On June 2, 2010, a new 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> standard was established and the existing 24-hour and annual primary standards were revoked. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 75 ppb. The 1971 SO<sub>2</sub> national standards (24-hour and annual) remain in effect until 1 year after an area is designated for the 2010 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1971 standards, the 1971 standards remain in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2010 standards are approved.
- <sup>9</sup> The California Air Resources Board (CARB) has identified lead and vinyl chloride as toxic air contaminants with no threshold level of exposure for adverse health effects determined. These actions allow for the implementation of control measures at levels below the ambient concentrations specified for these pollutants.
- <sup>10</sup> The national standard for lead was revised on October 15, 2008, to a rolling 3-month average. The 1978 lead standard (1.5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> as a quarterly average) remains in effect until 1 year after an area is designated for the 2008 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1978 standard, the 1978 standard remains in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2008 standards are approved.
- <sup>11</sup> In 1989, CARB converted the general statewide 10-mile visibility standard and the Lake Tahoe 30-mile visibility standard to instrumental equivalents, which are “extinction of 0.23 per kilometer” and the “extinction of 0.07 per kilometer” for the statewide and Lake Tahoe Air Basin standards, respectively.
- <sup>12</sup> No information is available to designate the region for vinyl chloride.
- <sup>13</sup> EPA lowered the 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard from 65 µg/m<sup>3</sup> to 35 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in 2006. The EPA designated the BAAQMD as nonattainment of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard on October 8, 2009. The effective date of the designation is December 14, 2009, and the BAAQMD had 5 years to develop an implementation plan that demonstrates how the region will achieve the revised standard by December 14, 2014. On January 9, 2013, the EPA issued a final rule to determine that the SFBAAB has attained the 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. This action suspended federal State Implementation Policy planning requirements for the Bay Area, but BAAQMD still needs to submit a redesignation request.

1 On occasion, there are accidental releases of air pollutants from the industrial facilities  
 2 that can cause short-term pollutant exposures and odor problems. Also, this sub-region  
 3 is traversed by major roadways (e.g., I-80 and State Route 4) that cause higher local  
 4 concentrations of carbon monoxide (CO) and particulate matter (PM), as well as certain  
 5 toxic air contaminants (TACs) such as benzene and diesel particulate matter (DPM).

6 **3.3.2 Regulatory Setting**

7 Federal and State laws and regulations pertaining to this issue area and relevant to the  
 8 Project are identified in Table 3.3-2.

**Table 3.3-2. Laws, Regulations, and Policies (Air Quality)**

<b>U.S.</b>	Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA) (42 USC 7401 et seq.)	<p>The FCAA requires the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) to identify National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) to protect public health and welfare. National standards are established for ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>), and lead (Pb). In 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) is an air pollutant as defined under the FCAA, and that the USEPA has authority to regulate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Pursuant to the 1990 FCAA Amendments, USEPA classifies air basins (or portions thereof) as in “attainment” or “nonattainment” for each criteria air pollutant, based on whether or not the NAAQS are achieved. The classification is determined by comparing monitoring data with State and Federal standards.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An area is classified as in “attainment” for a pollutant if the pollutant concentration is lower than the standard.</li> <li>• An area is classified as in “nonattainment” for a pollutant if the pollutant concentration exceeds the standard.</li> <li>• An area is designated “unclassified” for a pollutant if there are not enough data available for comparisons.</li> </ul>
<b>CA</b>	California Clean Air Act of 1988 (CCAA) (Assembly Bill [AB] 2595)	<p>The CCAA requires all air districts in the State to endeavor to achieve and maintain State ambient air quality standards for O<sub>3</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, and PM; attainment plans for areas that did not demonstrate attainment of State standards until after 1997 must specify emission reduction strategies and meet milestones to implement emission controls and achieve more healthful air quality. The 1992 CCAA Amendments divide O<sub>3</sub> nonattainment areas into four categories of pollutant levels (moderate, serious, severe, and extreme) to which progressively more stringent requirements apply. State ambient air standards are generally stricter than national standards for the same pollutants; California also has standards for sulfates, hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S), vinyl chloride, and visibility-reducing particles.</p>
<b>CA</b>	Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Under California’s Diesel Fuel Regulations, diesel fuel used in motor vehicles, except harbor craft, has been limited to 500 parts per million (ppm) sulfur since 1993. The sulfur limit was reduced to 15 ppm beginning September 1, 2006, and harbor craft were included starting in 2009.</li> <li>• The California Air Resources Board’s (CARB) Heavy Duty Diesel Truck Idling Rule (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 13, § 2485) prohibits heavy-duty diesel trucks from idling for longer than 5 minutes at a time (except while queuing, provided the queue is located beyond 100 feet from any homes or schools).</li> <li>• The Statewide Portable Equipment Registration Program (PERP) regulates portable engines/engine-driven equipment units. Once registered in the PERP, engines and equipment units may operate throughout California without the need to obtain individual permits from local air districts.</li> </ul>

1 **Local**

2 The Project site is within an area of Contra Costa County that was annexed by the city  
3 of Antioch in 2013; however, Contra Costa County information is also provided for  
4 context.

5 The Conservation Element of the Contra Costa County General Plan 2005-2020  
6 includes goals and policies that aim to improve local and regional air quality throughout  
7 the County. The following air resources policies may be applicable to the Project:

- 8 • Policy 8-103 - When there is a finding that a proposed project might significantly  
9 affect air quality, appropriate mitigation measures shall be imposed.
- 10 • Policy 8-104 - Proposed projects shall be reviewed for their potential to generate  
11 hazardous air pollutants.

12 **Regional Context**

13 The Project site is located in Contra Costa County, which is part of the SFBAAB. The  
14 BAAQMD is the regional agency with jurisdiction over the nine-county SFBAAB, which  
15 includes Contra Costa, San Francisco, Alameda, Marin, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Napa,  
16 southern portion of Sonoma, and southwestern portion of Solano Counties. The  
17 BAAQMD is responsible for attaining and maintaining air quality in the SFBAAB within  
18 federal and State air quality standards, as established by the federal Clean Air Act  
19 (CAA) and the California Clean Air Act (CCAA), respectively. Specifically, the BAAQMD  
20 has the responsibility to monitor ambient air pollutant levels throughout the SFBAAB  
21 and to develop and implement strategies to attain applicable federal and State  
22 standards. The BAAQMD (2010a) adopted the most recent air quality plan, the 2010  
23 Clean Air Plan, on September 15, 2010. The 2010 Clean Air Plan serves to:

- 24 • Update the Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy in accordance with the requirements  
25 of the CCAA to implement all feasible measures to reduce ozone;
- 26 • Provide a control strategy to reduce ozone, particulate matter, air toxics, and  
27 greenhouse gases (GHGs) in a single, integrated plan; and
- 28 • Establish emission-control measures to be adopted or implemented.

29 The 2010 Clean Air Plan contains the following primary goals:

- 30 • Attain air quality standards;
- 31 • Reduce population exposure and protect public health in the SFBAAB; and
- 32 • Reduce GHG emissions and protect the climate.

1 The 2010 Clean Air Plan represents the most current applicable air quality plan for the  
 2 SFBAAB. Consistency with this plan is the basis for determining whether the Project  
 3 would conflict with or obstruct implementation of air quality plans.

4 *Criteria Air Pollutants*

5 In accordance with the State and federal CAAs, air pollutant standards are identified for  
 6 the following six criteria air pollutants: ozone, CO, PM, nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), sulfur  
 7 dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and lead (Pb). These air pollutants are termed criteria air pollutants  
 8 because they are regulated by developing specific public health- and welfare-based  
 9 criteria as the basis for setting permissible levels. In general, the SFBAAB experiences  
 10 low concentrations of most pollutants when compared to federal or State standards. The  
 11 SFBAAB is designated as either in attainment or unclassified for most criteria pollutants  
 12 with the exception of ozone, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>10</sub>, for which these pollutants are designated  
 13 as non-attainment for either the State or federal standards (see Table 3.3-1, above).

14 By its very nature, regional air pollution is largely a cumulative impact in that no single  
 15 project is sufficient in size to, by itself, result in non-attainment of air quality standards.  
 16 Instead, a project’s individual emissions contribute to existing cumulative air quality  
 17 impacts. If a project’s contribution to cumulative air quality impacts is considerable, then  
 18 the project’s impact on air quality would be considered significant.

19 Land use projects may contribute to regional criteria air pollutants during the  
 20 construction and operational phases of a project. Table 3.3-3 identifies air quality  
 21 significance thresholds followed by a discussion of each threshold, based on the  
 22 BAAQMD’s *CEQA Air Quality Guidelines*.

**Table 3.3-3. Criteria Air Pollutant Significance Thresholds**

Pollutant	Construction Thresholds	Operational Thresholds	
	Average Daily Emissions (pounds/day)	Average Daily Emissions (pounds/day)	Maximum Annual Emissions (tons/year)
ROG	54	54	10
NO <sub>x</sub>	54	54	10
PM <sub>10</sub>	82 (exhaust)	82	15
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	54 (exhaust)	54	10
Fugitive Dust	Construction Dust Ordinance or other Best Management Practices	Not Applicable	
Notes: ROG = reactive organic gases NO <sub>x</sub> = oxides of nitrogen PM <sub>10</sub> = particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter less than 10 microns PM <sub>2.5</sub> = particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 microns			

1 Projects that would result in criteria air pollutant emissions below these significance  
2 thresholds would not violate an air quality standard, contribute substantially to an air  
3 quality violation, or result in a cumulatively considerable net increase in criteria air  
4 pollutants within the SFBAAB. The BAAQMD *CEQA Air Quality Guidelines* also  
5 establish a relevant zone of influence for an assessment of project-level and cumulative  
6 health risks to sensitive receptors within 1,000 feet of a project site from exposure to  
7 TACs. Project construction-related or operational TAC impacts to sensitive receptors  
8 within the zone of influence that exceed any of the following thresholds are considered  
9 significant:

- 10 • An excess cancer risk level of more than 10 in one million, or a non-cancer  
11 hazard index greater than 1.0.
- 12 • An incremental increase of greater than 0.3 micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )  
13 for annual average  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentrations.

14 Cumulative impacts from TACs emitted from freeways, state highways or high volume  
15 roadways (i.e., the latter defined as having traffic volumes of 10,000 vehicles or more  
16 per day or 1,000 trucks per day), and from all BAAQMD-permitted stationary sources  
17 sources within the zone to sensitive receptors within the zone that exceed any of the  
18 following thresholds are considered cumulatively significant:

- 19 • A combined excess cancer risk levels of more than 100 in one million.
- 20 • A combined non-cancer hazard index greater than 10.0.

21 A combined incremental increase in annual average  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentrations greater than  
22  $0.8 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ .

### 23 *Ozone Precursors*

24 The SFBAAB is currently designated as non-attainment for ozone and PM. Ozone is a  
25 secondary air pollutant produced in the atmosphere through a complex series of  
26 photochemical reactions involving reactive organic gases (ROG) and oxides of nitrogen  
27 ( $\text{NO}_x$ ). The potential for a project to result in a cumulatively considerable net increase in  
28 criteria air pollutants, which may contribute to an existing or projected air quality  
29 violation, are based on the CCAA and federal CAA emissions limits for stationary  
30 sources. To ensure that new stationary sources do not cause or contribute to a violation  
31 of an air quality standard, BAAQMD Regulation 2, Rule 2 requires that any new source  
32 that emits criteria air pollutants above a specified emissions limit must offset those  
33 emissions. For ozone precursors ROG and  $\text{NO}_x$ , the offset emissions level is an annual  
34 average of 10 tons per year (or 54 pounds per day). These levels represent emissions  
35 by which new sources are not anticipated to contribute to an air quality violation or result  
36 in a considerable net increase in criteria air pollutants.

1 *Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and Fugitive Dust*

2 The federal New Source Review program was created by the CAA to ensure that  
3 stationary sources of air pollution are constructed in a manner that is consistent with  
4 attainment of federal health-based ambient air quality standards. For PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>,  
5 the emissions limit under New Source Review is 15 tons per year (82 pounds per day)  
6 and 10 tons per year (54 pounds per day), respectively. These emissions limits  
7 represent levels at which a source is not expected to have an impact on air quality.  
8 Although the regulations specified above apply to new or modified stationary sources,  
9 land use development projects result in ROG, NO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions from  
10 increases in vehicle trips, architectural coating, and construction activities. Therefore,  
11 the above thresholds can be applied to the construction and operational phases of land  
12 use projects and those projects that result in emissions below these thresholds would  
13 not be considered to contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation or result in  
14 a considerable net increase in ozone precursors or particulate matter. Due to the  
15 temporary nature of this Project's activities, only the average daily thresholds are  
16 applicable to construction-phase emissions.

17 Fugitive dust emissions are typically generated during construction phases. Studies  
18 have shown that the application of best management practices (BMPs) at construction  
19 sites significantly control fugitive dust. Individual measures have been shown to reduce  
20 fugitive dust by anywhere from 10 to 98 percent (Western Regional Air Partnership  
21 2006). The BAAQMD has identified a number of BMPs to control fugitive dust emissions  
22 from construction activities.

23 **Local Health Risks and Hazards**

24 In addition to criteria air pollutants, individual projects may emit TACs. TACs collectively  
25 refer to a diverse group of air pollutants that are capable of causing chronic (i.e., of long  
26 duration) and acute (i.e., severe but of short-term) adverse effects to human health,  
27 including carcinogenic effects. Human health effects of TACs include birth defects,  
28 neurological damage, cancer, and mortality. There are hundreds of different types of  
29 TACs with varying degrees of toxicity. Individual TACs vary greatly in the health risk  
30 they present; at a given level of exposure, one TAC may pose a hazard that is many  
31 times greater than another.

32 Unlike criteria air pollutants, TACs do not have ambient air quality standards but are  
33 regulated by the BAAQMD using a risk-based approach to determine which sources  
34 and pollutants to control as well as the degree of control. A health risk assessment is an  
35 analysis in which human health exposure to toxic substances is estimated, and  
36 considered together with information regarding the toxic potency of the substances, to  
37 provide quantitative estimates of health risks.

1 Air pollution does not affect every individual in the population in the same way, and  
2 some groups are more sensitive to adverse health effects than others. Land uses such  
3 as residences, schools, children’s day care centers, hospitals, and nursing and  
4 convalescent homes are considered to be the most sensitive to poor air quality because  
5 the population groups associated with these uses have increased susceptibility to  
6 respiratory distress or, as in the case of residential receptors, their exposure time is  
7 greater than for other land uses. Therefore, these groups are referred to as sensitive  
8 receptors. Exposure assessment guidance typically assumes that residences would be  
9 exposed to air pollution 24 hours per day, 350 days per year, for 70 years. Therefore,  
10 assessments of air pollutant exposure to residents typically result in the greatest  
11 adverse health outcomes of all population groups.

12 Exposures to PM<sub>2.5</sub> are strongly associated with mortality, respiratory diseases, and  
13 lung development in children, and other endpoints such as hospitalization for  
14 cardiopulmonary disease. In addition to PM<sub>2.5</sub>, DPM is also of concern. The CARB  
15 (1998) identified DPM as a TAC in 1998, primarily based on evidence demonstrating  
16 cancer effects in humans. The estimated cancer risk from exposure to diesel exhaust is  
17 much higher than the risk associated with any other TAC routinely measured in the  
18 region.

#### 19 *Excess Cancer Risk*

20 The above 100 per one million persons (100 excess cancer risk) criteria is based on  
21 USEPA guidance for conducting air toxic analyses and making risk management  
22 decisions at the facility- and community-scale level. As described by the BAAQMD, the  
23 USEPA considers a cancer risk of 100 per million to be within the “acceptable” range of  
24 cancer risk. Furthermore, in the 1989 preamble to the benzene National Emissions  
25 Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants rulemaking, the USEPA states that it

26 *...strives to provide maximum feasible protection against risks to health from*  
27 *hazardous air pollutants by (1) protecting the greatest number of persons possible to*  
28 *an individual lifetime risk level no higher than approximately one in one million and*  
29 *(2) limiting to no higher than approximately one in 10,000 [100 in one million] the*  
30 *estimated risk that a person living near a plant would have if he or she were exposed*  
31 *to the maximum pollutant concentrations for 70 years.*

32 The 100 per one million excess cancer cases is also consistent with the ambient cancer  
33 risk in the most pristine portions of the San Francisco Bay Area based on BAAQMD  
34 regional modeling.

1 *Fine Particulate Matter*

2 In April 2011, the USEPA published *Policy Assessment for the Particulate Matter*  
3 *Review of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards*, “Particulate Matter Policy  
4 Assessment.” In this document, USEPA staff concludes that the current federal annual  
5 PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard of 15 µg/m<sup>3</sup> should be revised to a level within the range of 13 to 11  
6 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, with evidence strongly supporting a standard within the range of 12 to 11 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.  
7 The Air Pollutant Exposure Zone for San Francisco is based on the health-protective  
8 PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard of 11 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, as supported by the USEPA’s Particulate Matter Policy  
9 Assessment, although lowered to 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> to account for uncertainty in accurately  
10 predicting air pollutant concentrations using emissions modeling programs.

11 Land use projects within the Air Pollutant Exposure Zone require special consideration  
12 to determine whether the project’s activities would expose sensitive receptors to  
13 substantial air pollutant concentrations or add emissions to areas already adversely  
14 affected by poor air quality.

15 **3.3.3 Impact Analysis**

16 ***a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?***

17 **Less Than Significant Impact.** The Project would have a less than significant impact  
18 on the implementation of BAAQMD’s 2010 Clean Air Plan because ROG, NOx and PM  
19 emissions generated during Project construction (i.e., August 1 to November 30) would  
20 be less than the BAAQMD CEQA significance thresholds (see estimates and discussion  
21 under Item c) below. Therefore, the Project would not have regionally significant  
22 impacts impeding the implementation of the control strategies or the attainment of goals  
23 set in the BAAQMD’s 2010 Clean Air Plan.

24 Rehabilitation of the wharf would not result in an increase to the cargo handling/storage  
25 capacity of the Plant, current terminal gypsum off-loading capacity, on-land gypsum  
26 storage, or truck/train loading capacity. Gypsum supplied to the Plant through the  
27 upgraded wharf would be used to accommodate housing, employment, and population  
28 growth in the SFBAAB within the projections that underlie the Clean Air Plan.

29 ***b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or***  
30 ***projected air quality violation?***

31 **Less Than Significant Impact.** The Project would not violate any air quality standards  
32 or contribute substantially to any existing or projected air quality violation because  
33 Project-related emissions are considered short-term and temporary in nature. All work  
34 would be carried out from barges, not from any upland areas, and removal, installation,  
35 and repair activities for the Project are anticipated to occur over approximately 2

1 months. In addition, Project activity emissions would occur intermittently throughout  
 2 Project implementation (i.e., equipment would not operate continuously for 8 hours each  
 3 day). Exhaust emissions would be generated from a variety of sources: removal and  
 4 installation equipment, commercial marine equipment, and personnel commuting.  
 5 These activities would involve the use of diesel- and gasoline-powered equipment that  
 6 would generate emissions of criteria pollutants. The Project's emissions would be well  
 7 below the BAAQMD's threshold of significance. Emissions calculations for each  
 8 category of pollutant are summarized in Table 3.3-4 and included in Appendix A.

**Table 3.3-4. Project Construction Criteria Pollutant Emissions**

Construction Source	Construction Source Emissions (lbs./day)			
	ROG	NO <sub>x</sub>	Exhaust PM <sub>10</sub>	Exhaust PM <sub>2.5</sub>
Construction Equipment	131.5	1,094.6	50.3	47.8
Marine Engines	332.7	2,085.1	65.7	65.7
Haul/Worker Vehicles	0.8	7.8	0.5	0.5
<b>Total Construction</b>	<b>465.0</b>	<b>3,187.5</b>	<b>116.5</b>	<b>113.9</b>
	Average Daily Construction Emissions (lbs./day) (62 days)			
Average Total Construction	7.5	51.4	1.9	1.8
<b>BAAQMD Daily Threshold</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Exceeds Threshold</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Source:</b> Emission estimates were based on project construction phasing, equipment use, pile debris transport and worker commute provided by the GP Antioch project design engineers; construction equipment pollutant emission rates provided by the CARB's OFFROAD model as included in the California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod) emission model; the CARB's <i>Emissions Estimation Methodology for Commercial Harbor Craft Operating in California</i> (2007); and motor vehicle pollutant emission rates provided by the CARB's EMFAC2011 model.				

9 **c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for**  
 10 **which the Project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state**  
 11 **ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed**  
 12 **quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?**

13 **Less than Significant Impact.** Project impacts on air quality that are potentially  
 14 significant on an individual level may also cause a cumulatively considerable  
 15 contribution. Thus, it is reasonable to consider projects that do not have potentially  
 16 significant impacts on air quality on an individual level will not have the potential to  
 17 cause a cumulatively considerable contribution to air quality impacts. The BAAQMD  
 18 currently recommends that for projects not having potentially significant impacts on air  
 19 quality on an individual level, the potential cumulative impacts also should be evaluated  
 20 for consistency with the local general plan. The Project is not a typical land use project  
 21 that can be compared with or evaluated against land use designations or zoning from a

1 general plan; therefore, the second criteria is not applicable to the Project. Thus, the  
2 first criterion of whether the Project’s individual or “project-level” emissions are  
3 potentially significant has been used to determine its potential cumulative impact.

4 Emissions would be temporary and short-term which would ensure that the Project  
5 would not generate a cumulatively considerable contribution to regional air quality  
6 pollutants in the Project area that are nonattainment under a State or Federal ambient  
7 air quality standard. Therefore, the Project would not result in a cumulatively  
8 considerable incremental contribution to a significant cumulative impact on air quality,  
9 and this impact would be considered less than significant.

10 ***d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?***

11 **Less Than Significant Impact.** Project activities would generate DPM exhaust  
12 emissions as estimated in Table 3.3-4. DPM has been classified as a TAC by the  
13 CARB, and even acute exposure may result in health impacts. Removal, installation,  
14 and repair activities for the Project are minimal and short-term, anticipated to occur over  
15 8 weeks within a 12-week window. In addition, Project activity emissions would occur  
16 intermittently throughout Project implementation (i.e., removal equipment would not  
17 operate continuously for 8 hours each day).

18 The exposure of sensitive receptors to ambient TACs would be less than significant  
19 because there are no sensitive receptors within the 1,000-foot zone-of-influence around  
20 the Project site as recommended by the BAAQMD for screening of project-level and  
21 cumulative health risks. The closest sensitive receptors (houses and schools) to the  
22 area of construction activity around the wharf are 1,800 feet or more to the south in the  
23 city of Antioch.

24 ***e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?***

25 **Less Than Significant Impact.** The occurrence and severity of odor impacts depends  
26 on numerous factors, including the nature, frequency, and intensity of the source; wind  
27 speed and direction; and the sensitivity of the receptors. Although offensive odors rarely  
28 cause any physical harm, they can be very unpleasant, leading to considerable distress  
29 among the public and cause citizens to submit complaints to local governments and  
30 regulatory agencies. Projects with the potential to frequently expose individuals to  
31 objectionable odors are deemed to have a significant impact. Typical facilities that  
32 generate odors include wastewater treatment facilities, sanitary landfills, composting  
33 facilities, petroleum refineries, chemical manufacturing plants, and food processing  
34 facilities.

35 As described above, project equipment would generate DPM exhaust, which can be  
36 considered offensive by some individuals; however, these Project activity areas would

1 be located approximately 1,800 feet from residences and other members of the public.  
2 Because of this distance, the potential for objectionable odors to reach the nearest  
3 sensitive receptor is expected to be negligible. In addition the removal activities are not  
4 intensive, occur for a very short duration, and will cease at night. These distant,  
5 intermittent, and temporary activities are not expected to cause a significant odor impact  
6 on a substantial number of sensitive receptors, nor would they expose a substantial  
7 number of receptors to odor emissions, therefore the Project's impact would be less  
8 than significant.

9 **3.3.4 Mitigation Summary**

10 The Project would not result in significant impacts to Air Quality; therefore, no mitigation  
11 is required.